

# A $q$ -analog of the exponential formula<sup>☆</sup>

Ira M. Gessel

*Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*

---

## Abstract

A  $q$ -analog of functional composition for Eulerian generating functions is introduced and applied to the enumeration of permutations by inversions and distribution of left-right maxima.

© 1982 Published by Elsevier B.V.

---

## 1. Introduction

If  $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x^n/n!)$  is the exponential generating function for a class of ‘labeled objects’, then

$$g(x) = e^{f(x)}$$

will be (under appropriate conditions) the exponential generating function for sets of these objects. For example, if  $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n-1)!x^n/n!$  is the exponential generating function for cyclic permutations, then  $g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n!x^n/n!$  is the exponential generating function for all permutations; if  $f(x)$  is the exponential generating function for connected labeled graphs, then

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

is the exponential generating function for all labeled graphs. For various approaches to the exponential formula, see [3,4,10,11].

It is well known that many properties of exponential generating functions have analogs for *Eulerian generating functions* of the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \frac{x^n}{n!_q}$$

where  $n!_q = 1 \cdot (1+q) \cdots (1+q+\cdots+q^{n-1})$ , and  $f_n$  is a polynomial in  $q$ . Note that  $n!_q$  reduces to  $n!$  for  $q = 1$ . Eulerian generating functions arise in several combinatorial applications, such as finite vector spaces [6] and partitions [1], but here we shall be concerned primarily with their use in counting permutations by inversions. (See [5,9].)

---

<sup>☆</sup> Research partially supported by ONR Contract #N00014-76-C-0366  
DOI of original article: 10.1016/0012-365X(82)90189-3  
The original article was published in Discrete Mathematics 40 (1982) 69–80

We introduce a  $q$ -analog of functional composition and show that  $q$ -exponentiation can be used to count permutations by inversions and ‘basic components’, which are related to left-right maxima. Combinatorial interpretations are obtained for Gould’s  $q$ -Stirling numbers of the first kind [7] and the ‘continuous  $q$ -Hermite polynomials’ study by Askey and Ismail [2] and others. Finally, we count involutions by inversions, using a new property of a correspondence of Foata [4].

**2. Notation**

We define  $(a; q)_n$  to be  $\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^i)$ , with  $(a; q)_0 = 1$ . We often write  $(a)_n$  for  $(a; q)_n$ . Thus

$$(q)_n = (1 - q)(1 - q^2) \cdots (1 - q^n) = (1 - q)^n n!_q \quad \text{and} \quad (a)_\infty = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^i).$$

The  $q$ -binomial coefficient, which is a polynomial in  $q$ , is defined by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{n!_q}{k!_q (n - k)!_q} = \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_k (q)_{n-k}}.$$

We write  $n_q$  for  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}$  and  $\mathbf{n}$  for the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . All power series may be considered as formal, so that questions of convergence do not arise.

**3. A  $q$ -analog of functional composition**

The  $q$ -analog  $\mathcal{D}$  of the derivative is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}f(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(qx)}{(1 - q)x}.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{D}1 = 0$  and for  $n > 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{D} \frac{x^n}{n!_q} = \frac{x^{n-1}}{(n - 1)!_q}.$$

(Note that for  $q = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$  reduces to the ordinary derivative.) We shall often write  $f'$  for  $\mathcal{D}f$ .

We now define a  $q$ -analog of the map  $f \mapsto f^k/k!$  for exponential generating functions.

**Definition 3.1.** Suppose that  $f(0) = 0$ . Then for  $k \geq 0$ ,  $f^{[k]}$  is defined by  $f^{[0]} = 1$  and for  $k > 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{D}f^{[k]} = f' \cdot f^{[k-1]}, \quad \text{with } f^{[k]}(0) = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Formula (3.1) is equivalent to the following recursion: let

$$f^{[k]}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n,k} \frac{x^n}{n!_q}.$$

Then

$$f_{n+1,k} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} f_{n-j+1,1} f_{j,k-1}.$$

It is clear that  $f_{n,k} = 0$  for  $n < k$ .

As an example, take  $f(x) = x^m/m!_q$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{x^m}{m!_q} \right)^{[k]} &= \begin{bmatrix} mk - 1 \\ m - 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m(k - 1) - 1 \\ m - 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdots \begin{bmatrix} m - 1 \\ m - 1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{x^{mk}}{(mk)!_q} \\ &= \frac{(mk)!_q}{(m!_q)^k \cdot 1 \cdot (1 + q^m) \cdots (1 + q^m + q^{2m} + \cdots + q^{(k-1)m})} \frac{x^{mk}}{(mk)!_q}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for  $m = 1$  we have  $x^{[k]} = x^k/k!_q$ , and for  $q = 1$ ,  $(x^m/m!_q)^{[k]}$  reduces to

$$\frac{(mk)!}{m!^k k!} \frac{x^{mk}}{(mk)!}.$$

**Definition 3.2.** Suppose that  $g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty g_n(x^n/n!_q)$  and  $f(0) = 0$ . Then the  $q$  – composition  $g[f]$  is defined to be

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty g_n f^{[n]}.$$

Note that  $g[x] = g(x)$ . The following is straightforward.

**Proposition 3.3** (*The chain rule*).  $\mathcal{D}g[f] = g'[f]f'$ .

Unfortunately  $q$ -composition is neither associative nor distributive over multiplication, i.e., in general  $(fg)[h] \neq f[h] \cdot g[h]$ .

Now let  $e(x) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty x^n/n!_q$  be the  $q$ -analog of the exponential function. Since  $e'(x) = e(x)$ , we have  $\mathcal{D}e[f] = e[f]f'$ . Equating coefficients gives a recurrence for the coefficients of  $e[f]$  in terms of the coefficients of  $f$ :

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n(x^n/n!_q)$  and let  $g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty g_n(x^n/n!_q) = e[f]$ . Then  $g_0 = 1$  and for  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$g_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} g_{n-k} f_{k+1}.$$

We can also express  $e[f]$  as an infinite product:

**Proposition 3.5.** Suppose  $f(0) = 0$ . Then

$$e[f] = \prod_{k=0}^\infty [1 - (1 - q)q^k x f'(q^k x)]^{-1}. \tag{3.2}$$

**Proof.** Let  $g = e[f]$ . Then  $g'(x) = f'(x)g(x)$ , so

$$\frac{g(x) - g(qx)}{(1 - q)x} = f'(x)g(x)$$

and thus

$$g(x) = [1 - (1 - q)x f'(x)]^{-1} g(qx). \tag{3.3}$$

Iterating (3.3) yields (3.2).  $\square$

For  $f(x) = x$ , Proposition 3.5 yields the well-known infinite product

$$e(x) = e[x] = \prod_{k=0}^\infty [1 - (1 - q)q^k x]^{-1}.$$

Since  $e[tf(x)] = \sum_{n=0}^\infty t^n f^{[n]}$ , we have an alternative characterization of  $f^{[n]}$  as the coefficient of  $t^n$  in

$$\prod_{k=0}^\infty [1 - (1 - q)q^k x f'(q^k x)t]^{-1}.$$

### 4. Permutations

By a *permutation* of a set  $A$  of positive integers we mean a linear arrangement  $a_1a_2 \cdots a_n$  of the elements of  $A$ . The *length* of  $a_1a_2 \cdots a_n$  is  $n$ . A permutation is *basic* if it begins with its greatest element. (By convention the ‘empty permutation’ of length zero is not basic.) We denote by  $S_n$  and  $B_n$  the sets of all permutations and of basic permutations of  $\mathbf{n}$ . (Thus  $|S_n| = n!$  for all  $n$  and  $|B_n| = (n - 1)!$  for  $n \geq 1$ , with  $|B_0| = 0$ .) A *left-right maximum* of a permutation  $a_1a_2 \cdots a_n$  is an  $a_j$  such that  $i < j$  implies  $a_i < a_j$ . For any nonempty permutation  $\sigma$  we write  $L(\sigma)$  for the first element of  $\sigma$ . The following is straightforward.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Suppose the permutation  $\pi = a_1a_2 \cdots a_n$  has the factorization  $\pi = \beta_1\beta_2 \cdots \beta_k$ , where the  $\beta_i$  are nonempty permutations. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *Each  $\beta_s$  is basic and  $L(\beta_1) < L(\beta_2) < \cdots < L(\beta_k)$ .*
- (ii)  *$a_i = L(\beta_s)$  for some  $s$  if and only if  $a_j$  is a left-right maximum.*

It follows from the lemma that every permutation  $\pi$  has a unique factorization  $\beta_2\beta_2 \cdots \beta_k$  satisfying (i) which we call the *basic decomposition* of  $\pi$ , and we call the  $\beta_i$  the *basic components* of  $\pi$ . We note that any set  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k\}$  of basic permutations with no elements in common can be ordered in exactly one way to form the basic decomposition of some permutation. Thus we have a bijection between permutations and sets of disjoint basic permutations.

We call a permutation *reduced* if it is in  $S_n$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . To any permutation  $\pi = a_1a_2 \cdots a_n$  we may associate a reduced permutation,  $\text{red}(\pi)$ , by replacing in  $\pi$ , for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , the  $i$ th smallest element of  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  by  $i$ . Thus  $\text{red}(7926) = 3412$ . The *content* of the permutation  $\pi = a_1a_2 \cdots a_n$  is  $\text{con}(\pi) = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ . We note that a permutation is determined by its reduction and its content.

A function  $\omega$  defined on permutations (with values in some commutative algebra over the rationals) is *multiplicative* if for all permutations  $\pi$ :

- (i)  $\omega(\pi) = \omega(\text{red}(\pi))$ .
- (ii) If  $\beta_1\beta_2 \cdots \beta_k$  is the basic decomposition of  $\pi$ , then

$$\omega(\pi) = \omega(\beta_1)\omega(\beta_2) \cdots \omega(\beta_k).$$

Thus a multiplicative function is determined by its values on reduced basic permutations, and these may be chosen arbitrarily. (We note that (ii) implies  $\omega(\emptyset) = 1$ .)

### 5. Inversions of permutations

If  $V$  is a subset of  $\mathbf{n}$  we denote by  $I_n(V)$  the number of pairs  $(v, w)$  with  $v \in V, w \in \mathbf{n} - V$ , and  $v > w$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let*

$$Q(n, k) = \sum_V q^{I_n(V)}$$

where the sum is over all  $V \subseteq \mathbf{n}$  with  $|V| = n - k$ . Then  $Q(n, k) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Proof.** It is clear that  $Q(n, n) = Q(n, 0) = 1$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Then by considering the two cases  $n \in V$  and  $n \notin V$  we find the recurrence

$$Q(n, k) = q^k Q(n - 1, k) + Q(n - 1, k - 1),$$

for  $0 < k < n$ . Since  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$  satisfies the same recurrence and boundary conditions,  $Q(n, k) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ .  $\square$

An *inversion* of the permutation  $\pi = a_1a_2 \cdots a_n$  is a pair  $(i, j)$  with  $i < j$  and  $a_i > a_j$ . We write  $I(\pi)$  for the number of inversions of  $\pi$ . Note that  $I(\pi) = I(\text{red}(\pi))$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $\omega$  be a multiplicative function on permutations. Let  $g_n = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \omega(\pi)q^{I(\pi)}$  and let  $f_n = \sum_{\beta \in B_n} \omega(\beta)q^{I(\beta)}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n \frac{x^n}{n!_q} = e \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \frac{x^n}{n!_q} \right].$$

**Proof.** In view of Proposition 3.4, we need only prove

$$g_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} g_{n-k} f_{k+1}. \tag{5.1}$$

We shall prove (5.1) by showing that  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} g_{n-k} f_{k+1}$  counts those permutations counted by  $g_{n+1}$  whose last basic component has length  $k+1$ . Such a permutation may be factored as  $\pi = \sigma\beta$  where  $\sigma$  is of length  $n-k$ ,  $\beta$  is of length  $k+1$ , and the disjoint union of  $\text{con}(\sigma)$  and  $\text{con}(\beta)$  is  $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$ . The condition that  $\beta$  is the last basic component of  $\pi$  is equivalent to the condition that  $\beta$  is basic and  $\text{con}(\beta)$  contains  $n+1$ . Thus to determine  $\pi$  we choose  $V = \text{con}(\sigma)$  as an arbitrary  $(n-k)$ -subset of  $\mathbf{n}$  and choose  $\text{red}(\sigma) \in S_{n-k}$  and  $\text{red}(\beta) \in B_{k+1}$ . It is easily seen that  $I(\pi) = I(\sigma) + I(\beta) + I_n(V)$ . Thus the contribution to  $g_{n+1}$  of these  $\pi$  is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_V \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n-k}} \sum_{\beta \in B_{k+1}} \omega(\sigma)\omega(\beta)q^{I(\sigma)+I(\beta)+I_n(V)} \\ &= \left[ \sum_V q^{I_n(V)} \right] \left[ \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n-k}} \omega(\sigma)q^{I(\sigma)} \right] \left[ \sum_{\beta \in B_{k+1}} \omega(\beta)q^{I(\beta)} \right] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} g_{n-k} f_{k+1}, \quad \text{by Lemma 5.1.} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 5.3.** *Let  $t_1, t_2, \dots$  be arbitrary, and set  $T(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_{n+1}x^n$ . Define the multiplicative function  $\omega$  by*

$$\omega(\pi) = t_1^{b_1} t_2^{b_2} \dots$$

where  $\pi$  has  $b_i$  basic components of length  $i$ . Let

$$g_n = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \omega(\pi)q^{I(\pi)}$$

and let

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n \frac{x^n}{n!_q}.$$

Then

$$g(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} [1 - (1-q)q^k x T(q^{k+1}x)]^{-1}. \tag{5.2}$$

**Proof.** Let  $f_n = \sum_{\beta \in B_n} \omega(\beta)q^{I(\beta)} = t_n \sum_{\beta \in B_n} q^{I(\beta)}$ . Every  $\beta$  in  $B_n$  is obtained by inserting  $n$  at the beginning of an element of  $S_{n-1}$ ; thus,

$$\sum_{\beta \in B_n} q^{I(\beta)} = q^{n-1} \sum_{\pi \in S_{n-1}} q^{I(\pi)} = q^{n-1} (n-1)!_q,$$

by a well-known result of Rodrigues [8], easily proved by induction. Thus,

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \frac{x^n}{n!_q} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n q^{n-1} (n-1)!_q \frac{x^n}{n!_q},$$

so

$$f'(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_{n+1}q^n x^n = T(qx).$$

Then (5.2) follows from Theorem 5.2 and Proposition 3.5.  $\square$

### 6. Examples

We first look at two trivial cases of Theorem 5.2. If we take  $t_i = 1$  for all  $i$ , then  $T(x) = (1 - x)^{-1}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} [1 - (1 - q)q^k x (1 - q^{k+1}x)^{-1}]^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{k+1}x}{1 - q^k x} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n!_q \frac{x^n}{n!_q}. \end{aligned}$$

If we take  $t_1 = 1$  and  $t_i = 0$  for  $i > 1$ , then  $T(x) = 1$  and

$$g(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} [1 - (1 - q)q^k x]^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!_q}.$$

A more interesting example is that in which  $t_i = t$  for all  $i$ . In the case  $q = 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \exp\left(t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n}\right) = (1 - x)^{-t} \\ &= \sum_{n,k=0}^{\infty} c(n, k) t^k \frac{x^n}{n!} \end{aligned}$$

where  $c(n, k) = |s(n, k)|$  is the unsigned Stirling number of the first kind.

For general  $q$ , we have  $T(x) = t(1 - x)^{-1}$ , and thus

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} [1 - (1 - q)q^k x t (1 - q^{k+1}x)^{-1}]^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{k+1}x}{1 - [q + (1 - q)t]q^k x} \\ &= \frac{(qx)_{\infty}}{([q + (1 - q)t]x)_{\infty}}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

We can expand this product with the  $q$ -binomial theorem [1, p. 17]:

$$\frac{(ax)_{\infty}}{(x)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_n \frac{x^n}{(q)_n},$$

which with  $\beta x$  for  $x$  and  $\alpha\beta^{-1}$  for  $a$ , gives

$$\frac{(\alpha x)_{\infty}}{(\beta x)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (\beta - \alpha q^i) \right] \frac{x^n}{(q)_n},$$

where as usual the empty product is one. Then (6.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} [(1-q)t + q - q^{i+1}] \frac{x^n}{(q)_n} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} [t + q(1 + q + \dots + q^{i+1})] \frac{x^n}{n!_q} \\
 &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t(t + q \cdot 1_q)(t + q \cdot 2_q) \cdots (t + q(n-1)_q) \frac{x^n}{n!_q}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{6.2}$$

It should be noted that a direct combinatorial proof of (6.2) is not difficult. It follows from (6.2) that the coefficients of  $g(x)$  are essentially the same  $q$ -Stirling numbers as those studied by Gould [7].

With the help of the formula [1, p. 36]

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (\alpha + \beta q^i) = \sum_{j=0}^n q^{\binom{j}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} \alpha^{n-j} \beta^j,$$

one can obtain the explicit formula

$$c_q(n, k) = \left(\frac{q}{1-q}\right)^{n-k} \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \binom{n-j}{k} q^{\binom{j}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}.
 \tag{6.3}$$

(See Gould [7].)

It is remarkable that there seems to be no formula for the ( $q = 1$ ) Stirling numbers of the first kind as simple as (6.3).

As a generalization, we may count permutations in which every basic component has length divisible by some positive integer  $r$ , according to the number of basic components. (The last example is the case  $r = 1$ .) Here we have  $T(x) = tx^{r-1}/(1-x^r)$  and a straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(x) &= \frac{(q^r x^r; q^r)_{\infty}}{([q + (1-q)t]q^{r-1}x^r; q^r)_{\infty}} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(r-1)n} \frac{(nr)!_q}{r_q(2r)_q \cdots (nr)_q} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} [t + q(ri)_q] \frac{x^{nr}}{(nr)!_q}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, let us consider the case where all basic components have length one or two. Then we may set  $t_1 = t$ ,  $t_2 = 1$ , and  $t_i = 0$  for  $i > 2$ . (Letting  $t_2$  be an indeterminate would give us no additional information.) Then  $T(x) = t + x$  and we have

$$g(x) = e \left[ tx + q \frac{x^2}{2!_q} \right].$$

Proposition 3.4 gives the recurrence

$$g_{n+1} = t g_n + q n_q g_{n-1}$$

from which the first few values of  $g_n$  are easily computed:

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_0 &= 1, \\
 g_1 &= t, \\
 g_2 &= t^2 + q, \\
 g_3 &= t^3 + (2q + q^2)t, \\
 g_4 &= t^4 + (3q + 2q^2 + q^3)t^2 + q^2 + q^3 + q^4.
 \end{aligned}$$

The infinite product for  $g(x)$  is

$$g(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} [1 - (1 - q)q^k x(t + q^{k+1}x)]^{-1}. \tag{6.4}$$

To find a formula for the coefficients of  $g(x)$  we introduce the ‘continuous  $q$ -Hermite polynomials’  $H_n(u \mid q)$  defined by

$$\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - 2uzq^k + z^2q^{2k})^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n(u \mid q) \frac{z^n}{(q)_n} \tag{6.5}$$

These polynomials have been studied by Askey and Ismail [2] and others. We find a formula for their coefficients by setting  $u = \cos \theta$ ,  $\alpha = e^{i\theta}$ , and  $\beta = e^{-i\theta}$ . Then  $1 - 2uzq^k + z^2q^{2k} = (1 - \alpha zq^k)(1 - \beta zq^k)$  so

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - 2uzq^k + z^2q^{2k})^{-1} &= (\alpha z)_{\infty}^{-1} (\beta z)_{\infty}^{-1} \\ &= \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^n \frac{z^n}{(q)_n} \right] \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n \frac{z^n}{(q)_n} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Equating coefficients of  $z^n/(q)_n$  and using the well-known formula

$$\cos r\theta = \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} (-1)^m 2^{r-2m-1} \frac{r}{r-m} \binom{r-m}{m} \cos^{r-2m}\theta$$

for  $r > 0$ , we obtain

$$H_n(u \mid q) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} (2u)^{n-2j} \sum_{k=0}^j (-1)^{j-k} \frac{n-2k}{n-k-j} \binom{n-k-j}{n-2j} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} + E_n \tag{6.6}$$

where

$$E_n = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \frac{1}{2}n \end{bmatrix}, & n \text{ even} \\ 0, & n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

It will be convenient to consider the polynomials  $\bar{H}_n(u \mid q) = i^n H_n(-iu \mid q)$ , where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ . Then (6.5) and (6.6) lead to

$$\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - 2uzq^k - z^2q^{2k})^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{H}_n(u \mid q) \frac{z^n}{(q)_n} \tag{6.7}$$

and

$$\bar{H}_n(u \mid q) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} (2u)^{n-2j} \sum_{k=0}^j (-1)^k \frac{n-2k}{n-k-j} \binom{n-k-j}{n-2j} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} + E_n. \tag{6.8}$$

Now in (6.4), set  $z^2 = (1 - q)qx^2$ , so  $x = [(1 - q)q]^{-1/2}z$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{H}_n \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1-q}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} t \mid q \right) \frac{z^n}{(q)_n} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [q/(1-q)]^{n/2} \bar{H}_n \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1-q}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} t \mid q \right) \frac{x^n}{n!_q}. \end{aligned}$$



Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_n &= [q/(1-q)]^{n/2} \tilde{H}_n \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1-q}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} t \mid q \right) \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} t^{n-2j} \left( \frac{q}{1-q} \right)^j \sum_{k=0}^j (-1)^k \frac{n-2k}{n-k-j} \binom{n-k-j}{n-2j} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \\
 &\quad + \left( \frac{q}{1-q} \right)^{n/2} E_n.
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

Then if  $g_n = \sum_j b_{n,j} t^{n-2j}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_{n,0} &= 1, \\
 b_{n,1} &= \frac{q}{1-q} (n - n_q) = (n-1)q + (n-2)q^2 + \dots + q^{n-1}, \\
 b_{n,2} &= \left( \frac{q}{1-q} \right)^2 \left\{ \frac{n(n-3)}{2} - (n-2)n_q + \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

and so on.

### 7. Inversions and cycle structure

It is well known that the number of permutations in  $S_n$  with  $k$  left-right maxima is the same as the number of permutations in  $S_n$  with  $k$  cycles. (The number is the unsigned Stirling number of the first kind  $c(n, k)$ .) Foata [4] has constructed a bijection  $\Psi : S_n \rightarrow S_n$  which takes a permutation with  $\alpha_i$  basic components of length  $i$  (for each  $i$ ) to one with  $\alpha_i$  cycles of length  $i$ : to get the cycle representation of  $\Psi(\pi)$ , we simply enclose each basic component of  $\pi$  in a pair of parentheses. Thus for  $\pi = 1\ 4\ 2\ 3\ 7\ 5\ 6$ , we have  $\Psi(\pi) = (1)(4\ 2\ 3)(7\ 5\ 6)$  in cycle notation, which in linear notation is  $1\ 3\ 4\ 2\ 6\ 7\ 5$ . To find  $\Psi^{-1}(\pi)$ , we write  $\pi$  in cycle notation, with the greatest element of each cycle first, and with the cycles arranged in increasing order of first element. Then we remove the parentheses.

Unfortunately,  $\Psi$  does not preserve inversions, and the problem of counting permutations by inversions and cycle structure remains open. However, if  $\pi$  has only basic components of lengths one and two, so that  $\Psi(\pi)$  is an involution, then  $\Psi$  transforms the inversion number in a very simple way:

**Theorem 7.1.** *Suppose  $\pi$  has  $b_i$  basic components of length  $i$  for each  $i$ , where  $b_i = 0$  for  $i > 2$ . Then  $I(\Psi(\pi)) = 2I(\pi) - b_2$ .*

**Proof.** We proceed by induction on the length of  $\pi$ . The theorem is trivially true for lengths zero and one. Now let  $\pi$  be of length  $n \geq 2$  and assume the truth of the theorem for all shorter lengths. Let  $\pi'$  be obtained from  $\pi$  by removing the last basic component, and let  $b'_2$  be the number of basic components of  $\pi'$  of length two. If the last basic component of  $\pi$  has length one, then  $\Psi(\pi)$  is  $\Psi(\pi')$  with  $n$  adjoined at the end, so  $I(\pi) = I(\pi')$ ,  $I(\Psi(\pi)) = I(\Psi(\pi'))$ , and  $b_2 = b'_2$ . Thus  $I(\Psi(\pi)) - 2I(\pi) + b_2 = I(\Psi(\pi')) - 2I(\pi') + b'_2 = 0$ .

To deal with the case in which the last basic component of  $\pi$  has length two, we first observe that Foata’s correspondence  $\Psi$  can be extended in the obvious way to permutations that are not reduced:  $\Psi(\sigma)$  is defined by  $\text{con}(\Psi(\sigma)) = \text{con}(\sigma)$  and  $\text{red}(\Psi(\sigma)) = \Psi(\text{red}(\sigma))$ .

If the last basic component of  $\pi$  has length two, then it must be  $nk$  for some  $k$ . Then  $I(\pi) = I(\pi') + n - k$ . If  $\Psi(\pi') = a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-2}$ , then  $\Psi(\pi)$  is obtained from it by inserting  $n$  between  $a_{k-1}$  and  $a_k$  (or at the beginning, if  $k = 1$ ), and inserting  $k$  at the end. It is then easily seen that  $I(\Psi(\pi)) = I(\Psi(\pi')) + 2n - 2k - 1$ . Since  $b_2 = b'_2 + 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(\Psi(\pi)) - 2I(\pi) + b_2 &= I(\Psi(\pi')) + 2n - 2k - 1 - 2[I(\pi') + n - k] + b'_2 + 1 \\
 &= I(\Psi(\pi')) + 2I(\pi') + b'_2 = 0. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that if  $g_n = g_n(t | q)$  is given by (6.9), then the number of involutions of  $\mathbf{n}$  with  $r$  fixed points and  $l$  inversions is the coefficient of  $t^r q^l$  in  $q^{-n/2} g_n(tq^{\frac{1}{2}} | q^2)$ .

## References

- [1] G.E. Andrews, The Theory of Partitions, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 2 (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1976).
- [2] R. Askey and M.E.-H. Ismail, A generalization of ultraspherical polynomials, to appear in Studies in Analysis, A collection of articles dedicated to the memory of Paul Turan, Budapest.
- [3] E.A. Bender and J.R. Goldman, Enumerative uses of generating functions, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 20 (1971) 753–765.
- [4] D. Foata, La série génératrice exponentielle dans les problèmes d'énumération (Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, 1974).
- [5] I.M. Gessel, Generating functions and enumeration of sequences, Ph.D. Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1977.
- [6] J. Goldman and G.-C. Rota, On the foundations of combinatorial theory IV: Finite vector spaces and Eulerian generating functions, Studies in Appl. Math. 49 (1970) 239–258.
- [7] H.W. Gould,  $q$ -Stirling numbers. Duke Math. J. 28 (1961) 281–289.
- [8] O. Rodrigues, Note sur les inversions, ou derangements produit dans les permutations, J. Mathématiques 4 (1839) 236–240.
- [9] R.P. Stanley, Binomial posets, Möbius inversion, and permutation enumeration, J. Combin. Theory (A) 20 (1976) 336–356.
- [10] R.P. Stanley, Generating functions, in: G.-C. Rota, ed., MAA Studies in Combinatorics (Math. Assoc. of America, 1978) 100–141.
- [11] R.P. Stanley, Exponential structures, Studies in Appl. Math. 59 (1978) 73–82.