

The Hopf Algebra of Heisenberg-Weyl Diagrams

G H E Duchamp^a, P Blasiak^b, K A Penson^c, A Horzela^b, A I Solomon^{c,d},

^a LIPN - UMR 7030
CNRS - Université Paris 13
F-93430 Villetaneuse, France

^b H. Niewodniczański Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences
ul. Eliasza-Radzikowskiego 152, PL 31342 Kraków, Poland

^c Laboratoire de Physique Théorique de la Matière Condensée
Université Pierre et Marie Curie, CNRS UMR 7600
Tour 24 - 2ième ét., 4 pl. Jussieu, F 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

^d The Open University, Physics and Astronomy Department
Milton Keynes MK7 6AA, United Kingdom

E-mail:

ghed@lipn-univ.paris13.fr, a.i.solomon@open.ac.uk
pawel.blasiak@ifj.edu.pl, andrzej.horzela@ifj.edu.pl,
penson@lptl.jussieu.fr,

Abstract. It has been found by A. Varvak (TODO improve) and others that the structure constants of the Heisenberg-Weyl algebra (HW) could be computed through rook placement numbers. We here give a diagrammatic realization of this formula in terms of graphs which constitute an algebra. It is also possible to keep track of the number cancellation in Wick's formula and this provides at once a q -analogue \tilde{B}_q of the previous algebra. It turns out that the latter can be very naturally endowed with the structure of a Hopf algebra. Returning to HW , it is well known that it has a 3-dimensional \mathcal{L}_{HW} Lie subalgebra which generates (as an algebra) HW . We construct a Hopf arrow between the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_{HW})$ and \tilde{B}_q . It is there, in our sense, that resides the obstruction of HW to have finite dimensional non-zero representation.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Construction of the diagram algebras \tilde{B} and \tilde{B}_q.	3
2.1	Heisenberg-Weyl graphs and their matching	3
2.2	Multiplication of graphs and structure of the algebra \tilde{B}_q	5
2.3	Specialization to $q = 1$: the algebra \tilde{B}	6
3	Ado's theorem, the Lie algebra \mathcal{L}_{HW} and its enveloping algebra.	6

4	The arrow $\varphi_4 : \tilde{B}_q \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_{HW})$.	7
5	Construction of the section $s_3 : \tilde{B} \rightarrow \tilde{B}_q$ and of $\varphi_5 : \tilde{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_{HW})$	8
6	Diagram of algebras	8
7	Coproducts and Hopf Algebra structures.	8
	7.1 Partitions of a HW -graph and the comultiplication.	9
8	Endnotes	10

1. Introduction

Since the advent of Hopf algebras in Combinatorial Physics, when facing an algebra, one always asks oneself whether its structure could be (more or less naturally) be enriched to a Hopf algebra one. For one of the simplest in Quantum Physics, the Heisenberg-Weyl (HW) algebra, the answer is “no” since the counit provides one with a one-dimensional representation HW and it is well-known (and easily checked) that this algebra has no non-zero finite dimensional representation (the ground field is supposed to that of the complex numbers or another field of zero characteristic).

The paper is devoted ...

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \tilde{B}_q & \\
 \varphi_3 & & \varphi_4 \\
 & \tilde{B} & \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_{HW}) \\
 \varphi_2 & & \varphi_1 \\
 & HW &
 \end{array}$$

2. Construction of the diagram algebras \tilde{B} and \tilde{B}_q .

2.1. Heisenberg-Weyl graphs and their matching

As usual in graph theory, a graph Γ is given by the data of two sets $\Gamma^{(1)}$, the edges, $\Gamma^{(0)}$, the vertices and two functions (head and tail) $h, t : \Gamma^{(1)} \rightarrow \Gamma^{(0)}$. We are interested here by graphs with some edges having a “free tail” or a “free head” but not both, so we allow the functions h, t to be partially defined with the condition that

$$dom(t) \cup dom(h) = \Gamma^{(1)} \tag{1}$$

(every edge has at least a tail or a head maybe both). The edges a with no origin i. e. such that $a \notin dom(t)$ (resp. with no endpoint i. e. such that $a \notin dom(h)$) will be called “ingoing edges” and denoted Γ^- (resp. “outgoing edges”, denoted Γ^+).

A cycle is a sequence of edges $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ such that, for all $k < n$, $h(a_k) = t(a_{k+1})$ and $h(a_n) = t(a_1)$. We will call HW-graph a finite graph with (possibly) ingoing and outgoing edges and no cycle.

Figure TODO : Heisenberg-Weyl Graphs

One can then see that a HW-graph has a natural partition in three parts :

- the outgoing edges $dom(t) - dom(h)$ and its associated subgraph Γ^+ (with restriction of the tail mapping and void head mapping)
- the ingoing edges $dom(h) - dom(t)$ and its associated subgraph Γ^- (with restriction of the head mapping and void tail mapping)
- the inner edges $dom(t) \cap dom(h)$ and its associated subgraph $\Gamma^{(in)}$ (with restriction of the tail and head mappings everywhere defined)

Now, in order to define algebra structures on these graphs, one has to define what is a matching between two *HW*-graphs. In general set theory a *matching* or one-to-one correspondence is a set of pairs

$$m \subset pr_1(m) \times pr_2(m) \quad (2)$$

such that two different pairs have different components i. e. for $c_1, c_2 \in m$ with $c_i = (x_i, y_i)$ one has

$$c_1 \neq c_2 \implies (x_1 \neq x_2) \text{ and } (y_1 \neq y_2) . \quad (3)$$

If U, V are finite sets $|U| = p$, $|V| = q$ the number of matchings $m \subset U \times V$ with $|m| = i$ is

$$\binom{p}{i} \binom{q}{i} i! . \quad (4)$$

This formula will do the job when we construct the arrow φ_2 .

For two *HW*-graphs Γ_2, Γ_1 with disjoint sets of vertices (i. e. $\Gamma_2^{(0)} \cap \Gamma_1^{(0)} = \emptyset$), we will call *matching* of Γ_1 over Γ_2 any matching between Γ_2^+ and Γ_1^- , their set will be denoted $match(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_1)$. Any matching of $match(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_1)$ allows the “plugging” of Γ_2 into Γ_1 as follows

Figure TODO : a matching between two Heisenberg-Weyl Graphs

The result will be denoted

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ m \\ \Gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

which can be formally defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ m \\ \Gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}^{(1)} = \Gamma_1^{(1)} \cup \Gamma_2^{(1)} \cup m - (pr_1(m) \cup pr_2(m)) . \quad (5)$$

Tail and head functions defined accordingly: remark that condition (1) implies that,

$$\Gamma_2^{(0)} \cap \Gamma_1^{(0)} = \emptyset \implies \Gamma_2^{(1)} \cap \Gamma_1^{(1)} = \emptyset \quad (6)$$

so, there is no ambiguity to define them on $\Gamma_1^{(1)} \cup \Gamma_2^{(1)} - (pr_1(m) \cup pr_2(m))$ and we define tail and head functions on m (a subset, remind it, of $\Gamma_2^+ \times \Gamma_1^-$) by

$$t(a_2, a_1) := t(a_2) ; h(a_2, a_1) := h(a_1) \text{ where } (a_2, a_1) \in m . \quad (7)$$

We have a sort of associativity of the matchings which will be useful later. Let Γ_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ be three disjoint *HW*-graphs. Let

$$m' \in match(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_1) \text{ and } m'' \in match(\Gamma_3, \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ m' \\ \Gamma_2 \end{pmatrix})$$

then m'' can be partitioned in $m''_{3,2} = m'' \cap (\Gamma_3^+ \times \Gamma_2^-)$ and $m''_{3,1} = m'' \cap (\Gamma_3^+ \times \Gamma_1^-)$ and one has

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \left(\begin{array}{c} \Gamma_1 \\ m' \\ \Gamma_2 \\ m'' \\ \Gamma_3 \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \Gamma_1 \\ m' \cup m''_{31} \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \Gamma_2 \\ m''_{32} \\ \Gamma_3 \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right) \quad (8)$$

2.2. Multiplication of graphs and structure of the algebra \tilde{B}_q .

Following the general conventions in graph theory, an isomorphism of graphs between $\Gamma_1 = (\Gamma_1^{(1)}, \Gamma_1^{(0)}, t_1, h_1)$ and $\Gamma_2 = (\Gamma_2^{(1)}, \Gamma_2^{(0)}, t_2, h_2)$ is the data of two one-to-one mappings $\alpha^{(1)} : \Gamma_1^{(1)} \rightarrow \Gamma_2^{(1)}$ and $\alpha^{(0)} : \Gamma_1^{(0)} \rightarrow \Gamma_2^{(0)}$ such that the following commutative diagrams of partially defined functions hold

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_1^{(1)} & \xrightarrow{t_1} & \Gamma_1^{(0)} & \text{and} & \Gamma_1^{(1)} & \xrightarrow{h_1} & \Gamma_1^{(0)} & (9) \\ & \alpha^{(1)} & \alpha^{(0)} & & \alpha^{(1)} & \alpha^{(0)} & & \\ \Gamma_2^{(1)} & \xrightarrow{t_2} & \Gamma_2^{(0)} & & \Gamma_2^{(1)} & \xrightarrow{h_2} & \Gamma_2^{(0)}. & \end{array}$$

It is straightforward to check that the class of HW -graphs is closed under isomorphisms. We will call \mathcal{B} , the set (it can be shown to be denumerable) of classes of HW -graphs “up to isomorphisms”.

The algebra \tilde{B} (resp. \tilde{B}_q) is the vector space $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{B}$ (resp. the module $\mathbb{C}[q]\mathcal{B}$). The multiplication table in \tilde{B}_q is given by

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_1 * \tilde{\Gamma}_2 = \sum_{m \in \text{match}(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_1)} q^{|m|} \widetilde{\left(\begin{array}{c} \Gamma_1 \\ m \\ \Gamma_2 \end{array} \right)} \quad (10)$$

where Γ_1, Γ_2 are representatives of the classes $\tilde{\Gamma}_1, \tilde{\Gamma}_2$ with disjoint set of vertices (i. e. $\Gamma_1^{(0)} \cap \Gamma_2^{(0)} = \emptyset$).

It can be checked easily that the result is independent of the choice of disjoint representatives.

It is straightforward that, for all $\Gamma, \tilde{\Gamma} * \tilde{\emptyset} = \tilde{\emptyset} * \tilde{\Gamma} = \tilde{\Gamma}$. Hence $\tilde{\emptyset}$ (which, in fact is $\{\emptyset\}$) is neutral for the law and will be denoted here $1_{\tilde{B}_q}$. Let us prove now that the law is associative. To this end, take three disjoint HW -graphs $\Gamma_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ (we need two and a third which is disjoint of the results of the matchings of the two first). Then one has, using the denotations of formula (8)

$$(\tilde{\Gamma}_1 * \tilde{\Gamma}_2) * \tilde{\Gamma}_3 = \sum_{m' \in \text{match}(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_1)} \sum_{m'' \in \text{match}(\Gamma_3, \left(\begin{array}{c} \Gamma_1 \\ m' \\ \Gamma_2 \end{array} \right))} q^{|m'|} q^{|m''|} \widetilde{\left(\begin{array}{c} \Gamma_1 \\ m' \\ \Gamma_2 \\ m'' \\ \Gamma_3 \end{array} \right)} =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m' \in \text{match}(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_1)} \sum_{m'' \in \text{match}(\Gamma_3, \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ m' \\ \Gamma_2 \end{pmatrix})} q^{|m'|} q^{|m''|} \widetilde{\begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ m' \cup m''_{31} \\ \Gamma_2 \\ m''_{32} \\ \Gamma_3 \end{pmatrix}} = \\
& \sum_{m' \in \text{match}(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_1)} \sum_{m'' \in \text{match}(\Gamma_3, \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ m' \\ \Gamma_2 \end{pmatrix})} q^{|m' \cup m''_{31}|} q^{|m''_{32}|} \widetilde{\begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ m' \cup m''_{31} \\ \Gamma_2 \\ m''_{32} \\ \Gamma_3 \end{pmatrix}} \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

the result comes from the fact that the correspondence $(m', m'') \mapsto (m' \cup m''_{31}, m''_{32})$ between pairs of matchings in $\text{match}(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_1) \times \text{match}(\Gamma_3, \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ m' \\ \Gamma_2 \end{pmatrix})$ and pairs in $\text{match}(\begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_2 \\ m''_{32} \\ \Gamma_3 \end{pmatrix}, \Gamma_1) \times \text{match}(\Gamma_3, \Gamma_2)$ is one-to-one. Then the sum amounts to

$$\sum_{m'' \in \text{match}(\Gamma_3, \Gamma_2)} \sum_{m' \in \text{match}(\begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_2 \\ m'' \\ \Gamma_3 \end{pmatrix}, \Gamma_1)} q^{|m''|} q^{|m'|} \widetilde{\begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ m' \\ \Gamma_2 \\ m'' \\ \Gamma_3 \end{pmatrix}} = \tilde{\Gamma}_1 * (\tilde{\Gamma}_2 * \tilde{\Gamma}_3) . \quad (12)$$

2.3. *Specialization to $q = 1$: the algebra \tilde{B} .*

3. Ado's theorem, the Lie algebra \mathcal{L}_{HW} and its envelopping algebra.

It is well known that HW admits no finite dimensional proper representation (its admits neither no representation in a Banach unital algebra). But one observes that the subspace $L = \mathbb{C}a \oplus \mathbb{C}a^+ \oplus \mathbb{C}1_{HW}$ is closed for the Lie bracket and hence a Lie subalgebra of $(HW, [,])$. Now, by Ado theorem such a finite-dimensional Lie algebra admits finite dimensional faithful representations. As an example, one can give the following one (noted ρ)

$$\rho(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ; \quad \rho(a^+) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ; \quad \rho(1_{HW}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (13)$$

One sees that the image of 1_{HW} is not a unity and, in order not to get confused in our forthcoming development, we will take a copy of the Lie algebra $L = \mathbb{C}a \oplus \mathbb{C}a^+ \oplus \mathbb{C}1_{HW}$ as $\mathcal{L}_{HW} = \mathbb{C}\{b, b^+, u\}$ with the multiplication table

$[\downarrow, \rightarrow]$	b^+	b	u
b^+	0	$-u$	0
b	$-u$	0	0
u	0	0	0

Now, we have a morphism of Lie algebras $\phi_1 : \mathcal{L}_{HW} \rightarrow HW$ given by

$$\phi_1(b) = a ; \phi_1(b^+) = a^+ ; \phi_1(u) = 1_{HW} \quad (14)$$

and, by the universal property of the envelopping algebras this can be extended uniquely as a morphism of AAU $\varphi_1 : \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_{HW}) \rightarrow HW$. We can make it explicit, using the basis of Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_{HW})$ which is given (using the order $b^+ \prec b \prec u$ as in the multiplication table above) by the products $\{(b^+)^p b^q u^r\}_{p,q,r \in \mathbb{N}}$. We then have $\varphi((b^+)^p b^q u^r) = (a^+)^p a^q$.

4. The arrow $\varphi_4 : \tilde{B}_q \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_{HW})$.

The algebra \tilde{B}_q is a $\mathbb{C}[q]$ -AAU, but one can restrict the scalars to \mathbb{C} . In this case, it is a \mathbb{C} -AAU with basis $q^n \tilde{\Gamma}$ (n in \mathbb{N} and Γ chosen in a set of distinguished representatives). One sets

$$\varphi_4(q^n \tilde{\Gamma}) := (b^+)^{|\Gamma^+|} b^{|\Gamma^-|} u^n. \quad (15)$$

In order to prove that φ_4 is a morphism of \mathbb{C} -AAU, one has to prove that

$$\varphi_4(q^s \tilde{\Gamma}_1 * q^t \tilde{\Gamma}_2) = \varphi_4(q^s \tilde{\Gamma}_1) \varphi_4(q^t \tilde{\Gamma}_2)$$

which can be rephrased as

$$\varphi_4(\tilde{\Gamma}_1 * \tilde{\Gamma}_2) u^{s+t} = \varphi_4(\tilde{\Gamma}_1) \varphi_4(\tilde{\Gamma}_2) u^{s+t}$$

so, one only has to prove the equality for $s = t = 0$.

Suppose that two disjoint HW -graphs $\Gamma_i, i = 1, 2$ are given. For convenience, set

$$match(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_1; i) = \{m \in match(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_1) \mid |m| = i\}$$

it is not difficult to get the following enumerations

$$|match(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_1; i)| = \begin{cases} \binom{|\Gamma_2^+|}{i} \binom{|\Gamma_1^-|}{i} i! & \text{for } i \leq \min(|\Gamma_2^+|, |\Gamma_1^-|) \\ 0 & \text{for } i > \min(|\Gamma_2^+|, |\Gamma_1^-|) \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

then, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_4(\tilde{\Gamma}_1 * \tilde{\Gamma}_2) &= \varphi_4\left(\sum_{m \in match(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_1)} q^{|m|} \widetilde{\binom{\Gamma_1}{m}}_{\Gamma_2}\right) = \\ &\sum_{i=0}^{\min(|\Gamma_2^+|, |\Gamma_1^-|)} u^i \sum_{m \in match(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_1; i)} \varphi_4\left(\widetilde{\binom{\Gamma_1}{m}}_{\Gamma_2}\right) = \\ &\sum_{i=0}^{\min(|\Gamma_2^+|, |\Gamma_1^-|)} u^i \sum_{m \in match(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_1; i)} (b^+)^{\left|\binom{\Gamma_1}{m}^+\right|} b^{\left|\binom{\Gamma_1}{m}^-\right|} = \\ &\sum_{i=0}^{\min(|\Gamma_2^+|, |\Gamma_1^-|)} u^i \sum_{m \in match(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_1; i)} (b^+)^{|\Gamma_1^+| + |\Gamma_2^+| - i} b^{|\Gamma_1^-| + |\Gamma_2^-| - i}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Now it is a easy exercise to get, in $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_{HW})$, the formula

$$(b^+)^{p_1} b^{q_1} (b^+)^{p_2} b^{q_2} = \sum_{i=0}^{\min(q_1, p_2)} \binom{q_1}{i} \binom{p_2}{i} i! (b^+)^{p_1+p_2-i} b^{q_1+q_2-i} u^i \quad (18)$$

which implies that the sum in eq (17) is equal to

$$(b^+)^{|\Gamma_1^+|} b^{|\Gamma_1^-|} (b^+)^{|\Gamma_2^+|} b^{|\Gamma_2^-|} = \varphi_4(\tilde{\Gamma}_1) \varphi_4(\tilde{\Gamma}_2) \quad (19)$$

5. Construction of the section $s_3 : \tilde{B} \rightarrow \tilde{B}_q$ and of $\varphi_5 : \tilde{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_{HW})$

For a HW -diagram Γ , we note Γ^{in} the set of inner edges i. e. $\Gamma^{in} = \text{dom}(t) \cap \text{dom}(h)$. Now, we construct an arrow $s_3 : \tilde{B} \rightarrow \tilde{B}_q$ by

$$s_3(\Gamma) = q^{|\Gamma^{in}|} \Gamma \quad (20)$$

and one checks at once that

$$\begin{aligned} s_3(\Gamma_1 *_1 \Gamma_2) &= \sum_{m \in \text{match}(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_1)} s_3\left(\widetilde{\begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ m \\ \Gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}}\right) = \sum_{m \in \text{match}(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_1)} q^{|\Gamma_1^{in}| + |\Gamma_2^{in}| + |m|} \widetilde{\begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ m \\ \Gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}} = \\ &= \sum_{m \in \text{match}(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_1)} q^{|m|} \widetilde{\begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ m \\ \Gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}} = s_3(\Gamma_1) * s_3(\Gamma_2) . \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

From there, φ_5 is defined as $\varphi_5 = \varphi_4 \circ s_3$. It should be noted that φ_5 is onto.

6. Diagram of algebras

We have, so far, constructed the following diagram of algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \tilde{B}_q & & \\ & \varphi_3 & & \varphi_4 & \\ & & & & \\ & s_3 & & & \\ \tilde{B} & & & \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_{HW}) & \\ & \varphi_2 & \varphi_5 & & \text{nat} \\ & & & & \\ & & HW & \varphi_1 & \mathcal{L}_{HW} \\ & & & \phi_1 & \end{array}$$

7. Coproducts and Hopf Algebra structures.

As it is classical, $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}_{HW})$ is endowed with a structure of Hopf Algebra by the comultiplication $\Delta_{\mathcal{U}}$ given by the fact that the generators b, b^+ and u are primitive i. e.

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{U}}(x) = x \otimes 1_{\mathcal{U}} + 1_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes x \text{ for } x = b, b^+, u \quad (22)$$

one then gets at once the comultiplication of an element of the PBW basis

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{U}}((b^+)^p b^q u^r) = \sum_{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \leq (p, q, r)} \binom{p}{\alpha} \binom{q}{\beta} \binom{r}{\gamma} (b^+)^{\alpha} b^{\beta} u^{\gamma} \otimes (b^+)^{p-\alpha} b^{q-\beta} u^{r-\gamma} \quad (23)$$

the counity is given by $\epsilon_{\mathcal{U}}((b^+)^p b^q u^r) = 0$ unless $p = q = r = 0$ in which case it equals 1. The antipode is given classically by $S(\Delta_{\mathcal{U}}((b^+)^p b^q u^r)) = (-1)^{p+q+r} u^r b^q ((b^+)^p)$. This is the standard structure of any enveloping algebra as a Hopf algebra. The question solved in this paper is a construction on \tilde{B}_q of a structure of Hopf algebra such that φ_4 be a Hopf arrow.

7.1. Partitions of a HW-graph and the comultiplication.

Let Γ be a HW-graph and $R \subset \Gamma^{(1)}$, one defines $\Gamma[R]$ as the subgraph with lines R , tail and head functions restricted to R (call them t_R, h_R) and vertices $\Gamma[R]^{(0)}$ as $t_R(R) \cup h_R(R)$. It is easy to check that $\Gamma[R]$ is a HW-graph. It is also not difficult to check that, if $R_1 \subset R_2 \subset \Gamma^{(1)}$, one has the transitivity of restrictions i. e.

$$\Gamma[R_2][R_1] = \Gamma[R_1] . \quad (24)$$

We define a comultiplication on \tilde{B} by

$$\Delta(\tilde{\Gamma}) = \sum_{R+Y=\Gamma^{(1)}} \widetilde{\Gamma[R]} \otimes \widetilde{\Gamma[Y]} \quad (25)$$

of course, one has to check that the result does not depend of the choice of the representative Γ which is straightforward. The proof of coassociativity rests on (24), i. e.

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta \otimes I)\Delta(\tilde{\Gamma}) &= (\Delta \otimes I) \left(\sum_{R+Y=\Gamma^{(1)}} \widetilde{\Gamma[R]} \otimes \widetilde{\Gamma[Y]} \right) = \\ &= \sum_{R+Y=\Gamma^{(1)}} \sum_{R_1+R_2=\Gamma[R]^{(1)}} \widetilde{\Gamma[R][R_1]} \otimes \widetilde{\Gamma[R][R_2]} \otimes \widetilde{\Gamma[Y]} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

but, by construction $\Gamma[R]^{(1)} = R$ and then, using (24), one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta \otimes I)\Delta(\tilde{\Gamma}) &= \sum_{R+Y=\Gamma^{(1)}} \sum_{R_1+R_2=R} \widetilde{\Gamma[R_1]} \otimes \widetilde{\Gamma[R_2]} \otimes \widetilde{\Gamma[Y]} = \\ &= \sum_{R_1+R_2+Y=R} \widetilde{\Gamma[R_1]} \otimes \widetilde{\Gamma[R_2]} \otimes \widetilde{\Gamma[Y]} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

which is the same as $(I \otimes \Delta)\Delta(\tilde{\Gamma})$.

One has now to prove that $\Delta\tilde{B} \rightarrow \tilde{B} \otimes \tilde{B}$ is a morphism of algebras. Let $\Gamma_i, i = 1, 2$ be two disjoint HW-graphs, one has

$$\Delta(\tilde{\Gamma}_1) *^{\otimes 2} \Delta(\tilde{\Gamma}_2) = \left(\sum_{R_1+Y_1=\Gamma_1^{(1)}} \widetilde{\Gamma_1[R_1]} \otimes \widetilde{\Gamma_1[Y_1]} \right) *^{\otimes 2} \left(\sum_{R_1+Y_1=\Gamma_1^{(1)}} \widetilde{\Gamma_1[R_1]} \otimes \widetilde{\Gamma_1[Y_1]} \right) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{R_1+Y_1=\Gamma_1^{(1)} \\ R_2+Y_2=\Gamma_2^{(1)}}} (\widetilde{\Gamma_1[R_1]} * \widetilde{\Gamma_2[R_2]}) \otimes (\widetilde{\Gamma_1[Y_1]} * \widetilde{\Gamma_2[Y_2]}) = \\
& \sum_{\substack{R_1+Y_1=\Gamma_1^{(1)} \\ R_2+Y_2=\Gamma_2^{(1)}}} \sum_{\substack{m_R \in \text{match}(\Gamma_2[R_2], \Gamma_1[R_1]) \\ m_Y \in \text{match}(\Gamma_2[Y_2], \Gamma_1[Y_1])}} \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\Gamma_1[R_1]} \\ m_R \\ \Gamma_2[R_2] \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\Gamma_1[Y_1]} \\ m_R \\ \Gamma_2[Y_2] \end{pmatrix} = \\
& \sum_{m \in \text{match}(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_1)} \sum_{R+Y = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ m \\ \Gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}^{(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ m \\ \Gamma_2 \end{pmatrix} [R] \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ m \\ \Gamma_2 \end{pmatrix} [Y] \tag{28}
\end{aligned}$$

as the correspondence

$$(m, R, Y) \mapsto (R_1, Y_1, R_2, Y_2, m_R, m_Y) \tag{29}$$

is one to one between the sets

$$\{(m, R, Y) \text{ such that } (m \in \text{match}(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_1)) \text{ and } (R + Y = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ m \\ \Gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}^{(1)})\} \tag{30}$$

and

$$\{(R_1, R_2, Y_1, Y_2, m_R, m_Y) \text{ such that } (R_1 + Y_1 = \Gamma_1^{(1)}, R_2 + Y_2 = \Gamma_2^{(1)}) \\ (m_R \in \text{match}(\Gamma_2[R_2], \Gamma_1[R_1]), m_Y \in \text{match}(\Gamma_2[Y_2], \Gamma_1[Y_1]))\} \tag{31}$$

8. Endnotes

Formula (11) : Strictly speaking, the (double) sum in the LHS of this formula is indexed by the set

$$I_1 := \bigcup_{m' \in \text{match}(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_1)} \{m'\} \times \text{match}(\Gamma_3, \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ m' \\ \Gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}) \tag{32}$$

and the RHS of this formula is indexed by the set

$$I_2 := \bigcup_{m'' \in \text{match}(\Gamma_3, \Gamma_2)} \text{match}(\begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_2 \\ m'' \\ \Gamma_3 \end{pmatrix}, \Gamma_1) \times \{m''\} \tag{33}$$

the (one-to-one) correspondence $I_1 \rightarrow I_2$ is given by $(m', m'') \mapsto (m' \cup m''_{31}, m''_{32})$ and its inverse by $(m', m'') \mapsto (m'_{21}, m'' \cup m'_{31})$ with $m_{ij} = m \cap (\Gamma_i^+ \times \Gamma_j^-)$.

References

- [1] BAYEN (F.), FLATO (M.), FRONSDAL (C.), LICHNEROWICZ (A.) AND STERNHEIMER (D.), *Deformation and Quantization*, Ann. of Phys. 111 (1978), pp. 61-151.
- [2] J. BERSTEL, C. REUTENAUER, *Rational series and their languages EATCS Monographs on Theoretical Computer Science*, Springer (1988).
- [3] C. M. BENDER, D. C. BRODY, AND B. K. MEISTER, Quantum field theory of partitions, J. Math. Phys. Vol 40 (1999)
- [4] P. BLASIAK, A. HORZELA, K. A. PENSON, G. H. E. DUCHAMP, A.I. SOLOMON, *Boson normal ordering via substitutions and Sheffer-Type Polynomials*, Phys. Lett. A **338** (2005) 108
- [5] P. BLASIAK, K. A. PENSON, A.I. SOLOMON, A. HORZELA, G. H. E. DUCHAMP, *Some useful formula for bosonic operators*, Jour. Math. Phys. **46** 052110 (2005).
- [6] BOURBAKI N., *Algebra, chapter III*, Springer
- [7] BOURBAKI N., *Algebra, chapter VI*, Springer
- [8] BOURBAKI N., *Theory of sets*, Springer
- [9] CARTIER P., *Séminaire "Sophus Lie", 2ème année*, Faculté des Sciences de Paris (1955-56)
- [10] P. CARTIER, *Fonctions polylogarithmes, nombres polyzeta et groupes pro-unipotents*, Séminaire Bourbaki, Asterisque n. 282 (2002)
- [11] P. CARTIER, *A primer of Hopf algebras*, Septembre (2006), IHES preprint IHES/M/06/40.
- [12] V. CHARI, A. PRESSLEY, *A guide to quantum groups*. Cambridge (1994).
- [13] G. H. E. DUCHAMP, P. BLASIAK, A. HORZELA, K. A. PENSON, A. I. SOLOMON, FEYNMAN GRAPHS AND RELATED HOPF ALGEBRAS, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, SSPCM'05, Myczkowce, Poland. arXiv : cs.SC/0510041
- [14] DUCHAMP G., FLOURET M., LAUGEROTTE E., LUQUE J-G., *Direct and dual laws for automata with multiplicities*
arXiv : math.CO0607412
- [15] DUCHAMP G., LUQUE J-G., *Congruences Compatible with the Shuffle Product*
arXiv : math.CO0607419
- [16] G. DUCHAMP, F. HIVERT, J. Y. THIBON, *Non commutative functions VI: Free quasi-symmetric functions and related algebras*, International Journal of Algebra and Computation Vol 12, No 5 (2002).
- [17] G. H. E. DUCHAMP, G. KOSHEVOY, K. A. PENSON, C. TOLLU, F. TOUMAZET, *Geometric combinatorial twisting and shifting*, Séminaire Lotharingien (in preparation).
- [18] G. H. E. DUCHAMP, J. -G. LUQUE, J. -C. NOVELLI, C. TOLLU, F. TOUMAZET, *Hopf algebras of diagrams*, FPSAC07.
- [19] G. DUCHAMP, A.I. SOLOMON, K.A. PENSON, A. HORZELA AND P. B LASIAK, *One-parameter groups and combinatorial physics*, Proceedings of the Symposium Third International Workshop on Contemporary Problems in Mathematical Physics (COPROMAPH3) (Porto-Novo, Benin, Nov. 2003), J. Govaerts, M. N. Hounkonnou and A. Z. Msezane (eds.), p.436 (World Scientific Publishing 2004)
arXiv: quant-ph/04011262
- [20] L. FOISSY, *Isomorphisme entre l'algèbre des fonctions quasi-symétriques libres et une algèbre de Hopf des arbres enracinés décorés plans*, personal communication.
- [21] L. FOISSY, *Les algèbres de Hopf des arbres enracinés décorés*, PhD Memoir, Reims University (2002).
- [22] L. FOISSY, *Personal Communication*.
- [23] M. HAZEWINKEL, Hopf algebras of endomorphisms of Hopf algebras, (Oct 2004) ArXiv : math.QA/0410364
- [24] M. E. HOFFMAN, *Quasi-shuffle products*, J. Algebraic Combin. (2000), 49-68
- [25] A. HORZELA, P. BLASIAK, G. DUCHAMP, K. A. PENSON AND A.I. SOLOMON, *A product*

formula and combinatorial field theory, Proceedings of the XI International Conference on Symmetry Methods in Physics (SYMPHYS-11) (Prague, Czech Republic, June 2004), C. Burdik, O. Navratil, and S. Posta (eds.) (JINR Publishers, Dubna)
arXiv:quant-ph/0409152

- [26] D. KREIMER, *Knots and Feynman Diagrams*, Cambridge Lecture Notes in Physics (2000).
- [27] P. OCHSENSCHLÄGER, *Binomialkoeffizienten und Shuffle-Zahlen*, Technischer Bericht, Fachbereich Informatik, T. H. Darmstadt, 1981.
- [28] REUTENAUER C., *Free Lie algebras*, Oxford University Press (1993).
- [29] M. ROSSO, *Quantum groups and quantum shuffles*, *Inventiones Math.* 133 (1998).
- [30] A.I. SOLOMON, P. BLASIAK, G. DUCHAMP, A. HORZELA AND K.A. PENSON, *Combinatorial Physics, Normal Order and Model Feynman Graphs*, Proceedings of the Symposium 'Symmetries in Science XIII', Bregenz, Austria, 2003, B. Gruber, G. Marmo and N. Yoshinaga (eds.), p.527 (Kluwer Academic Publishers 2004)
arXiv : quant-ph/0310174
- [31] A.I. SOLOMON, G. DUCHAMP, P. BLASIAK, A. HORZELA AND K.A. PENSON, *Normal Order: Combinatorial Graphs Quantum Theory and Symmetries*, Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium P.C. Argyres, T.J. Hodges, F. Mansouri, J.J. Scanio, P. Suranyi, and L.C.R. Wijewardhana (eds.), p.398 (World Scientific Publishing 2004)
arXiv:quant-ph/0402082
- [32] A.I. SOLOMON, G. DUCHAMP, P. BLASIAK, A. HORZELA AND K. A. PENSON, *Partition functions and graphs: A combinatorial approach*, Proceedings of the XI International Conference on Symmetry Methods in Physics (SYMPHYS-11) (Prague, Czech Republic, June 2004), C. Burdik, O. Navratil, and S. Posta (eds.) (JINR Publishers, Dubna, 2004)
arXiv:quant-ph/0409082
- [33] A. I. SOLOMON, G. DUCHAMP, P. BLASIAK, A. HORZELA AND K. A. PENSON, *Hopf algebra structure of a model quantum field theory*, Proceedings of the 26th International Colloquium on Group Theoretical Methods in Physics, New York 2006, Editor: S. Catto (2007).
- [34] G. DUCHAMP, A. I. SOLOMON, P. BLASIAK, A. HORZELA AND K. A. PENSON, *A multipurpose Hopf deformation of the algebra of Feynman-like diagrams*, Proceedings of the 26th International Colloquium on Group Theoretical Methods in Physics, New York 2006, Editor: S. Catto (2007).