

# QUASI-SHUFFLE PRODUCTS

MICHAEL E. HOFFMAN

**ABSTRACT.** Given a locally finite graded set  $A$  and a commutative, associative operation on  $A$  that adds degrees, we construct a commutative multiplication  $*$  on the set of noncommutative polynomials in  $A$  which we call a quasi-shuffle product; it can be viewed as a generalization of the shuffle product  $\mathfrak{M}$ . We extend this commutative algebra structure to a Hopf algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}, *, \Delta)$ ; in the case where  $A$  is the set of positive integers and the operation on  $A$  is addition, this gives the Hopf algebra of quasi-symmetric functions. If rational coefficients are allowed, the quasi-shuffle product is in fact no more general than the shuffle product; we give an isomorphism  $\exp$  of the shuffle Hopf algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{M}, \Delta)$  onto  $(\mathfrak{A}, *, \Delta)$ . Both the set  $L$  of Lyndon words on  $A$  and their images  $\{\exp(w) \mid w \in L\}$  freely generate the algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}, *)$ . We also consider the graded dual of  $(\mathfrak{A}, *, \Delta)$ . We define a deformation  $*_q$  of  $*$  that coincides with  $*$  when  $q = 1$  and is isomorphic to the concatenation product when  $q$  is not a root of unity. Finally, we discuss various examples, particularly the algebra of quasi-symmetric functions (dual to the noncommutative symmetric functions) and the algebra of Euler sums.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $k$  be a subfield of  $\mathbf{C}$ , and let  $A$  be a locally finite graded set. If we think of the graded noncommutative polynomial algebra  $\mathfrak{A} = k\langle A \rangle$  as a vector space over  $k$ , we can make it commutative  $k$ -algebra by giving it the shuffle multiplication  $\mathfrak{M}$ , defined inductively by

$$aw_1 \mathfrak{M} bw_2 = a(w_1 \mathfrak{M} bw_2) + b(aw_1 \mathfrak{M} w_2)$$

for  $a, b \in A$  and words  $w_1, w_2$ . The commutative  $k$ -algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{M})$  is in fact a polynomial algebra on the Lyndon words in  $\mathfrak{A}$  (as defined in §2 below). If we define

$$\Delta(w) = \sum_{uv=w} u \otimes v,$$

then  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{M}, \Delta)$  becomes a commutative (but not cocommutative) Hopf algebra, usually called the shuffle Hopf algebra; and its graded dual is the concatenation Hopf algebra (see [15], Chapter 1).

Recently another pair of dual Hopf algebras has inspired much interest. The Hopf algebra **Sym** of noncommutative symmetric functions, introduced in [7], has as its graded dual the Hopf algebra of quasi-symmetric functions [5,13]. In a recent paper of the author [12], the algebra of quasi-symmetric functions arose via a modification of the shuffle product, which suggested a connection between the two pairs of Hopf

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16W30, 16W50, 16S80; Secondary 05E05.

*Key words and phrases.* Hopf algebra, shuffle algebra, quasi-symmetric functions, Lyndon word, noncommutative symmetric functions, quantum shuffle product.

algebras. In fact, the Hopf algebra of quasi-symmetric functions (over  $k$ ) is known to be isomorphic to the shuffle Hopf algebra on a countably infinite set of generators (with one in each positive degree). It is the purpose of this paper to study this Hopf algebra isomorphism in a more general setting. (We emphasize that we are working over a subfield  $k$  of  $\mathbf{C}$ ; if we instead work over  $\mathbf{Z}$ , there is no such isomorphism—the integral algebra of quasi-symmetric functions is a polynomial algebra [3,17], but the integral shuffle algebra is not [11].)

More explicitly, our construction is as follows. Suppose also that for any generators  $a, b \in A$  there is another generator  $[a, b]$  so that the operation  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is commutative, associative, and adds degrees. If we define a “quasi-shuffle product”  $*$  by

$$aw_1 * bw_2 = a(w_1 * bw_2) + b(aw_1 * w_2) + [a, b](w_1 * w_2),$$

then  $(\mathfrak{A}, *)$  is a commutative and associative  $k$ -algebra (Theorem 2.1 below). In fact, as we show in §3,  $(\mathfrak{A}, *, \Delta)$  is a Hopf algebra, which we call the quasi-shuffle Hopf algebra corresponding to  $A$  and  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ . This construction gives the quasi-symmetric functions in the case where  $A$  consists of one element  $z_i$  in each degree  $i > 0$ , with  $[z_i, z_j] = z_{i+j}$ ; this and other examples are discussed in §6. We give an explicit isomorphism  $\exp$  from the shuffle Hopf algebra on the generating set  $A$  onto any quasi-shuffle Hopf algebra with the same generating set (Theorems 2.5 and 3.3). This allows us to show that any quasi-shuffle algebra on  $A$  is the free polynomial algebra on Lyndon words in  $\mathfrak{A}$  (Theorem 2.6). In §4 we take (graded) duals, giving an isomorphism  $\exp^*$  from the concatenation Hopf algebra to the dual of  $(\mathfrak{A}, *, \Delta)$ .

In §5 we consider a  $q$ -deformation  $*_q$  of the quasi-shuffle product, generalizing the quantum shuffle product as defined in [4] (see also [10,16]). This product coincides with the quasi-shuffle product  $*$  when  $q = 1$ , but is noncommutative when  $q \neq 1$ ; when  $q$  is not a root of unity, we use the theorem of Varchenko [20] to prove that the algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}, *_q)$  is isomorphic to the concatenation algebra on  $A$  (Theorem 5.4). In this case, if we declare the elements of  $A$  primitive, we get a Hopf algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}, *_q, \Delta_q)$  isomorphic to the concatenation Hopf algebra.

A construction equivalent to the quasi-shuffle algebra, but (in effect) not assuming commutativity of the operation  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ , was developed independently by F. Fares [6]. The author thanks A. Joyal for bringing it to his attention.

**2. The algebra structure.** As above we begin with the graded noncommutative polynomial algebra  $\mathfrak{A} = k\langle A \rangle$  over a subfield  $k \subset \mathbf{C}$ , where  $A$  is a locally finite set of generators (i.e. for each positive integer  $n$  the set  $A_n$  of generators in degree  $n$  is finite). We write  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  for the vector space of homogeneous elements of  $\mathfrak{A}$  of degree  $n$ . We shall refer to elements of  $A$  as letters, and to monomials in the letters as words. For any word  $w$  we write  $\ell(w)$  for its length (the number of letters it contains) and  $|w|$  for its degree (the sum of the degrees of its factors). The unique word of length 0 is 1, the empty word.

Now define a new multiplication  $*$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$  by requiring that  $*$  distribute over addition, that  $1 * w = w * 1 = w$  for any word  $w$ , and that, for any words  $w_1, w_2$  and letters  $a, b$ ,

$$(1) \quad aw_1 * bw_2 = a(w_1 * bw_2) + b(aw_1 * w_2) + [a, b](w_1 * w_2),$$

where  $[\cdot, \cdot] : \bar{A} \times \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{A}$  ( $\bar{A} = A \cup \{0\}$ ) is a function satisfying

$$S0. \quad [a, 0] = 0 \text{ for all } a \in \bar{A};$$

- S1.  $[a, b] = [b, a]$  for all  $a, b \in \bar{A}$ ;
- S2.  $[[a, b], c] = [a, [b, c]]$  for all  $a, b, c \in \bar{A}$ ; and
- S3. Either  $[a, b] = 0$  or  $|[a, b]| = |a| + |b|$  for all  $a, b \in A$ .

**Theorem 2.1.**  $(\mathfrak{A}, *)$  is a commutative graded  $k$ -algebra.

*Proof.* It is enough to show that the operation  $*$  is commutative, associative, and adds degrees. For commutativity, it suffices to show  $w_1 * w_2 = w_2 * w_1$  for any words  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ . We proceed by induction on  $\ell(w_1) + \ell(w_2)$ . Since there is nothing to prove if either  $w_1$  or  $w_2$  is empty, we can assume there are letters  $a, b$  so that  $w_1 = au$  and  $w_2 = bu$ . Then (1) together with the induction hypothesis gives

$$w_1 * w_2 - w_2 * w_1 = [a, b](u * v) - [b, a](v * u),$$

and the right-hand side is zero by the induction hypothesis and (S1). Similarly, for associativity it is enough to prove  $w_1 * (w_2 * w_3) = (w_1 * w_2) * w_3$  for any words  $w_1, w_2$ , and  $w_3$ : this follows from induction on  $\ell(w_1) + \ell(w_2) + \ell(w_3)$  using (1) and (S2). Finally, to show  $*$  adds degrees, induct on  $\ell(w_1) + \ell(w_2)$  using (1) and (S3) to prove that  $|w_1 * w_2| = |w_1| + |w_2|$  for any words  $w_1, w_2$ .

If  $[a, b] = 0$  for all  $a, b \in A$ , then  $(\mathfrak{A}, *)$  is the shuffle algebra as usually defined (see e.g. [15]) and we write  $\mathfrak{m}$  for the multiplication instead of  $*$ . Suppose now that the set  $A$  of letters is totally ordered. Then lexicographic ordering gives a total order on the words: we put  $u < uv$  for any nonempty word  $v$ , and  $w_1aw_2 < w_1bw_3$  for any letters  $a < b$  and words  $w_1, w_2$ , and  $w_3$ . We call a word  $w \neq 1$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  Lyndon if  $w < v$  for any nontrivial factorization  $w = uv$ . The following result is proved in Chapter 6 of [15]; it was first obtained by Radford [14].

**Theorem 2.2.** The shuffle algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{m})$  is the free polynomial algebra on the Lyndon words.

We shall define an isomorphism  $\exp : (\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{A}, *)$ . To do so, we must first develop some notation relating to the operation  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  and compositions. Define inductively  $[S] \in \bar{A}$  for any finite sequence  $S$  of elements of  $A$  by setting  $[a] = a$  for  $a \in A$ , and  $[a, S] = [a, [S]]$  for any  $a \in A$  and sequence  $S$  of elements of  $A$ .

- Proposition 2.3.** (i) If  $[S] = 0$ , then  $[S'] = 0$  whenever  $S$  is a subsequence of  $S'$ ;  
(ii)  $[S]$  does not depend on the order of the elements of  $S$  (i.e., it depends only on the underlying multiset of  $S$ );  
(iii) For any sequences  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ ,  $[S_1 \sqcup S_2] = [[S_1], [S_2]]$ , where  $S_1 \sqcup S_2$  denotes the concatenation of sequences  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ ;  
(iv) If  $[S] \neq 0$ , then the degree of  $S$  is the sum of the degrees of the elements of  $S$ .

*Proof.* (i),(ii),(iii),(iv) follow from (S0),(S1),(S2),(S3) respectively.

A composition of a positive integer  $n$  is a sequence  $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$  of positive integers such that  $i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_k = n$ . We call  $n = |I|$  the weight of  $I$  and  $k = \ell(I)$  its length; we write  $\mathcal{C}(n)$  for the set of compositions of  $n$ , and  $\mathcal{C}(n, k)$  for the set of compositions of  $n$  of length  $k$ . For  $I \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)$  and  $J \in \mathcal{C}(k, l)$ , the composition  $J \circ I \in \mathcal{C}(n, l)$  is given by

$$J \circ I = (i_1 + \dots + i_{j_1}, i_{j_1+1} + \dots + i_{j_1+j_2}, \dots, i_{j_1+\dots+j_{l-1}+1} + \dots + i_k).$$

If  $K = J \circ I$  for some  $J$ , we call  $I$  a refinement of  $K$  and write  $I \succ K$ . Compositions act on words via  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  as follows. For any word  $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$  and composition  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_l) \in \mathcal{C}(n)$ , set

$$I[w] = [a_1, \dots, a_{i_1}][a_{i_1+1}, \dots, a_{i_1+i_2}] \cdots [a_{i_1+\dots+i_{l-1}+1}, \dots, a_n].$$

(This is really an action in the sense that  $I[J[w]] = I \circ J[w]$ .)

Now let  $\exp : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  be the linear map with  $\exp(1) = 1$  and

$$\exp(w) = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_l) \in \mathcal{C}(\ell(w))} \frac{1}{i_1! \cdots i_l!} (i_1, \dots, i_l)[w]$$

for any nonempty word  $w$  (so, e.g.  $\exp(a_1 a_2 a_3) = a_1 a_2 a_3 + \frac{1}{2}[a_1, a_2]a_3 + \frac{1}{2}a_1[a_2, a_3] + \frac{1}{6}[a_1, a_2, a_3]$ ). There is an inverse log of  $\exp$  given by

$$\log(w) = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_l) \in \mathcal{C}(\ell(w))} \frac{(-1)^{\ell(w)-l}}{i_1 \cdots i_l} (i_1, \dots, i_l)[w]$$

for any word  $w$ , and extended to  $\mathfrak{A}$  by linearity; this follows by taking  $f(t) = e^t - 1$  in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $f(t) = a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + a_3 t^3 + \cdots$  be a function analytic at the origin, with  $a_1 \neq 0$  and  $a_i \in k$  for all  $i$ , and let  $f^{-1}(t) = b_1 t + b_2 t^2 + b_3 t^3 + \cdots$  be the inverse of  $f$ . Then the map  $\Psi_f : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  given by*

$$\Psi_f(w) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}(\ell(w))} a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_l} I[w]$$

for words  $w$ , and extended linearly, has inverse  $\Psi_f^{-1} = \Psi_{f^{-1}}$  given by

$$\Psi_{f^{-1}}(w) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}(\ell(w))} b_{i_1} b_{i_2} \cdots b_{i_l} I[w].$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $\Psi_{f^{-1}}(\Psi_f(w)) = w$  for any word  $w$  of length  $n \geq 1$  (Note that  $\Psi_f(\Psi_{f^{-1}}(w)) = w$  is then automatic, since  $\Psi_f$  and  $\Psi_{f^{-1}}$  can be thought of as linear maps of the vector space with basis  $\{I[w] \mid I \in \mathcal{C}(n)\}$ .) Now for any  $K = (k_1, \dots, k_l) \in \mathcal{C}(n)$ , the coefficient of  $K[w]$  in  $\Psi_{f^{-1}}(\Psi_f(w))$  is

$$(2) \quad \sum_{J \circ I = K} b_{j_1} b_{j_2} \cdots b_{j_l} a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_l}.$$

We must show that (2) is 1 if  $K$  is a sequence of  $n$  1's, and 0 otherwise. To see this, let  $t_1, t_2, \dots$  be commuting variables. Then (2) is the coefficient of  $t_1^{k_1} t_2^{k_2} \cdots t_l^{k_l}$  in

$$t_1 t_2 \cdots t_l = f^{-1}(f(t_1)) f^{-1}(f(t_2)) \cdots f^{-1}(f(t_l)).$$

**Theorem 2.5.** *exp is an isomorphism of  $(\mathfrak{A}, \sqcup)$  onto  $(\mathfrak{A}, *)$  (as graded  $k$ -algebras).*

*Proof.* From the lemma, exp is invertible. Also, it follows from 2.3(iv) that exp preserves degree. To show exp a homomorphism it suffices to show  $\exp(w \sqcup v) = \exp(w) * \exp(v)$  for any words  $w, v$ . Let  $w = a_1 \cdots a_n$  and  $v = b_1 \cdots b_m$ . Evidently both  $\exp(w \sqcup v)$  and  $\exp(w) * \exp(v)$  are sums of rational multiples of terms

$$(3) \quad [S_1 \sqcup T_1][S_2 \sqcup T_2] \cdots [S_l \sqcup T_l]$$

where the  $S_i$  and  $T_i$  are subsequences of  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  respectively such that

- i. for each  $i$ , at most one of  $S_i, T_i$  is empty; and
- ii. the concatenation  $S_1 \sqcup S_2 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S_l$  is the sequence  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , and similarly the  $T_i$  concatenate to give the sequence  $b_1, \dots, b_m$ .

Now the term (3) arises in  $\exp(w) * \exp(v)$  in only one way, and its coefficient is

$$\frac{1}{(\text{length } S_1)! (\text{length } S_2)! \cdots (\text{length } S_l)! (\text{length } T_1)! (\text{length } T_2)! \cdots (\text{length } T_l)!}$$

On the other hand, (3) can arise in  $\exp(w \sqcup v)$  from

$$\binom{\text{length } S_1 \sqcup T_1}{\text{length } S_1} \binom{\text{length } S_2 \sqcup T_2}{\text{length } S_2} \cdots \binom{\text{length } S_l \sqcup T_l}{\text{length } S_l} = \frac{(\text{length } S_1 \sqcup T_1)! \cdots (\text{length } S_l \sqcup T_l)!}{(\text{length } S_1)! \cdots (\text{length } S_l)! (\text{length } T_1)! \cdots (\text{length } T_l)!}$$

distinct terms of the shuffle product  $w \sqcup v$ , and after application of exp each such term acquires a coefficient of

$$\frac{1}{(\text{length } S_1 \sqcup T_1)! \cdots (\text{length } S_l \sqcup T_l)!}$$

It follows from Theorems 2.2 and 2.5 that  $(\mathfrak{A}, *)$  is the free polynomial algebra on the elements  $\{\exp(w) \mid w \text{ is a Lyndon word}\}$ . In fact the following is true.

**Theorem 2.6.**  *$(\mathfrak{A}, *)$  is the free polynomial algebra on the Lyndon words.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that any word  $w$  can be written as a  $*$ -polynomial of Lyndon words. We proceed by induction on  $\ell(w)$ . If  $\ell(w) = 1$  the result is immediate, since every letter is a Lyndon word. Now let  $\ell(w) > 1$ : by Theorem 2.5 there are Lyndon words  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  and a polynomial  $P$  so that

$$w = P(\exp(w_1), \dots, \exp(w_n))$$

in  $(\mathfrak{A}, *)$ . Note that since  $\log(w) = P(w_1, \dots, w_n)$  in  $(\mathfrak{A}, \sqcup)$ , we can assume every term of  $P(w_1, \dots, w_n)$  (as a  $\sqcup$ -polynomial) has length at most  $\ell(w)$ , since the shuffle product preserves lengths. But then in  $(\mathfrak{A}, *)$ ,

$$w - P(w_1, \dots, w_n) = P(\exp(w_1), \dots, \exp(w_n)) - P(w_1, \dots, w_n)$$

must consist of terms of length less than  $\ell(w)$ , and so is expressible in terms of Lyndon words by the induction hypothesis.

By the preceding result, the number of generators of  $(\mathfrak{A}, *)$  in degree  $n$  is the number  $L_n$  of Lyndon words of degree  $n$ . This number can be calculated from Poincaré series

$$A(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (\dim \mathfrak{A}_n) x^n = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} (\text{card } A_n) x^n}$$

of  $\mathfrak{A}$  as follows.

**Proposition 2.7.** *The number  $L_n$  of Lyndon words in  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  is given by*

$$L_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) c_d,$$

where the numbers  $c_n$  are defined by

$$x \frac{d}{dx} \log A(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n x^n$$

for  $A(x)$  as above.

*Proof.* In view of Theorems 2.2 and 2.6, we must have

$$A(x) = \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - x^n)^{-L_n}.$$

The conclusion then follows from taking logarithms, differentiating, and using the Möbius inversion formula.

**3. The Hopf algebra structure.** For basic definitions and facts about Hopf algebras see [18]. We define a comultiplication  $\Delta : \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  and counit  $\epsilon : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow k$  by

$$\Delta(w) = \sum_{uv=w} u \otimes v$$

and

$$\epsilon(w) = \begin{cases} 1, & w = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for any word  $w$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{A}, \Delta, \epsilon)$  is evidently a (non-cocommutative) coalgebra. In fact the following result holds.

**Theorem 3.1.**  *$\mathfrak{A}$  with the  $*$ -multiplication and  $\Delta$ -comultiplication is a bialgebra.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $\epsilon$  and  $\Delta$  are  $*$ -homomorphisms. The statement for  $\epsilon$  is obvious; to show  $\Delta(w_1) * \Delta(w_2) = \Delta(w_1 * w_2)$  for any words  $w_1, w_2$  use induction on  $\ell(w_1) + \ell(w_2)$ . Since the result is immediate if  $w_1$  or  $w_2$  is 1, we can write  $w_1 = au$  and  $w_2 = bv$  for letters  $a, b$  and words  $u, v$ . Adopting Sweedler's sigma notation [18], we write

$$\Delta(u) = \sum u_{(1)} \otimes u_{(2)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(v) = \sum v_{(1)} \otimes v_{(2)}.$$

Then from the definition of  $\Delta$ ,

$$\Delta(w_1) = \sum au_{(1)} \otimes u_{(2)} + 1 \otimes au \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(w_2) = \sum bv_{(1)} \otimes v_{(2)} + 1 \otimes bv,$$

so that  $\Delta(w_1) * \Delta(w_2)$  is

$$\sum (au_{(1)} * bv_{(1)}) \otimes (u_{(2)} * v_{(2)}) + \sum au_{(1)} \otimes (u_{(2)} * bv) + \sum bv_{(1)} \otimes (au * v_{(2)}) + 1 \otimes (au * bv).$$

Using (1), this is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum a(u_{(1)} * bv_{(1)}) \otimes (u_{(2)} * v_{(2)}) + \sum b(au_{(1)} * v_{(1)}) \otimes (u_{(2)} * v_{(2)}) + \\ & \sum [a, b](u_{(1)} * v_{(1)}) \otimes (u_{(2)} * v_{(2)}) + \sum au_{(1)} \otimes (u_{(2)} * bv) + \sum bv_{(1)} \otimes (au * v_{(2)}) \\ & + 1 \otimes a(u * w_2) + 1 \otimes b(w_1 * v) + 1 \otimes [a, b](u * v), \end{aligned}$$

or, applying the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} & (a \otimes 1)(\Delta(u) * \Delta(w_2)) + 1 \otimes a(u * w_2) + (b \otimes 1)(\Delta(w_1) * \Delta(v)) + 1 \otimes b(w_1 * v) \\ & + ([a, b] \otimes 1)\Delta(u * v) + 1 \otimes [a, b](u * v), \end{aligned}$$

which can be recognized as  $\Delta(w_1 * w_2) = \Delta(a(u * w_2) + b(w_1 * v) + [a, b](u * v))$ .

Since both  $*$  and  $\Delta$  respect the grading, it follows automatically that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a Hopf algebra (cf. Lemma 2.1 of [5]). In fact there are two explicit formulas for the antipode, whose agreement is of some interest.

**Theorem 3.2.** *The bialgebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  has antipode  $S$  given by*

$$\begin{aligned} S(w) &= \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_l) \in \mathcal{C}(n)} (-1)^l a_1 \cdots a_{i_1} * a_{i_1+1} \cdots a_{i_1+i_2} * \cdots * a_{i_1+\dots+i_{l-1}+1} \cdots a_n \\ &= (-1)^n \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}(n)} I[a_n a_{n-1} \cdots a_1] \end{aligned}$$

for any word  $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

*Proof.* We can compute  $S$  recursively from  $S(1) = 1$  and

$$(4) \quad S(w) = - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S(a_1 \cdots a_k) * a_{k+1} \cdots a_n$$

for a word  $w = a_1 \cdots a_n$ . The first formula for  $S$  then follows easily by induction on  $n$ . For the the second formula, we also proceed by induction on  $n$ , following the proof of Proposition 3.4 of [5]. For  $w = a_1 \cdots a_n$ ,  $n > 0$ , the induction hypothesis and (4) give  $S(w)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_l) \in \mathcal{C}(k)} (-1)^{k+1} (i_1, \dots, i_l) [a_k a_{k-1} \cdots a_1] * a_{k+1} \cdots a_n = \\ & \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_l) \in \mathcal{C}(k)} (-1)^{k+1} [a_k, a_{k-1}, \dots, a_{k-i_1+1}] \cdots [a_{i_l}, \dots, a_1] * a_{k+1} \cdots a_n \end{aligned}$$

Now the first factor of each term of the  $*$ -product in the inner sum is, from consideration of (1), one of three generators:  $[a_k, \dots, a_{k-i_1+1}]$ ,  $[a_{k+1}, a_k, \dots, a_{k-i_1+1}]$ , or  $a_{k+1}$ . We say the term is of type  $k$  in the first case, and of type  $k+1$  in the latter two cases. Now consider a word that appears in the expansion of  $S(w)$ . If it has type  $i \leq n-1$ , then it occurs for both  $k=i$  and  $k=i-1$ , and the two occurrences will cancel. The only words that do not cancel are those of type  $n$ , which occur only for  $k=n-1$ : these will all carry the coefficient  $(-1)^n$ , and give the second formula for  $S(w)$ .

*Remark.* In the case of the shuffle algebra (i.e., where  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is identically zero), the second formula for the antipode is simply  $S(w) = (-1)^{\ell(w)} \bar{w}$ . Cf. [15, p. 35].

**Theorem 3.3.**  $\exp : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  is a Hopf algebra isomorphism of  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{m}, \Delta)$  onto  $(\mathfrak{A}, *, \Delta)$ .

*Proof.* We have already shown that  $\exp$  is an algebra homomorphism. It suffices to show that  $\exp \circ \epsilon(w) = \epsilon \circ \exp(w)$  and  $\Delta \circ \exp(w) = (\exp \otimes \exp) \circ \Delta(w)$  for any word  $w$ . The first equation is immediate, and the second follows since both sides are equal to

$$\sum_{uv=w} \sum_{\substack{(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \mathcal{C}(\ell(u)) \\ (j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{C}(\ell(v))}} \frac{1}{i_1! \cdots i_k!} I[u] \otimes \frac{1}{j_1! \cdots j_l!} J[v].$$

**4. Duality.** The graded dual  $\mathfrak{A}^* = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{A}_n^*$  has a basis consisting of elements  $w^*$ , where  $w$  is a word of  $\mathfrak{A}$ : the pairing  $(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A}^* \rightarrow k$  is given by

$$(u, v^*) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u = v \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the transpose of  $\Delta$  is the concatenation product  $\text{conc}(u^* \otimes v^*) = (uv)^*$ , and the transpose of  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the comultiplication  $\delta$  defined by

$$\delta(w^*) = \sum_{\text{words } u, v \text{ of } \mathfrak{A}} (u \mathfrak{m} v, w^*) u^* \otimes v^*.$$

Since  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{m}, \Delta)$  is a Hopf algebra, so is its graded dual  $(\mathfrak{A}^*, \text{conc}, \delta)$ , which is called the concatenation Hopf algebra in [15]. Dualizing  $(\mathfrak{A}, *, \Delta)$ , we also have a Hopf algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}^*, \text{conc}, \delta')$ , where  $\delta'$  is the comultiplication defined by

$$\delta'(w^*) = \sum_{\text{words } u, v \text{ of } \mathfrak{A}} (u * v, w^*) u^* \otimes v^*.$$

Then from our earlier results we have the following.

**Theorem 4.1.** *There is a Hopf algebra isomorphism  $\exp^*$  from  $(\mathfrak{A}^*, \text{conc}, \delta')$  to  $(\mathfrak{A}^*, \text{conc}, \delta)$ .*

$\exp^*$  is the transpose of  $\exp$ : explicitly,  $\exp^*$  is the endomorphism of  $(\mathfrak{A}^*, \text{conc})$  with

$$\exp^*(a^*) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{(n)[w]=a} w^* = \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{[a_1, \dots, a_n]=a} \frac{1}{n!} (a_1 \cdots a_n)^*$$

for  $a \in A$ . It has inverse  $\log^*$  given by

$$(5) \quad \log^*(a^*) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} \sum_{(n)[w]=a} w^*, \quad a \in A.$$

The set of Lie polynomials in  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  is the smallest sub-vector-space of  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  containing the set of generators  $\{a^* \mid a \in A\}$  and closed under the Lie bracket

$$[P, Q]_{\text{Lie}} = PQ - QP.$$

Since the Lie polynomials are exactly the primitives for  $\delta$  [15, Theorem 1.4], we have the following result.

**Theorem 4.2.** *The primitives for  $\delta'$  are elements of the form  $\log^* P$ , where  $P$  is a Lie polynomial.*

We note that  $(\mathfrak{A}^*, \text{conc}, \delta')$  has antipode

$$S^*(w^*) = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}(\bar{w})} (-1)^{\ell(v)} v^*,$$

where  $\bar{w}$  is the reverse of  $w$  (i.e.  $\bar{w} = a_n a_{n-1} \cdots a_1$  if  $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ ) and  $\mathcal{P}(w) = \{v \mid I[v] = w \text{ for some } I \in \mathcal{C}(\ell(v))\}$ .

**5.  $q$ -deformation.** We now define a deformation of  $(\mathfrak{A}, *)$ . We again start with the noncommutative polynomial algebra  $\mathfrak{A} = k\langle A \rangle$  and define, for  $q \in k$ , a new multiplication  $*_q$  by requiring that  $*_q$  distribute over addition, that  $w *_q 1 = 1 *_q w = w$  for any word  $w$  and that

$$(6) \quad aw_1 *_q bw_2 = a(w_1 *_q bw_2) + q^{|aw_1||b|} b(aw_1 *_q w_2) + q^{|w_1||b|} [a, b](w_1 *_q w_2)$$

for any words  $w_1, w_2$  and letters  $a, b$ .

**Theorem 5.1.**  *$(\mathfrak{A}, *_q)$  is a graded  $k$ -algebra, which coincides with  $(\mathfrak{A}, *)$  when  $q = 1$ .*

*Proof.* The argument is similar to that for Theorem 2.1. It is easy to show that  $|w_1 *_q w_2| = |w_1| + |w_2|$  for any words  $w_1, w_2$  by induction on  $\ell(w_1) + \ell(w_2)$ . To show the operation  $*_q$  associative, it suffices to show that  $w_1 *_q (w_2 *_q w_3) = (w_1 *_q w_2) *_q w_3$  for any words  $w_1, w_2$ , and  $w_3$ , which we do by induction on  $\ell(w_1) + \ell(w_2) + \ell(w_3)$ . We can assume  $w_i = a_i u_i$  for letters  $a_i$  and words  $u_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Then  $w_1 *_q (w_2 *_q w_3)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} & a_1(u_1 *_q a_2(u_2 *_q w_3)) + q^{|w_1||a_2|} a_2(w_1 *_q (u_2 *_q w_3)) + q^{|u_1||a_2|} [a_1, a_2](u_1 *_q (u_2 *_q w_3)) \\ & + q^{|w_2||a_3|} a_1(u_1 *_q a_3(w_2 *_q u_3)) + q^{|w_2||a_3|+|w_1||a_3|} a_3(w_1 *_q (w_2 *_q u_3)) + \\ & q^{|w_2||a_3|+|u_1||a_3|} [a_1, a_3](u_1 *_q (w_2 *_q u_3)) + q^{|u_2||a_3|} a_1(u_1 *_q [a_2, a_3](u_2 *_q u_3)) + \\ & q^{|u_2||a_3|+|w_1||a_2 a_3|} [a_2, a_3](w_1 *_q (u_2 *_q u_3)) + q^{|u_2||a_3|+|u_1||a_2 a_3|} [a_1, a_2, a_3](u_1 *_q (u_2 *_q u_3)), \end{aligned}$$

while  $(w_1 *_q w_2) *_q w_3$  is

$$\begin{aligned} & a_1((u_1 *_q w_2) *_q w_3) + q^{|w_1 w_2||a_3|} a_3(a_1(u_1 *_q w_2) *_q u_3) + q^{|u_1 w_2||a_3|} [a_1, a_3]((u_1 *_q w_2) *_q u_3) \\ & + q^{|w_1||a_2|} a_2((w_1 *_q u_2) *_q w_3) + q^{|w_1||a_2|+|w_1 w_2||a_3|} a_3(a_2(w_1 *_q u_2) *_q w_3) + \\ & q^{|w_1||a_2|+|w_1 u_2||a_3|} [a_2, a_3]((w_1 *_q u_2) *_q w_3) + q^{|u_1||a_2|} [a_1, a_2]((u_1 *_q u_2) *_q w_3) + \\ & q^{|u_1||a_2|+|w_1 w_2||a_3|} a_3([a_1, a_2](u_1 *_q u_2) *_q w_3) + q^{|u_1||a_2|+|u_1 u_2||a_3|} [a_1, a_2, a_3]((u_1 *_q u_2) *_q w_3). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the induction hypothesis, the difference is

$$\begin{aligned} & a_1(u_1 *_q (a_2(u_2 *_q w_3) + q^{|w_2||a_3|} a_3(w_2 *_q u_3) + q^{|u_2||a_3|} [a_2, a_3](u_2 *_q u_3))) \\ & + q^{(|w_2|+|w_1|)|a_3|} a_3(w_1 *_q (w_2 *_q u_3)) - a_1((u_1 *_q w_2) *_q w_3) \\ & - q^{|w_1 w_2||a_3|} a_3((a_1(u_1 *_q w_2) + q^{|w_1||a_2|} a_2(w_1 *_q u_2) + q^{|u_1||a_2|} [a_1, a_2](u_1 *_q u_2)) *_q w_3), \end{aligned}$$

which by application of (6) and the induction hypothesis is seen to be zero.

*Remark.* The author arrived at the definition (6) as follows. Knowing the first two terms on the right-hand side from the definition of the quantum shuffle product, he tried an arbitrary power of  $q$  on the third term, and found that the resulting product was only associative when the exponent is as in (6). Shortly afterward he discussed this with J.-Y. Thibon, who directed him to [19], where the rule (6) appears in the special case of the quasi-symmetric functions (see Example 1 below).

Of course, for  $q \neq 1$  the algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}, *_q)$  is no longer commutative. For each fixed  $q$ , there is a homomorphism  $\Phi_q$  of graded associative  $k$ -algebras from the concatenation algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}, \text{conc})$  to  $(\mathfrak{A}, *_q)$  defined by

$$\Phi_q(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n) = a_1 *_q a_2 *_q \cdots *_q a_n$$

for letters  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ ; we call  $q$  generic if  $\Phi_q$  is an isomorphism (i.e., if it is surjective). To give an explicit formula for  $\Phi_q$ , we introduce some notation. For a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , let  $\iota(\sigma) = \{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n \text{ and } \sigma(i) > \sigma(j)\}$  be the set of inversions of  $\sigma$ , and let  $C(\sigma)$  be the descent composition of  $\sigma$ , i.e. the composition  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l) \in \mathcal{C}(n)$  with

$$\sigma(i_1 + \cdots + i_{j-1} + 1) < \sigma(i_1 + \cdots + i_{j-1} + 2) < \cdots < \sigma(i_1 + \cdots + i_j)$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$  and  $l$  minimal. (Equivalently,  $C(\sigma) = (i_1, \dots, i_l)$  is the composition such that the associated subset  $\{i_1, i_1 + i_2, \dots, i_1 + \cdots + i_{l-1}\}$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  is the descent set of  $\sigma$ , i.e. the set of  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  such that  $\sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1)$ .)

**Lemma 5.2.** *For any letters  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ ,*

$$\Phi_q(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n) = \sum_{\text{permutations } \sigma} q^{\sum_{(i,j) \in \iota(\sigma)} |a_i| |a_j|} \sum_{I \succ C(\sigma)} I[a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)}]$$

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $n$ , the case  $n = 2$  being immediate. Assuming the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\Phi_q(a_1 \cdots a_{n+1}) = \sum_{(\sigma, I) \in P(n)} q^{\sum_{(i,j) \in \iota(\sigma)} |a_i| |a_j|} I[a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)}] * a_{n+1}$$

where  $P(n)$  is the set of ordered pairs  $(\sigma, I)$  such that  $\sigma$  is a permutation of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $I \succ C(\sigma)$ . For  $(\sigma, I) \in P(n)$  with  $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)$  and  $0 \leq k \leq l$ , let  $\sigma'_k$  be the permutation of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$  given by

$$\sigma'_k(j) = \begin{cases} \sigma(j), & j \leq i_1 + \cdots + i_k \\ n+1, & j = i_1 + \cdots + i_k + 1 \\ \sigma(j-1), & j > i_1 + \cdots + i_k + 1. \end{cases}$$

Also, for  $0 \leq k \leq l$  let  $I'_k = (i_1, \dots, i_k, 1, i_{k+1}, \dots, i_l)$ , and for  $1 \leq k \leq l$  let  $I''_k = (i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}, i_k + 1, i_{k+1}, \dots, i_l)$ ; evidently  $(\sigma'_k, I'_k), (\sigma'_k, I''_k) \in P(n+1)$  for all  $k$ . By iterated application of (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} I[a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)}] * a_{n+1} &= q^{\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| |a_{n+1}|} a_{n+1} I[a_{\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)}] + \\ &\sum_{k=1}^l q^{\sum_{j=i_1+\cdots+i_{k-1}+1}^n |a_{\sigma(j)}| |a_{n+1}|} \left( I'_k[a_{\sigma'_k(1)} \cdots a_{\sigma'_k(n+1)}] + I''_k[a_{\sigma'_k(1)} \cdots a_{\sigma'_k(n+1)}] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\Phi_q(a_1 \cdots a_{n+1})$  is the sum over  $(\sigma, I) \in P(n)$  of

$$q^{\sum_{(i,j) \in \iota(\sigma'_0)} |a_i||a_j|} I'_0[a_{\sigma'_0(1)} \cdots a_{\sigma'_0(n+1)}] + \sum_{k=1}^l q^{\sum_{(i,j) \in \iota(\sigma'_k)} |a_i||a_j|} \left( I'_k[a_{\sigma'_k(1)} \cdots a_{\sigma'_k(n+1)}] + I''_k[a_{\sigma'_k(1)} \cdots a_{\sigma'_k(n+1)}] \right)$$

and the conclusion follows by noting that every  $(\tau, J) \in P(n+1)$  can be written uniquely as one of  $(\sigma'_k, I'_k)$  or  $(\sigma'_k, I''_k)$  for some  $(\sigma, I) \in P(n)$ .

In the case  $q = 0$ , our formula for  $\Phi_q(w)$  reduces to

$$\Phi_0(w) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}(\ell(w))} I[w] = (-1)^{\ell(w)} S(\bar{w}),$$

and by applying Lemma 2.4 with  $f(t) = t/(1-t)$  we see that  $\Phi_0$  has inverse  $\Phi_0^{-1}$  given by

$$\Phi_0^{-1}(w) = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell(w)} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}(\ell(w), k)} (-1)^{\ell(w)-k} I[w].$$

For any word  $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ , let  $V_w$  be the vector space over  $k$  with basis  $\{a_{\tau(1)} \cdots a_{\tau(n)} \mid \text{permutations } \tau\}$ , and let  $\phi_{w,q} : V_w \rightarrow V_w$  be  $\Phi_q$  followed by projection onto  $V_w$ . Then  $\phi_{w,q}$  is given by

$$\phi_{w,q}(a_{\tau(1)} \cdots a_{\tau(n)}) = \sum_{\text{permutations } \sigma} q^{\sum_{(i,j) \in \iota(\sigma)} |a_{\tau(i)}||a_{\tau(j)}|} a_{\sigma\tau(1)} \cdots a_{\sigma\tau(n)},$$

and we have the following result.

**Lemma 5.3.** *The linear map  $\phi_{w,q}$  as defined above has determinant*

$$\prod_{k=2}^n \prod_{\substack{k\text{-sets} \\ S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}}} \left( 1 - q^{2 \sum_{i,j \in S} |a_i||a_j|} \right)^{(n-k+1)!(k-2)!}.$$

*Proof.* Following [4], we use Varchenko's theorem [20] on determinants of bilinear forms on hyperplane arrangements. To apply the result of [20], we consider the set of hyperplanes in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  given by  $\mathcal{H}_{ij} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i = x_j\}$ . To the hyperplane  $\mathcal{H}_{ij}$  we assign the weight  $\text{wt } \mathcal{H}_{ij} = q^{|a_i||a_j|}$ . The edges (nontrivial intersections) of this arrangement are indexed by subsets  $S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with two or more elements: the edge  $E_S$  corresponding to the set  $S$  is

$$\bigcap \{\mathcal{H}_{ij} \mid i, j \in S\} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i = x_j \text{ for all } i, j \in S\}.$$

The edge  $E_S$  has weight

$$\text{wt } E_S = \prod_{i,j \in S} \text{wt } \mathcal{H}_{ij} = q^{\sum_{i,j \in S} |a_i||a_j|}.$$

The domains (connected components) for this hyperplane arrangement are indexed by permutations:  $C_\sigma = \{(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) \mid x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n\}$ . Then the quadratic form  $B$  on this arrangement given by

$$B(C_\sigma, C_\tau) = \prod_{\substack{\text{hyperplanes } \mathcal{H}_{ij} \\ \text{separating } C_\sigma \text{ and } C_\tau}} \text{wt } \mathcal{H}_{ij} = \prod_{(i,j) \in \iota(\sigma\tau^{-1})} q^{|a_{\tau(i)}| |a_{\tau(j)}|}$$

has the same matrix as  $\phi_{w,q}$ . Hence, by Theorem 1.1 of [20] we have

$$\det \phi_{w,q} = \prod_{\text{edges } E} (1 - \text{wt}(E)^2)^{n(E)p(E)},$$

where the product is over the edges of the hyperplane arrangement, and  $n(E)$  and  $p(E)$  are numbers defined in §2 of [20]. It is easy to see from the definitions that  $n(E_S) = (n - k + 1)!$  and  $p(E_S) = (k - 2)!$  for the edge  $E_S$  corresponding to a  $k$ -set  $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ , so the conclusion follows.

**Theorem 5.4.** *Any  $q \in k$  that is not a root of unity is generic (i.e.,  $\Phi_q$  is an isomorphism when  $q$  is not a root of unity).*

*Proof.* Suppose  $q$  is not a root of unity. We shall show that  $\Phi_q^{-1}(w)$  exists for any word  $w$  by induction on  $\ell(w)$ . Using Lemma 5.2 and the induction hypothesis, to find  $\Phi_q^{-1}(a_1 \cdots a_n)$  it suffices to find an element  $u$  such that

$$\Phi_q(u) = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n + \text{terms of length} < n.$$

But we can do this by taking  $u = \phi_{w,q}^{-1}(w)$ , and  $\phi_{w,q}$  is invertible by Lemma 5.3.

If  $q$  is generic, we can define a comultiplication  $\Delta_q$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$  by requiring that all letters be  $\Delta_q$ -primitives and that  $\Delta_q$  be a  $*_q$ -homomorphism, i.e. that  $\Delta_q(a) = a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $\Delta_q(u *_q v) = \Delta_q(u) *_q \Delta_q(v)$  for all  $u, v \in \mathfrak{A}$ . This makes  $(\mathfrak{A}, *_q, \Delta_q)$  a Hopf algebra. In fact, as we see in the next result, it is isomorphic to the concatenation Hopf algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}, \text{conc}, \delta)$ , where

$$\delta(w) = \sum_{\text{words } u, v \text{ of } \mathfrak{A}} (u \text{ III } v, w^*) u \otimes v.$$

**Theorem 5.5.** *For generic  $q$ ,  $\Phi_q$  is a Hopf algebra isomorphism from  $(\mathfrak{A}, \text{conc}, \delta)$  to  $(\mathfrak{A}, *_q, \Delta_q)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $q$  is generic,  $\Phi_q$  is an algebra isomorphism. It suffices to show that  $(\Phi_q \otimes \Phi_q) \circ \delta = \Delta_q \circ \Phi_q$  on a set of generators: but this follows because they agree on the primitives (elements of  $A$ ), which generate  $\mathfrak{A}$  under  $\text{conc}$ .

In the next result we record a formula for  $\Delta_q(ab)$  when  $q$  is generic. This may be compared with the corresponding formula in Example 5.2 of [4].

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let  $a, b, c \in A$ . For  $q$  generic,*

$$\Delta_q(ab) = ab \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes ab + \frac{1}{1 + q^{|a||b|}} (a \otimes b + b \otimes a).$$

*Proof.* Apply  $\Delta_q$  to the equation

$$ab = (1 - q^{2|a||b|})^{-1}(a *_q b - q^{|a||b|}b *_q a) - (1 - q^{|a||b|})^{-1}[a, b].$$

A formula for  $\Delta_q(abc)$  can be derived by applying  $\Delta_q$  to

$$abc = \left(\phi_{abc,q}^{-1}\right)_{\text{id},\text{id}} a *_q b *_q c + \left(\phi_{abc,q}^{-1}\right)_{\text{id},(12)} b *_q a *_q c + \dots + \text{terms of length } \leq 2,$$

but it is too complicated to give here (it contains twenty terms).

For the cases  $q = 1$  and  $q$  not a root of unity, we have defined a Hopf algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}, *_q, \Delta_q)$  with all elements of  $A$  primitive. It would be of interest to define such a Hopf algebra structure for all  $q$ .

**6. Examples.** As we have already remarked, if  $[a, b] = 0$  for all generators  $a, b \in A$  then  $(\mathfrak{A}, *) = (\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{m})$  is the shuffle algebra as described in Chapter 1 of [15] (Note, however, that the grading may be different). The  $q$ -shuffle product  $\odot_q$  as defined in [4, §4] is the operation  $*_q = \mathfrak{m}_q$  in this case. This algebra may also be obtained as a special case of the constructions of Green [10] and Rosso [16] involving quantum groups. To identify Green’s “quantized shuffle algebra” with our construction, take the “datum” to be our generating set  $A$ , with bilinear form  $a \cdot b = |a||b|$  for  $a, b \in A$ ; then Green’s algebra  $G(k, q, A, \cdot)$  [10, p. 284] is our  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{m}_q)$ , except that Green’s algebra is  $\mathbf{NA}$ -graded rather than  $\mathbf{N}$ -graded. To obtain our algebra from Rosso’s “exemple fondamental” [16, §2.1], take  $V$  to be the vector space over  $k$  generated by  $A = \{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$ , and let  $q_{ij} = q^{|e_i||e_j|}$ . Here are some other examples.

*Example 1.* Let  $A_n = \{z_n\}$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $[z_i, z_j] = z_{i+j}$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{A}, *)$  is just the algebra  $\mathfrak{H}^1$  as presented in [12]. As is proved there (Theorem 3.4 ff.), the map  $\phi$  defined by

$$\phi(z_{i_1} z_{i_2} \cdots z_{i_k}) = \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_k \geq 1} t_{n_1}^{i_1} t_{n_2}^{i_2} \cdots t_{n_k}^{i_k}$$

is an isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{H}^1$  onto the algebra of quasi-symmetric functions over  $k$  (denoted  $\text{QSym}_k$  in [13]). For each  $n \geq 0$ , the monomial quasi-symmetric functions  $M_{(i_1, \dots, i_k)} = \phi(z_{i_k} \cdots z_{i_1})$ , where  $(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \mathcal{C}(n)$ , form a vector-space basis for  $\mathfrak{A}_n$ . For our purposes it is more convenient to identify  $M_{(i_1, \dots, i_k)}$  with  $z_{i_1} \cdots z_{i_k}$ : under this identification (which is also an isomorphism), the notation used above is simplified by the observation that, for compositions  $I \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)$  and  $J \in \mathcal{C}(k)$ ,  $J[M_I] = M_{J \circ I}$ . So, e.g.,  $S(M_I) = (-1)^{\ell(I)} \sum_{\bar{I} \neq J} M_J$ , where  $\bar{I}$  is the reverse of  $I$ . If we let  $\mathcal{L}$  denote the set of  $I$  such that  $M_I$  corresponds to a Lyndon word, then Theorem 2.6 says that  $\{M_I \mid I \in \mathcal{L}\}$  generates  $\mathfrak{A} = \text{QSym}_k$  as an algebra. The Hopf algebra structure is that described in [5,13]; the two formulas for its antipode are discussed in [5, §3].

For the *integral* Hopf algebra  $\text{QSym}$  of quasi-symmetric functions,  $\{M_I \mid I \in \mathcal{C}(n)\}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module basis for the elements of degree  $n$ , but  $\{M_I \mid I \in \mathcal{L}\}$  is not an algebra basis. Nevertheless, from [3,17]  $\text{QSym}$  has an algebra basis  $\{M_I \mid I \in \mathcal{L}^{\text{mod}}\}$ , where  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{mod}}$  is the set of “modified Lyndon” or “elementary unreachable” compositions, i.e. concatenation powers of elements of  $\mathcal{L}$  whose parts have greatest common factor 1. (There is a bijection of  $\mathcal{L}$  onto  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{mod}}$  given by sending  $(i_1, \dots, i_l)$  to the  $d$ th concatenation power of  $(\frac{i_1}{d}, \dots, \frac{i_l}{d})$ , where  $d$  is the greatest common factor of  $i_1, \dots, i_l$ .) Of course  $\exp$  cannot be defined over  $\mathbf{Z}$  because of denominators.

Another algebra basis for  $\text{QSym}_k$  is given by  $\{P_I \mid I \in \mathcal{L}\}$ , where  $P_I = \exp(M_I)$ . (These are exactly the elements whose duals  $P_I^* = \log^*(M_I^*)$  are introduced in [13, §2] as a basis for the dual  $\text{QSym}_k^*$ ; cf. equations (2.12) of [13] and (5) above.) Since  $\exp$  is a Hopf algebra isomorphism, we have the formulas

$$P_I * P_J = \sum_{K \in I \sqcup J} P_K, \quad \Delta(P_K) = \sum_{I \sqcup J = K} P_I \otimes P_J, \quad \text{and} \quad S(P_I) = (-1)^{\ell(I)} P_{\bar{I}},$$

where, for compositions  $I$  and  $J$ ,  $I \sqcup J$  is the multiset of compositions obtained by “shuffling”  $I$  and  $J$  (e.g.  $(1, 2) \sqcup (2) = \{(2, 1, 2), (1, 2, 2), (1, 2, 2)\}$ ), and  $I \sqcup J$  is the concatenation of  $I$  and  $J$ .

Following Gessel [8], there is still another basis  $\{F_I \mid I \in \mathcal{L}\}$  for  $\text{QSym}_k$ , where  $F_I = \sum_{J \succ I} M_J$ . (Then  $M_I = \sum_{J \succ I} (-1)^{\ell(J) - \ell(I)} F_J$ , and since the coefficients are integral  $\{F_I \mid I \in \mathcal{L}^{\text{mod}}\}$  is a basis for  $\text{QSym}$ ). The expansion of the product  $F_I * F_J$  in terms of the  $F_K$  can be described using permutations and their descent compositions; see [19] or [13]. Dualizing Proposition 3.13 and Corollary 3.16 of [7] (see below), we have

$$\Delta(F_K) = \sum_{I \sqcup J = K} F_I \otimes F_J + \sum_{I \vee J = K} F_I \otimes F_J \quad \text{and} \quad S(F_I) = (-1)^{|I|} F_{I^\sim},$$

where  $I \vee J = (i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}, i_k + j_1, j_2, \dots, j_l)$  for nonempty compositions  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$  and  $J = (j_1, \dots, j_l)$ , and  $I^\sim$  is the conjugate composition of  $I$  (as defined in [7, §3.2]). By dualizing Corollary 4.28 of [7] we have a formula for  $F_I$  in terms of the  $P_I$ :

$$F_I = \sum_{|J|=|I|} \text{phr}(I, J) \frac{P_J}{\Pi(J)}.$$

Here  $\Pi(I)$  is the product of the parts of the composition  $I$ , and  $\text{phr}(I, J)$  is as defined in [7, §4.9]: for compositions  $I$  and  $J = (j_1, \dots, j_s)$  of the same weight, let  $I = I_1 \bullet I_2 \bullet \dots \bullet I_s$  be the unique decomposition of  $I$  such that  $|I_i| = j_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$  and each symbol  $\bullet$  is either  $\sqcup$  or  $\vee$ ; then

$$\text{phr}(I, J) = \prod_{i=1}^s \frac{(-1)^{\ell(I_i) - 1}}{\binom{|I_i| - 1}{\ell(I_i) - 1}}.$$

The dual Hopf algebra  $\text{QSym}_k^*$  is described in [13, §2]; it is also the algebra **Sym** of noncommutative symmetric functions as defined in [7]. (The coproduct  $\delta'$  of §4 corresponds to the coproduct denoted  $\gamma$  in [13] and [7].) The  $M_I$  are dual to the “products of complete homogeneous symmetric functions”  $S^I$  (i.e.,  $(M_I, S^J) = \delta_{IJ}$ ), while the “products of power sums of the second kind”  $\Phi^I$  are dual to the elements  $P_I/\Pi(I)$  (see [7, §3] for definitions). The  $F_I$  are dual to the “ribbon Schur functions”  $R_I$  [7, §6].

The deformation  $(\mathfrak{A}, *_q)$  is the algebra of quantum quasi-symmetric functions as defined in [19]. The multiplication rule for “quantum quasi-monomial functions” as given in [19, p. 7345] can be recognized as (6).

*Example 2.* For a fixed positive integer  $r$ , let  $A_n = \{z_{n,i} \mid 0 \leq i \leq r-1\}$  and  $[z_{n,i}, z_{m,j}] = z_{n+m, i+j}$ , where the second subscript is to be understood mod  $r$ . By

Theorem 2.6,  $(\mathfrak{A}, *)$  is the polynomial algebra on the Lyndon words in the  $z_{i,j}$ ; by Proposition 2.7, the number of Lyndon words in  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  is

$$L_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) (r+1)^d$$

for  $n \geq 2$  (and  $L_1 = r$ ). In this case, we call the Hopf algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}, *, \Delta)$  the Euler algebra  $\mathfrak{E}_r$ . Of course  $\mathfrak{E}_1$  is the preceding example (We write  $z_i$  for  $z_{i,0}$  if  $r = 1$ ); in general there is a homomorphism  $\pi_r : \mathfrak{E}_r \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_1$  given by  $\pi_r(z_{i,j}) = z_i$ . The map  $\phi : \mathfrak{E}_r \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[[t_1, t_2, \dots]]$  with

$$(7) \quad \phi(z_{i_1, j_1} z_{i_2, j_2} \cdots z_{i_k, j_k}) = \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_k \geq 1} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r}(n_1 j_1 + \cdots + n_k j_k)} t_{n_1}^{i_1} \cdots t_{n_k}^{i_k}$$

is an isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{E}_r$  onto a subring of  $\mathbf{C}[[t_1, t_2, \dots]]$  (for proof see §7 below.) If we define  $\psi_r : \mathbf{C}[[t_1, t_2, \dots]] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[[t_1, t_2, \dots]]$  by

$$\psi_r(t_i) = \begin{cases} 0, & r \nmid i \\ t_j, & i = rj \end{cases}$$

(Note  $\psi_r$  takes  $\text{QSym}_k \subset \mathbf{C}[[t_1, t_2, \dots]]$  isomorphically onto itself!), then  $\psi_r \circ \phi = \phi \circ \pi_r$ . The sets  $L$  of Lyndon words in the  $z_{i,j}$  and  $\{\exp(w) \mid w \in L\}$  are both algebra bases for  $\mathfrak{E}_r$ , corresponding to the bases  $\{M_I \mid I \in \mathcal{L}\}$  and  $\{P_I \mid I \in \mathcal{L}\}$ , respectively, of Example 1. If we set  $\hat{w} = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}(w)} v$ , where  $\mathcal{P}(w)$  is as defined at the end of §4, then there is a basis  $\{\hat{w} \mid w \in L\}$  corresponding to  $\{F_I \mid I \in \mathcal{L}\}$ . Note, however, that while  $\pi_r$  maps words to the  $M_I$  and exponentials of words to the  $P_I$  ( $\exp$  commutes with  $\pi_r$ ), in general  $\pi_r(\hat{w})$  is not of the form  $F_I$ .

The dual  $\mathfrak{E}_r^*$  of the Euler algebra is the concatenation algebra on elements  $z_{i,j}^*$ , with coproduct  $\delta'$  as described in §4. The transpose of  $\pi_r$  is the homomorphism  $\pi_r^* : \mathfrak{E}_1^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_r^*$  with  $\pi_r^*(z_i^*) = \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} z_{i,j}^*$ .

The motivation for the Euler algebra  $\mathfrak{E}_r$  comes from numerical series of the form

$$(8) \quad \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_k \geq 1} \frac{\epsilon_1^{n_1} \epsilon_2^{n_2} \cdots \epsilon_k^{n_k}}{n_1^{i_1} n_2^{i_2} \cdots n_k^{i_k}},$$

where the  $\epsilon_i$  are  $r$ th roots of unity and  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k$  are positive integers (with  $\epsilon_1 i_1 \neq 1$ , for convergence). In fact (8) is  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(z_{i_1, j_1} \cdots z_{i_k, j_k})(1, 2, \dots, \frac{1}{n})$ , where  $\phi_n$  is as defined in §7 and the  $j_s$  are chosen appropriately, so the algebra of such series can be seen as a homomorphic image of (a subalgebra of)  $\mathfrak{E}_r$ . These series are called ‘‘Euler sums’’ in [1,2] and ‘‘values of multiple polylogarithms at roots of unity’’ in [9]; in the case  $r = 1$  the corresponding series are known as ‘‘multiple harmonic series’’ [12] or ‘‘multiple zeta values’’ [21].

*Example 3.* Fix a positive integer  $m$  and let  $A_n = \{z_n\}$  for  $n \leq m$  and  $A_n = \emptyset$  for  $n > m$ . Define

$$[z_i, z_j] = \begin{cases} z_{i+j} & \text{if } i + j \leq m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $(\mathfrak{A}, *)$  is the algebra of quasi-symmetric functions on variables  $t_1, t_2, \dots$  subject to the relations  $t_i^{m+1} = 0$  for all  $i$ .

*Example 4.* Let  $P(n)$  be the set of partitions of  $n$  and let  $A_n = \{z_\lambda \mid \lambda \in P(n)\}$ . Define  $[z_\lambda, z_\mu] = z_{\lambda \cup \mu}$ , where  $\lambda \cup \mu$  is the union  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  as multisets. Then  $(\mathfrak{A}, *)$  can be thought of as the algebra of quasi-symmetric functions in the variables  $t_{i,j}$ , where  $|t_{i,j}| = j$ , in the following sense. For a partition  $\lambda = (n_1, \dots, n_l)$ , let  $t_i^\lambda = t_{i,n_1} \cdots t_{i,n_l}$ . Then any monomial in the  $t_{i,j}$  can be written in the form  $t_{i_1}^{\lambda_1} \cdots t_{i_k}^{\lambda_k}$ , and we call a formal power series quasi-symmetric when the coefficients of any two monomials  $t_{i_1}^{\lambda_1} \cdots t_{i_k}^{\lambda_k}$  and  $t_{j_1}^{\lambda_1} \cdots t_{j_k}^{\lambda_k}$  with  $i_1 < \cdots < i_k$  and  $j_1 < \cdots < j_k$  are the same.

**7. The Euler algebra as power series.** Fix a positive integer  $r$ , and let  $\mathfrak{E}_r$  and  $\pi_r : \mathfrak{E}_r \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_1$  be as in Example 2. We shall show  $\mathfrak{E}_r$  can be imbedded in the formal power series ring  $\mathbf{C}[[t_1, t_2, \dots]]$ . For positive integers  $n$ , define a map  $\phi_n : \mathfrak{E}_r \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$  as follows. Let  $\phi_n$  send  $1 \in \mathfrak{E}_r$  to  $1 \in \mathbf{C}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$  and any nonempty word  $w = z_{i_1, j_1} z_{i_2, j_2} \cdots z_{i_k, j_k}$  to the polynomial

$$\sum_{n \geq n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_k \geq 1} \omega^{j_1 n_1 + j_2 n_2 + \cdots + j_k n_k} t_{n_1}^{i_1} t_{n_2}^{i_2} \cdots t_{n_k}^{i_k},$$

where  $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r}}$  (If  $k > n$ , the sum is empty and we assign it the value 0). Extend  $\phi_n$  to  $\mathfrak{E}_r$  by linearity. If we make  $\mathbf{C}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$  a graded algebra by setting  $|t_i| = 1$ , then  $\phi_n$  preserves the grading. Also, it is immediate from the definition that

$$(9) \quad \phi_n(z_{p,i} w) = \sum_{n \geq m > 1} \omega^{im} t_m^p \phi_{m-1}(w)$$

for any nonempty word  $w$ .

**Theorem 7.1.** *For any  $n$ ,  $\phi_n : \mathfrak{E}_r \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$  is a homomorphism of graded  $k$ -algebras.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show  $\phi_n(w_1 * w_2) = \phi_n(w_1) \phi_n(w_2)$  for words  $w_1, w_2$ . This can be done by induction on  $\ell(w_1) + \ell(w_2)$ , following the argument of [12, Theorem 3.2] (and using equation (9) above in place of equation (\*) of [12]).

**Lemma 7.2.** *For  $0 \leq j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m \leq r-1$ , let  $c_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m} \in \mathbf{Q}$  be such that*

$$\sum_{j_1=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{r-1} \cdots \sum_{j_m=0}^{r-1} c_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m} \omega^{n_1 j_1 + n_2 j_2 + \cdots + n_m j_m} = 0$$

for all  $mr \geq n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_m \geq 1$ , where  $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r}}$  as above. Then all the  $c_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m}$  are zero.

*Proof.* We use induction on  $m$ . For  $m = 1$  the hypothesis is

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} c_j \omega^{nj} = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq n \leq r,$$

which is evidently equivalent to having the equality for  $0 \leq n \leq r-1$ . But then the conclusion follows from the nonsingularity of the Vandermonde determinant of the quantities  $1, \omega, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^{r-1}$ .

Now let  $m > 1$ , and fix  $(m-1)r \geq n_2 > n_3 > \cdots > n_m \geq 1$ . Then the hypothesis says

$$\sum_{j_1=0}^{r-1} \left( \sum_{j_2=0}^{r-1} \cdots \sum_{j_m=0}^{r-1} c_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m} \omega^{n_2 j_2 + \cdots + n_m j_m} \right) \omega^{n_1 j_1} = 0 \quad \text{for } (m-1)r < n_1 \leq mr.$$

This is evidently equivalent to having the equality hold for all  $1 \leq n_1 \leq r$ : but then we are in the situation of the preceding paragraph and so

$$\sum_{j_2=0}^{r-1} \cdots \sum_{j_m=0}^{r-1} c_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m} \omega^{n_2 j_2 + \cdots + n_m j_m} = 0,$$

from which the conclusion follows by the induction hypothesis.

**Theorem 7.3.** *The homomorphism  $\phi_{nr}$  is injective through degree  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $u \in \ker \phi_{nr}$  has degree  $\leq n$ . Without loss of generality we can assume  $u$  is homogeneous, and in fact that  $\pi_r(u)$  is a multiple of  $z_{i_1} z_{i_2} \cdots z_{i_m}$  for  $m \leq n$ . Then  $u$  has the form

$$u = \sum_{j_1=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{r-1} \cdots \sum_{j_m=0}^{r-1} c_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m} z_{i_1, j_1} z_{i_2, j_2} \cdots z_{i_m, j_m},$$

and  $u \in \ker \phi_{nr}$  implies that

$$\sum_{j_1=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{r-1} \cdots \sum_{j_m=0}^{r-1} c_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m} \omega^{n_1 j_1 + n_2 j_2 + \cdots + n_m j_m} = 0$$

for all  $nr \geq n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_m \geq 1$ . But then  $u = 0$  by the lemma.

For  $m \geq n$ , there is a restriction map  $\rho_{m,n} : \mathbf{C}[t_1, \dots, t_m] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$  sending  $t_i$  to  $t_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $t_i$  to zero for  $i > n$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}$  be the inverse limit of the  $\mathbf{C}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$  with respect to these maps (in the category of graded algebras);  $\mathfrak{P}$  is a subring of  $\mathbf{C}[[t_1, t_2, \dots]]$ . The  $\phi_n$  define a homomorphism  $\phi : \mathfrak{E}_r \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$ , and the following result is evident.

**Theorem 7.4.** *The homomorphism  $\phi$  is injective, and satisfies equation (7).*

#### REFERENCES

1. D. J. Broadhurst, J. M. Borwein, and D. M. Bradley, *Evaluation of irreducible  $k$ -fold Euler/Zagier sums: a compendium of results for arbitrary  $k$* , Electron. J. Combin. **4(2)** (1997), R5.
2. D. J. Broadhurst, *Massive 3-loop Feynman diagrams reducible to  $SC^*$  primitives of algebras at the sixth root of unity*, preprint hep-th/9803091.
3. E. J. Ditters and A. C. J. Scholtens, *Note on free polynomial generators for the Hopf algebra  $QSym$  of quasisymmetric functions*, preprint, 1997.
4. G. Duchamp, A. Klyachko, D. Krob, and J.-Y. Thibon, *Noncommutative symmetric functions III: deformations of Cauchy and convolution algebras*, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. **1** (1997), 159–216.
5. R. Ehrenborg, *On posets and Hopf algebras*, Adv. Math. **119** (1996), 1–25.

6. F. Fares, *Quelques constructions d'algèbres et de coalgèbres*, Thesis, Université du Québec à Montréal.
7. I. M. Gelfand, D. Krob, A. Lascoux, B. Leclerc, V. S. Retakh, and J.-Y. Thibon, *Noncommutative symmetric functions*, Adv. Math. **112** (1995), 218–348.
8. I. M. Gessel, *Multipartite  $P$ -partitions and inner products of skew Schur functions*, in Combinatorics and Algebra, Contemp. Math. 34, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1984, pp. 289–301.
9. A. B. Goncharov, *Multiple polylogarithms, cyclotomy, and modular complexes*, Math. Res. Lett. **5** (1998), 497–516.
10. J. A. Green, *Quantum groups, Hall algebras and quantized shuffles*, in Finite Reductive Groups (Luminy, 1994), Progr. Math. 141, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, 1997, pp. 273–290.
11. M. Hazewinkel, *The Leibniz-Hopf algebra and Lyndon words*, Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica Report AM-R9612 (1996).
12. M. E. Hoffman, *The algebra of multiple harmonic series*, J. Algebra **194** (1997), 477–495.
13. C. Malvenuto and C. Reutenauer, *Duality between quasi-symmetric functions and the Solomon descent algebra*, J. Algebra **177** (1995), 967–982.
14. D. Radford, *A natural ring basis for the shuffle algebra and an application group schemes*, J. Algebra **58** (1979), 432–454.
15. C. Reutenauer, *Free Lie Algebras*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993.
16. M. Rosso, *Groupes quantiques et algèbres de battage quantiques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I **320** (1995), 145–148.
17. A. C. J. Scholtens,  *$S$ -typical curves in noncommutative Hopf algebras*, Thesis, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, 1996.
18. M. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebras*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
19. J.-Y. Thibon and B.-C.-V. Ung, *Quantum quasi-symmetric functions and Hecke algebras*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **29** (1996), 7337–7348.
20. A. Varchenko, *Bilinear form of real configuration of hyperplanes*, Adv. Math. **97** (1993), 110–144.
21. D. Zagier, *Values of zeta functions and their applications*, in First European Congress of Mathematics (Paris, 1992), Vol. II, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, 1994, pp. 497–512.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, U.S. NAVAL ACADEMY, ANNAPOLIS, MD. 21402  
E-mail address: meh@nadn.navy.mil