Southampton

School of Electronics and Computer Science

DERIVING LTS

Pawel Sobocinski (joint work with Julian Rathke)

> IFIP WG1.3 Aussois 07/01/11



I.Introduction

- Full asynchrony
 Asynchrony
- 4. Synchrony

BACKGROUND

• Process calculi in the CCS/Pi tradition come with two semantics

reduction semantics

- "closed" how the program evolves
- easy to define
- contextual preorders/equivalences: reduction precongruence, reduction congruence, barbed congruence

labelled semantics

- "open" how the program interacts
- harder to define and justify
- simulation, bisimulation
- Basic underlying issues
 - soundness: eg. is bisimilarity included in contextual equivalence?
 - completeness: eg. is contextual equivalence included in bisimilarity?

REDUCTION SEMANTICS

• Structural congruence $(P \parallel Q) \parallel R \equiv P \parallel (Q \parallel R)$

- Think of a process as a "chemical soup"
- Reduction TS is usually defined with
 - a number of parametric rules, eg $a!P \parallel a?Q \rightarrow P \parallel Q$
 - a set of "reactive" contexts

 $P \rightarrow P'$

 $P \parallel Q \equiv Q \parallel P$

 $P \parallel 0 \equiv P$

 $P \| Q \to P' \| Q$

• closed under structural congruence $Q \equiv P \quad P \to P' \quad P' \equiv Q'$

 $Q \rightarrow Q'$

RELATIVE PUSHOUTS (RPO)

(J. LEIFER R. MILNER, DERIVING BISIMULATION CONGRUENCES FOR REACTIVE SYSTEMS, CONCUR '00) (P. SEWELL, FROM REWRITE RULES TO BISIMULATION CONGRUENCES, CONCUR '98)

- Passing from
 - "internal" reduction semantics (what processes do) to
 - "external" labelled semantics (how processes interact)



WHERE RPOS GO WRONG

- The derivation process is global
 - no compositional, inductive presentation (SOS)
 - joint work with Julian Rathke on how to derive SOS

- Often give the wrong equivalences
 - eg. restricting to asynchronous subcalculus still gives synchronous lts
 - problem and solution illustrated in this talk

SOS LABELLED SEMANTICS

Semantics of a term completely determined by semantics of its subterms

 $P \xrightarrow{a} P'$

 $P \| Q \xrightarrow{a} P' \| Q$

- No structural congruence rule, this is real syntax
- Our rules are always SOS
 - a set of (positive) SOS rules defines a monotonic function on relations, let Φ be the lfp

$$\Phi: \mathcal{P}(P \times L \times P) \to \mathcal{P}(P \times L \times P)$$

• the LTS defined by a set of rules is $\mathcal{C} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \Phi(\varnothing)$

CONTEXTUAL EQUIVALENCE

(K. Honda, N. Yoshida, On reduction-based process semantics, TCS 152(2):436-486,1995)

- Suppose that reductions cause "changes in heat"
- Observer can
 - introduce new ingredients
 - measure changes in heat
- Reduction precongruence
 - largest precongruence \lesssim that satisfies $P \lesssim Q \& P \to P'$ implies there exists Q' with $Q \to Q'$ and $P' \lesssim Q'$
- Reduction congruence symmetric version

LTS AND OBSERVABILITY

- What is the meaning of a labelled transition in an LTS?
 - indication of a possible interaction
- A labelled transition is observable if there exists a contextual characterisation of the label
 - ie $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'$ iff there exists context χ_{α} s.t...
 - the ... should be preserved by contextual equivalence



I. Introduction

2. Full asynchrony

- 3. Asynchrony
- 4. Synchrony
- 5. Infinite processes

FULL ASYNCHRONY "SOUP OF INTERACTING MOLECULES"

Syntax $P ::= 0 | a! | a? | P || Q | \tau$

Structural congruence $(P \parallel Q) \parallel R \equiv P \parallel (Q \parallel R)$ $P \parallel Q \equiv Q \parallel P$ $P \parallel 0 \equiv P$ Reduction semantics $\tau \rightarrow 0$ $a! \parallel a? \rightarrow 0$ $P \rightarrow P'$ $P \| Q \to P' \| Q$ $Q \equiv P \qquad P \to P' \qquad P' \equiv Q'$ $Q \rightarrow Q'$

EXPERIMENT I - INPUT

- labelled transition = "log of experiment"
- input experiment observe change in heat after adding an output (a!)

$$\frac{a?}{a? \xrightarrow{a?} 0} (IN)$$

$$P \xrightarrow{a?} P'$$

 $\frac{}{P \| Q \xrightarrow{a?} P' \| Q} (\text{IN} \|)$

+ symmetric rule

EXPERIMENT 2 - OUTPUT

output experiment - observe change in heat after adding an input (a?)

$$\frac{a! \xrightarrow{a!} 0}{(OUT)} \qquad \frac{P \xrightarrow{a!} P'}{P \|Q \xrightarrow{a!} P' \|Q} (OUT\|)$$
$$+ symmetric$$

EXPERIMENT 3 - TAU

tau experiment - we observe heat but we haven't added anything

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{\tau} P'}{\tau \xrightarrow{\tau} 0} (\text{TAU}) \qquad \frac{P \xrightarrow{\tau} P'}{P \|Q \xrightarrow{\tau} P'\|Q} (\text{TAU}\|)$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
P \xrightarrow{a?} P' & Q \xrightarrow{a!} Q' \\
\hline
P \parallel Q \xrightarrow{\tau} P' \parallel Q' & (COMM) \\
\end{array}$$

THE LTS

$$\frac{1}{a? \xrightarrow{a?} 0} (IN) \qquad \frac{P \xrightarrow{a?} P'}{P \|Q \xrightarrow{a?} P' \|Q} (IN\|)$$

$$\frac{\overline{A^{a!} \to 0}}{\overline{A^{a!} \to 0}} (\text{OUT}) \qquad \frac{P \xrightarrow{a!} P'}{P \|Q \xrightarrow{a!} P' \|Q} (\text{OUT}\|) \qquad \frac{P \xrightarrow{a?} P' \qquad Q \xrightarrow{a!} Q'}{P \|Q \xrightarrow{\tau} P' \|Q'} (\text{COMM})$$

$$\frac{\overline{T^{\tau} \to 0}}{P \|Q \xrightarrow{\tau} P' \|Q} (\text{TAU}) \qquad \frac{P \xrightarrow{\tau} P'}{P \|Q \xrightarrow{\tau} P' \|Q} (\text{TAU}\|)$$

- Inductive presentation of RPO LTS
- Context lemma:

a!

au

Let
$$\chi_{a!} = a? \quad \chi_{a?} = a! \quad \chi_{\tau} = 0$$

 $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' \quad \Rightarrow \quad P \parallel \chi_{\alpha} \to P'$

SOUNDNESS

- similarity is contained in reduction precongruence
- bisimilarity is contained in reduction congruence
- **Proof**: tau-labelled transitions agree with reductions and (bi) similarity is a (pre)congruence
- What about completeness?

EXPERIMENT MISMATCH

$$P_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a? \parallel a! \qquad \qquad P_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau$$

$$P_1 \lesssim P_2 \quad \text{but} \quad P_1 \not\gtrsim_{\mathcal{C}} P_2$$

(in fact $P_1 \simeq P_2$)

so completeness does not hold...

• Cause of problem: no account of "unsuccessful" experiments

HONDA TOKORO RULES

(K. Honda & M. Tokoro, An object calculus for asynchronous communication, ECOOP `91)

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{\tau} P'}{P \xrightarrow{a?} P' \|a!} (\text{INHT}) \qquad \frac{P \xrightarrow{\tau} P'}{P \xrightarrow{a!} P' \|a?} (\text{OUTHT})$$

Rules may appear only at the last place in the derivation
 ie we are looking at the LTS HT def = ΨC where
 Ψ def = {(INHT), (OUTHT)}

With these rules we have both soundness and completeness

PROOF

for soundness, enough to show simulation a precongruence

- $\{(P \parallel R, Q \parallel R) \mid P \preceq Q\}$ is a simulation
 - case $P \parallel R \xrightarrow{\tau} P' \parallel R'$ where $P \xrightarrow{a!} P', R \xrightarrow{a?} R'$
 - matched by "real output" $Q \xrightarrow{a!}{\longrightarrow}_{\mathcal{C}} Q' \quad P' \precsim Q'$
 - matched by Honda-Tokoro transition

 $Q' = Q'' \parallel a? \qquad Q \xrightarrow{\tau} Q'' \qquad P' \preceq Q'$ $Q \parallel R \xrightarrow{\tau} Q'' \parallel R = Q'' \parallel a? \parallel R' = Q' \parallel R'$

- for completeness:
 - easy to show: $P \xrightarrow{\alpha}_{\mathcal{H}T} P'$ iff $P \parallel \chi_{\alpha} \to P'$
 - this implies that reduction precongruence is a simulation



- Introduction
 Full asynchrony
 Asynchrony
 Synchrony
- 5. Infinite processes

ASYNCHRONY

SyntaxReduction semantics
$$P ::= 0 | a! | a?P | P || Q | \tau P$$
 $\tau P \to P$ $a! || a?P \to P$ $a! || a?P \to P$ Structural congruence $P \to P'$ $(P || Q) || R \equiv P || (Q || R)$ $P || Q \to P' || Q$ $P || Q \equiv Q || P$ $Q \equiv P || P \to P' || P' \equiv Q'$ $P || 0 \equiv P$ $Q \to Q'$

ASYNCHRONOUS EXPERIMENTS

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{a?} P'}{a?P \xrightarrow{a?} P} (IN) \qquad \frac{P \xrightarrow{a?} P'}{P \| Q \xrightarrow{a?} P' \| Q} (IN \|) \qquad \frac{\tau}{\tau \xrightarrow{\tau} 0} (TAU)$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{a!\downarrow R} P'}{P \| Q \xrightarrow{a!\downarrow R} P' \| Q} (OUT \|) \qquad \frac{P \xrightarrow{\tau} P'}{P \| Q \xrightarrow{\tau} P' \| Q} (TAU \|)$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{a?} P' \quad Q \xrightarrow{a!\downarrow 0} Q'}{P \| Q \xrightarrow{\tau} P' \| Q'} (COMM)$$

 $\Phi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ (\text{Tau}), (\text{Tau}\|), (\text{In}), (\text{In}\|), (\text{Out}), (\text{Out}\|), (\text{Comm}) \}$ $\mathcal{C}_a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Phi_a(\emptyset)$

CONTEXT LEMMA & SOUNDNESS

Context lemma

$$\chi_{a!\downarrow R} = a?R \quad \chi_{a?} = a! \quad \chi_{\tau} = 0$$
$$P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' \quad \Rightarrow \quad P \parallel \chi_{\alpha} \rightarrow P'$$

Soundness wrt contextual equivalence

EXPERIMENT MISMATCH

$$P_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a?a! \qquad P_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau$$
$$P_1 \lesssim P_2 \quad P_1 \not\gtrsim_{\mathcal{C}} P_2$$

• This means that some of our observations (labels) are morally unobservable. Which ones?

COMPLETENESS

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{\tau} P'}{P \xrightarrow{a?} P' \|a!} (\text{INHT}) \qquad \frac{P \xrightarrow{\tau} P'}{P \xrightarrow{a! \downarrow R} P' \|a?R} (\text{OUTHT})$$

• The resulting LTS is sound and complete

PROOF

• Soundness: $\{(P \parallel R, Q \parallel R) \mid P \preceq Q\}$ is a simulation

• case
$$P \parallel R \xrightarrow{\tau} P' \parallel R'$$

 $P \xrightarrow{a! \downarrow 0}_{\mathcal{C}} P' \quad R \xrightarrow{a?}_{\mathcal{C}} R$
 $R = R'' \parallel a?S \quad R' = R'' \parallel S$
 $P \xrightarrow{a! \downarrow S}_{\mathcal{C}} P' \parallel S$
 $Q \xrightarrow{\tau} Q' \quad Q \xrightarrow{a! \downarrow S}_{\mathcal{H}T} Q' \parallel a?S \qquad P' \parallel S \precsim Q' \parallel a?S$
 $Q \parallel R \xrightarrow{\tau} Q' \parallel R = Q' \parallel a?S \parallel R''$
 $P' \parallel R' = P' \parallel S \parallel R''$
Completeness: $P \xrightarrow{\alpha}_{\mathcal{H}T} P' \text{ iff } P \parallel \chi_{\alpha} \rightarrow P'$

REFINING

• But here outputs are observable!

 $a! \parallel Q \simeq R \quad \Rightarrow \quad R = a! \parallel R'$ proving this is surprisingly tricky $\frac{P \xrightarrow{\tau} P'}{P \xrightarrow{a?} P' \parallel a!} \text{(INHT)} \quad \frac{P \xrightarrow{\tau} P'}{P \xrightarrow{a! \downarrow R} P' \parallel a?R} \text{(OUTHT)}$

- It is good to get rid of HT rules when they are not necessary
 - LTS are smaller
 - bisimulations are easier to construct

ASYNCHRONOUS BISIMULATION

(R. AMADIO, I. CASTELLANI, D. SANGIORGI, ON BISIMULATIONS FOR THE ASYNCHRONOUS PI CALCULUS, TCS 195(2):291-324, 1998)

$$PRQ \& P \xrightarrow{a?} P' \text{ then either } Q \xrightarrow{a?} Q' \& P'RQ' \text{ or}$$
$$Q \xrightarrow{\tau} Q' \& P'R(Q' \parallel a!)$$

- Putting facts about observability into the definition of equivalence
- We don't like this
 - need to reprove basic facts about bisimilarity
 - not clear exactly what is "asynchronous" about the bisimilarity
- We like the principle of getting the "right" labelled transitions into the LTS



Introduction
 Full asynchrony
 Asynchrony
 Synchrony

5. Infinite processes

SYNCHRONY

Reduction semantics

Syntax

 $P ::= 0 \mid a!P \mid a?P \mid P \parallel Q \mid \tau P$

 $\tau P \to P$ $a!P \parallel a?Q \to P \parallel Q$

Structural congruence $(P \parallel Q) \parallel R \equiv P \parallel (Q \parallel R)$ $P \parallel Q \equiv Q \parallel P$ $P \parallel 0 \equiv P$

 $P \to P'$ $\overline{P \parallel Q} \to P' \parallel Q$ $Q \equiv P \quad P \to P' \quad P' \equiv Q'$ $Q \to Q'$

SOS



$$\frac{R}{a! \xrightarrow{a! \downarrow R} R} (\text{OUT}) \qquad \frac{P \xrightarrow{a! \downarrow R} P'}{P \| Q \xrightarrow{a! \downarrow R} P' \| Q} (\text{OUT} \|) \qquad \frac{P \xrightarrow{\tau} P'}{P \| Q \xrightarrow{\tau} P' \| Q} (\text{TAU} \|)$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{a? \downarrow 0} P' \quad Q \xrightarrow{a! \downarrow 0} Q'}{P \| Q \xrightarrow{\tau} P' \| Q'} (\text{COMM})$$

Context lemma & soundness

HONDATOKORO



- Again, the LTS completed with HT rules is sound and complete
- This time, both the actions are observable and so both Honda Tokoro rules are unnecessary
 - ie the SOS on the previous slide is sound and complete for contextual equivalence

GENERAL HT RULE FORM

• Suppose that α is an action with an associated context χ_{lpha}

$$P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' \Rightarrow \chi_{\alpha}(P) \to P'$$
$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{\tau} P'}{\frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha}(P')}{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha}(P')}} (\alpha HT)$$

MORALS OF THE STORY

- Labelled transitions are used to
 - I. generate the reduction relation inductively
 - 2. give a proof method for reasoning about contextually defined process equivalence
- The first (choosing experiment) can be done systematically, starting from reductions
- Morally non-observable labels can then be made unobservable using Honda-Tokoro rules, characterising contextual equivalence
 - observability is a calculus-specific notion