

Spectral Properties of Positive Maps

Dariusz Chruściński
(joint work with A. Kossakowski)

Nicolaus Copernicus University, Toruń

SSPCM 2009, Myczkowce

Main message

Quantum physicists love
spectral problems

There are important problems in QM
which are NOT spectral

Quantum entanglement – pure states

Composite system

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{total}} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$$

$\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{total}}$ is **separable** iff

$$\psi = \psi_A \otimes \psi_B$$

$$\psi_A \in \mathcal{H}_A \quad \& \quad \psi_B \in \mathcal{H}_B$$

entangled = not separable

Quantum entanglement – pure states

Composite system

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{total}} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$$

 $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{total}}$ is **separable** iff

$$\psi = \psi_A \otimes \psi_B$$

$$\psi_A \in \mathcal{H}_A \quad \& \quad \psi_B \in \mathcal{H}_B$$

entangled = not separable

Quantum entanglement – pure states

Composite system

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{total}} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$$

$\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{total}}$ is **separable** iff

$$\psi = \psi_A \otimes \psi_B$$

$$\psi_A \in \mathcal{H}_A \quad \& \quad \psi_B \in \mathcal{H}_B$$

entangled = not separable

Quantum entanglement – mixed states

ρ mixed state in $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$

$$\rho \geq 0 \quad (\implies \rho^* = \rho) \quad \& \quad \text{Tr } \rho = 1$$

$$\rho \text{ separable} \iff \rho = \sum_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha}^{(A)} \otimes \rho_{\alpha}^{(B)}$$

Quantum entanglement – mixed states

ρ mixed state in $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$

$$\rho \geq 0 \quad (\implies \rho^* = \rho) \quad \& \quad \text{Tr } \rho = 1$$

$$\rho \text{ separable} \iff \rho = \sum_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha}^{(A)} \otimes \rho_{\alpha}^{(B)}$$

To be or not to be a separable state

Is it spectral property ?

YES if it is pure (ψ)

NO if it is mixed $(\rho \neq |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)$

To be or not to be a separable state

Is it spectral property ?

YES if it is pure (ψ)

NO if it is mixed $(\rho \neq |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)$

To be or not to be a separable state

Is it spectral property ?

YES if it is pure (ψ)

NO if it is mixed $(\rho \neq |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)$

Pure states

$$\psi \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$$

$$e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{d_1} \in \mathcal{H}_1$$

$$f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{d_2} \in \mathcal{H}_2$$

$$\psi = \sum_{i=1}^{d_1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d_2} \psi_{i\alpha} e_i \otimes f_\alpha$$

$$F : \mathcal{H}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_2 ; \quad Fe_i = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d_2} \psi_{i\alpha} f_\alpha$$

$$\psi = \sum_i e_i \otimes Fe_i$$

Pure states

$$\psi \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$$

$$e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{d_1} \in \mathcal{H}_1$$

$$f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{d_2} \in \mathcal{H}_2$$

$$\psi = \sum_{i=1}^{d_1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d_2} \psi_{i\alpha} e_i \otimes f_\alpha$$

$$F : \mathcal{H}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_2 ; \quad Fe_i = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d_2} \psi_{i\alpha} f_\alpha$$

$$\psi = \sum_i e_i \otimes Fe_i$$

Pure states

$$\psi \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$$

$$e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{d_1} \in \mathcal{H}_1$$

$$f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{d_2} \in \mathcal{H}_2$$

$$\psi = \sum_{i=1}^{d_1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d_2} \psi_{i\alpha} e_i \otimes f_\alpha$$

$$F : \mathcal{H}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_2 ; \quad Fe_i = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d_2} \psi_{i\alpha} f_\alpha$$

$$\psi = \sum_i e_i \otimes Fe_i$$

Pure states

$$\psi \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$$

$$e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{d_1} \in \mathcal{H}_1$$

$$f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{d_2} \in \mathcal{H}_2$$

$$\psi = \sum_{i=1}^{d_1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d_2} \psi_{i\alpha} e_i \otimes f_\alpha$$

$$F : \mathcal{H}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_2 ; \quad Fe_i = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d_2} \psi_{i\alpha} f_\alpha$$

$$\psi = \sum_i e_i \otimes Fe_i$$

Schmidt rank

$$\psi = \sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} \otimes F e_{\alpha}$$

$$\psi \longleftrightarrow F$$

$$\text{SR}(\psi) := \text{rank } F$$

$$1 \leq \text{SR}(\psi) \leq d := \min\{d_1, d_2\}$$

$$\psi \text{ separable} \iff \text{SR}(\psi) = 1$$

Schmidt rank

$$\psi = \sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} \otimes F e_{\alpha}$$

$$\psi \longleftrightarrow F$$

$$\text{SR}(\psi) := \text{rank } F$$

$$1 \leq \text{SR}(\psi) \leq d := \min\{d_1, d_2\}$$

$$\psi \text{ separable} \iff \text{SR}(\psi) = 1$$

Schmidt rank

$$\psi = \sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} \otimes F e_{\alpha}$$

$$\psi \longleftrightarrow F$$

$$\text{SR}(\psi) := \text{rank } F$$

$$1 \leq \text{SR}(\psi) \leq d := \min\{d_1, d_2\}$$

$$\psi \text{ separable} \iff \text{SR}(\psi) = 1$$

Conclusion:

$$\psi = \sum_i e_i \otimes F e_i$$

separability properties of ψ are fully encoded in the spectral properties of F .

Conclusion:

$$\psi = \sum_i e_i \otimes F e_i$$

separability properties of ψ are fully encoded in the spectral properties of F .

Mixed states

ρ mixed state in $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$

$$\rho = \sum_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} |\psi_{\alpha} \otimes \phi_{\alpha}\rangle \langle \psi_{\alpha} \otimes \phi_{\alpha}|$$

Warning: it does not need to be a spectral decomposition !!!

Mixed states

ρ mixed state in $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$

$$\rho = \sum_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} |\psi_{\alpha} \otimes \phi_{\alpha}\rangle \langle \psi_{\alpha} \otimes \phi_{\alpha}|$$

Warning: it does not need to be a spectral decomposition !!!

Positive linear maps

M_n — algebra of $n \times n$ complex matrices

A linear map

$$\Phi : M_{d_1} \longrightarrow M_{d_2}$$

is **positive** iff

$$X \geq 0 \implies \Phi(X) \geq 0$$

It is **unital** iff

$$\Phi(\mathbb{I}_1) = \mathbb{I}_2$$

It is **trace preserving** iff

$$\text{Tr } \Phi(X) = \text{Tr } X$$

Positive linear maps

 M_n — algebra of $n \times n$ complex matrices

A linear map

$$\Phi : M_{d_1} \longrightarrow M_{d_2}$$

is **positive** iff

$$X \geq 0 \implies \Phi(X) \geq 0$$

It is **unital** iff

$$\Phi(\mathbb{I}_1) = \mathbb{I}_2$$

It is **trace preserving** iff

$$\text{Tr } \Phi(X) = \text{Tr } X$$

Example: transposition

$$\Phi(X) = X^T$$

$$\mathbb{I}^T = \mathbb{I}$$

$$\mathrm{Tr} X^T = \mathrm{Tr} X$$

Positivity of matrices

$X \in M_n$ is positive iff

$$\langle \psi | X | \psi \rangle \geq 0, \quad \psi \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

spectral condition

$$\langle \psi | X | \psi \rangle \geq 0 \implies X^* = X$$

$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ – eigenvalues of X

$$X \geq 0 \iff \lambda_k \geq 0$$

Positivity of matrices

$X \in M_n$ is positive iff

$$\langle \psi | X | \psi \rangle \geq 0, \quad \psi \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

spectral condition

$$\langle \psi | X | \psi \rangle \geq 0 \implies X^* = X$$

$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ – eigenvalues of X

$$X \geq 0 \iff \lambda_k \geq 0$$

Positivity of maps

$$\Phi : M_{d_1} \longrightarrow M_{d_2}$$

$$\Phi(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) \geq 0, \quad \psi \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1}$$

$$\langle\phi|\Phi(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)|\phi\rangle \geq 0, \quad \psi \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1}, \phi \in \mathbb{C}^{d_2}$$

Positivity of maps

$$\Phi : M_{d_1} \longrightarrow M_{d_2}$$

$$\Phi(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) \geq 0, \quad \psi \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1}$$

$$\langle\phi|\Phi(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)|\phi\rangle \geq 0, \quad \psi \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1}, \quad \phi \in \mathbb{C}^{d_2}$$

$X \in M_n$ is positive iff

$$\langle \psi | X | \psi \rangle \geq 0, \quad \psi \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

spectral condition

$$\Phi : M_{d_1} \longrightarrow M_{d_2}$$

$$\langle \phi | \Phi(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) | \phi \rangle \geq 0, \quad \psi \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1}, \phi \in \mathbb{C}^{d_2}$$

non – spectral condition

$X \in M_n$ is positive iff

$$\langle \psi | X | \psi \rangle \geq 0, \quad \psi \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

spectral condition

$$\Phi : M_{d_1} \longrightarrow M_{d_2}$$

$$\langle \phi | \Phi(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) | \phi \rangle \geq 0, \quad \psi \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1}, \phi \in \mathbb{C}^{d_2}$$

non – spectral condition

k -positive maps

$$\text{id}_k : M_k \longrightarrow M_k ; \quad \text{id}_k(X) = X$$

A positive map $\Phi : M_{d_1} \longrightarrow M_{d_2}$ is k -positive iff

$$\text{id}_k \otimes \Phi : M_k \otimes M_{d_1} \longrightarrow M_k \otimes M_{d_2}$$

is positive

Φ is **completely positive** (CP) iff it is k -positive for $k = 1, 2, \dots$

(Actually, it is enough to check for d -positivity ($d = \min\{d_1, d_2\}$)).

k -positive maps

$$\text{id}_k : M_k \longrightarrow M_k ; \quad \text{id}_k(X) = X$$

A positive map $\Phi : M_{d_1} \longrightarrow M_{d_2}$ is **k -positive** iff

$$\text{id}_k \otimes \Phi : M_k \otimes M_{d_1} \longrightarrow M_k \otimes M_{d_2}$$

is positive

Φ is **completely positive** (CP) iff it is k -positive for $k = 1, 2, \dots$
 (Actually, it is enough to check for d -positivity ($d = \min\{d_1, d_2\}$)).

k -positive maps

$$\text{id}_k : M_k \longrightarrow M_k ; \quad \text{id}_k(X) = X$$

A positive map $\Phi : M_{d_1} \longrightarrow M_{d_2}$ is **k -positive** iff

$$\text{id}_k \otimes \Phi : M_k \otimes M_{d_1} \longrightarrow M_k \otimes M_{d_2}$$

is positive

Φ is **completely positive** (CP) iff it is k -positive for $k = 1, 2, \dots$

(Actually, it is enough to check for d -positivity ($d = \min\{d_1, d_2\}$)).

k -positive maps

\mathcal{P}_k — convex cone of k -positive maps

positive maps = $\mathcal{P}_1 \supset \mathcal{P}_2 \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{P}_d = \text{CP maps}$

$$d := \min\{d_1, d_2\}$$

unital CP = quantum channel

CP maps – fully characterized

Stinespring, Krauss, Choi

$$\Phi : M_{d_1} \longrightarrow M_{d_2}$$

$$\Phi(X) = \sum_{\alpha} V_{\alpha} X V_{\alpha}^{*}$$

$$V_{\alpha} : \mathbb{C}^{d_1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d_2}$$

$$\Phi \text{ is unital} \iff \sum_{\alpha} V_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}^{*} = \mathbb{I}_2$$

$$\Phi \text{ trace preserving} \iff \sum_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}^{*} V_{\alpha} = \mathbb{I}_1$$

CP maps – fully characterized

Stinespring, Krauss, Choi

$$\Phi : M_{d_1} \longrightarrow M_{d_2}$$

$$\Phi(X) = \sum_{\alpha} V_{\alpha} X V_{\alpha}^{*}$$

$$V_{\alpha} : \mathbb{C}^{d_1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d_2}$$

$$\Phi \text{ is unital} \iff \sum_{\alpha} V_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}^{*} = \mathbb{I}_2$$

$$\Phi \text{ trace preserving} \iff \sum_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}^{*} V_{\alpha} = \mathbb{I}_1$$

CP maps

$$\Phi(X) = \sum_{\alpha} V_{\alpha} X V_{\alpha}^{*}$$

$$d_1 = d_2 = d, \quad V = U \in U(d)$$

$\Phi(X) = UXU^{*}$ Heisenberg unitary evolution!

Any quantum operation (evolution, measurement, ...) can be described by some CP map.

CP maps

$$\Phi(X) = \sum_{\alpha} V_{\alpha} X V_{\alpha}^{*}$$

$$d_1 = d_2 = d, \quad V = U \in U(d)$$

$\Phi(X) = UXU^{*}$ Heisenberg unitary evolution!

Any quantum operation (evolution, measurement, ...) can be described by some CP map.

CP maps

$$\Phi(X) = \sum_{\alpha} V_{\alpha} X V_{\alpha}^{*}$$

$$d_1 = d_2 = d, \quad V = U \in U(d)$$

$$\Phi(X) = UXU^{*} \quad \text{Heisenberg unitary evolution!}$$

Any quantum operation (evolution, measurement, ...) can be described by some CP map.

CP property is spectral

$$\{e_1, \dots, e_{d_1}\} \longleftrightarrow \text{ONB in } \mathcal{H}_1$$

$$e_{ij} := |e_i\rangle\langle e_j| \in M_{d_1}$$

Choi–Jamiołkowski matrix

$$\Phi \longrightarrow \widehat{\Phi} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_1} e_{ij} \otimes \Phi(e_{ij}) \in M_{d_1} \otimes M_{d_2}$$

$$\Phi \text{ is CP} \iff \widehat{\Phi} \geq 0$$

CP property is spectral

$$\{e_1, \dots, e_{d_1}\} \longleftrightarrow \text{ONB in } \mathcal{H}_1$$

$$e_{ij} := |e_i\rangle\langle e_j| \in M_{d_1}$$

Choi–Jamiołkowski matrix

$$\Phi \longrightarrow \widehat{\Phi} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_1} e_{ij} \otimes \Phi(e_{ij}) \in M_{d_1} \otimes M_{d_2}$$

$$\Phi \text{ is CP} \iff \widehat{\Phi} \geq 0$$

$$\Phi \text{ is CP} \iff \widehat{\Phi} \geq 0$$

$$\langle \varphi | \widehat{\Phi} | \varphi \rangle \geq 0, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \quad (\text{positivity})$$

$$\Phi \text{ positive but not CP} \implies \widehat{\Phi} \not\geq 0$$

$$\langle \psi \otimes \phi | \widehat{\Phi} | \psi \otimes \phi \rangle \geq 0, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{H}_1, \phi \in \mathcal{H}_2 \quad (\text{block positivity})$$

$$\Phi \text{ is CP} \iff \widehat{\Phi} \geq 0$$

$$\langle \varphi | \widehat{\Phi} | \varphi \rangle \geq 0, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \quad (\text{positivity})$$

$$\Phi \text{ positive but not CP} \implies \widehat{\Phi} \not\geq 0$$

$$\langle \psi \otimes \phi | \widehat{\Phi} | \psi \otimes \phi \rangle \geq 0, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{H}_1, \phi \in \mathcal{H}_2 \quad (\text{block positivity})$$

Entanglement Witness

A block positive (but not positive!) operator W is called an **Entanglement Witness**

A state ρ in $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ is **entangled** iff there exists an **entanglement witness** W such that

$$\mathrm{Tr} \rho W < 0$$

see Gniewko Sarbicki talk tomorrow

Entanglement Witness

A block positive (but not positive!) operator W is called an **Entanglement Witness**

A state ρ in $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ is **entangled** iff there exists an **entanglement witness** W such that

$$\mathrm{Tr} \rho W < 0$$

see Gniewko Sarbicki talk tomorrow

Connection with Professor Lauck

$$\Phi : M_d \longrightarrow M_d$$

positive, unital and trace preserving

$\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ – ONB in \mathbb{C}^d

$$S_{ij} := \langle e_j | \Phi(|e_i\rangle\langle e_i|) | e_j \rangle \geq 0$$

Connection with Professor Lauck

$$\Phi : M_d \longrightarrow M_d$$

positive, unital and trace preserving

$\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ – ONB in \mathbb{C}^d

$$S_{ij} := \langle e_j | \Phi(|e_i\rangle\langle e_i|) | e_j \rangle \geq 0$$

Φ unital

$$S_{ij} := \langle e_j | \Phi(|e_i\rangle\langle e_i|) | e_j \rangle$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^d S_{ij} = ?$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^d S_{ij} = \langle e_j | \Phi \left(\sum_{i=1}^d |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| \right) | e_j \rangle$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^d S_{ij} = \langle e_j | \mathbb{I} | e_j \rangle = \langle e_j | e_j \rangle = 1$$

Φ unital

$$S_{ij} := \langle e_j | \Phi(|e_i\rangle\langle e_i|) | e_j \rangle$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^d S_{ij} = ?$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^d S_{ij} = \langle e_j | \Phi \left(\sum_{i=1}^d |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| \right) | e_j \rangle$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^d S_{ij} = \langle e_j | \mathbb{I} | e_j \rangle = \langle e_j | e_j \rangle = 1$$

Φ unital

$$S_{ij} := \langle e_j | \Phi(|e_i\rangle\langle e_i|) | e_j \rangle$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^d S_{ij} = ?$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^d S_{ij} = \langle e_j | \Phi \left(\sum_{i=1}^d |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| \right) | e_j \rangle$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^d S_{ij} = \langle e_j | \mathbb{I} | e_j \rangle = \langle e_j | e_j \rangle = 1$$

Φ trace preserving

$$S_{ij} := \langle e_j | \Phi(|e_i\rangle\langle e_i|) | e_j \rangle$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^d S_{ij} = ?$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^d S_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^d \langle e_j | \Phi(|e_i\rangle\langle e_i|) | e_j \rangle$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^d S_{ij} = \text{Tr } \Phi(|e_i\rangle\langle e_i|) = \text{Tr } |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| = 1$$

Φ trace preserving

$$S_{ij} := \langle e_j | \Phi(|e_i\rangle\langle e_i|) | e_j \rangle$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^d S_{ij} = ?$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^d S_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^d \langle e_j | \Phi(|e_i\rangle\langle e_i|) | e_j \rangle$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^d S_{ij} = \text{Tr } \Phi(|e_i\rangle\langle e_i|) = \text{Tr } |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| = 1$$

Φ trace preserving

$$S_{ij} := \langle e_j | \Phi(|e_i\rangle\langle e_i|) | e_j \rangle$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^d S_{ij} = ?$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^d S_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^d \langle e_j | \Phi(|e_i\rangle\langle e_i|) | e_j \rangle$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^d S_{ij} = \text{Tr } \Phi(|e_i\rangle\langle e_i|) = \text{Tr } |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| = 1$$

$$S_{ij} := \langle e_j | \Phi(|e_i\rangle\langle e_i|) | e_j \rangle$$

$$\Phi - \text{positive} \implies S_{ij} \geq 0$$

$$\Phi - \text{unital} \implies \sum_{i=1}^d S_{ij} = 1$$

$$\Phi - \text{trace preserving} \implies \sum_{j=1}^d S_{ij} = 1$$

S_{ij} – doubly stochastic!!

$$S_{ij} := \langle e_j | \Phi(|e_i\rangle\langle e_i|) | e_j \rangle$$

$$\Phi - \text{positive} \implies S_{ij} \geq 0$$

$$\Phi - \text{unital} \implies \sum_{i=1}^d S_{ij} = 1$$

$$\Phi - \text{trace preserving} \implies \sum_{j=1}^d S_{ij} = 1$$

S_{ij} – doubly stochastic!!

$$S_{ij} := \langle e_j | \Phi(|e_i\rangle\langle e_i|) | e_j \rangle$$

$$\Phi - \text{positive} \implies S_{ij} \geq 0$$

$$\Phi - \text{unital} \implies \sum_{i=1}^d S_{ij} = 1$$

$$\Phi - \text{trace preserving} \implies \sum_{j=1}^d S_{ij} = 1$$

S_{ij} – doubly stochastic!!

Connection with Professors Zak & Vourdas

$\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ – ONB in \mathbb{C}^d

$\{f_1, \dots, f_d\}$ – ONB in \mathbb{C}^d

$$Z_{ij} := \langle f_j | \Phi(|e_i\rangle\langle e_i|) | f_j \rangle$$

Z_{ij} – doubly stochastic!!

Does Z_{ij} possess extra properties if

$\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ & $\{f_1, \dots, f_d\}$ MUBs ?

Connection with Professors Zak & Vourdas

$\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ – ONB in \mathbb{C}^d

$\{f_1, \dots, f_d\}$ – ONB in \mathbb{C}^d

$$Z_{ij} := \langle f_j | \Phi(|e_i\rangle\langle e_i|) | f_j \rangle$$

Z_{ij} – doubly stochastic!!

Does Z_{ij} possesses extra properties if

$\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ & $\{f_1, \dots, f_d\}$ MUBs ?

Connection with Professors Zak & Vourdas

$\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ – ONB in \mathbb{C}^d

$\{f_1, \dots, f_d\}$ – ONB in \mathbb{C}^d

$$Z_{ij} := \langle f_j | \Phi(|e_i\rangle\langle e_i|) | f_j \rangle$$

Z_{ij} – doubly stochastic!!

Does Z_{ij} possess extra properties if

$\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ & $\{f_1, \dots, f_d\}$ MUBs ?

Doubly Stochastic Matrices vs. CP maps

$A \in M_d$ – doubly stochastic

$$A = \sum_{\pi} a_{\pi} P_{\pi} ; \quad a_{\pi} \geq 0 ; \quad \sum_{\pi} a_{\pi} = 1$$

$$\pi \in S_d$$

$$\Phi : M_d \longrightarrow M_d$$

$$\Phi(X) = \sum_{\pi} a_{\pi} P_{\pi} X P_{\pi}^* = \sum_{\pi} V_{\pi} X V_{\pi}^*$$

$$V_{\pi} = \sqrt{a_{\pi}} P_{\pi}$$

Doubly Stochastic Matrices vs. CP maps

$A \in M_d$ – doubly stochastic

$$A = \sum_{\pi} a_{\pi} P_{\pi} ; \quad a_{\pi} \geq 0 ; \quad \sum_{\pi} a_{\pi} = 1$$

$$\pi \in S_d$$

$$\Phi : M_d \longrightarrow M_d$$

$$\Phi(X) = \sum_{\pi} a_{\pi} P_{\pi} X P_{\pi}^* = \sum_{\pi} V_{\pi} X V_{\pi}^*$$

$$V_{\pi} = \sqrt{a_{\pi}} P_{\pi}$$

$$\Phi \text{ is unital} \iff \sum_{\alpha} V_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}^{*} = \mathbb{I}$$

$$\Phi \text{ trace preserving} \iff \sum_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}^{*} V_{\alpha} = \mathbb{I}$$

$$\sum_{\pi} V_{\pi} V_{\pi}^{*} = \sum_{\pi} a_{\pi} P_{\pi} P_{\pi}^{*} = \sum_{\pi} a_{\pi} \mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}$$

$$\sum_{\pi} V_{\pi}^{*} V_{\pi} = \sum_{\pi} a_{\pi} P_{\pi}^{*} P_{\pi} = \sum_{\pi} a_{\pi} \mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}$$

Φ – CP, unital and trace preserving

$$\Phi \text{ is unital} \iff \sum_{\alpha} V_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}^{*} = \mathbb{I}$$

$$\Phi \text{ trace preserving} \iff \sum_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}^{*} V_{\alpha} = \mathbb{I}$$

$$\sum_{\pi} V_{\pi} V_{\pi}^{*} = \sum_{\pi} a_{\pi} P_{\pi} P_{\pi}^{*} = \sum_{\pi} a_{\pi} \mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}$$

$$\sum_{\pi} V_{\pi}^{*} V_{\pi} = \sum_{\pi} a_{\pi} P_{\pi}^{*} P_{\pi} = \sum_{\pi} a_{\pi} \mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}$$

Φ – CP, unital and trace preserving

$$\Phi \text{ is unital} \iff \sum_{\alpha} V_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}^{*} = \mathbb{I}$$

$$\Phi \text{ trace preserving} \iff \sum_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}^{*} V_{\alpha} = \mathbb{I}$$

$$\sum_{\pi} V_{\pi} V_{\pi}^{*} = \sum_{\pi} a_{\pi} P_{\pi} P_{\pi}^{*} = \sum_{\pi} a_{\pi} \mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}$$

$$\sum_{\pi} V_{\pi}^{*} V_{\pi} = \sum_{\pi} a_{\pi} P_{\pi}^{*} P_{\pi} = \sum_{\pi} a_{\pi} \mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}$$

Φ – CP, unital and trace preserving

Main problem

We do not know how to construct positive maps

17th Hilbert problem

Main problem

We do not know how to construct positive maps

17th Hilbert problem

The goal

I will construct a class of positive (but not CP!) maps using certain spectral conditions.

$$\langle \psi \otimes \phi | \hat{\Phi} | \psi \otimes \phi \rangle \geq 0$$

$$\hat{\Phi} \not\geq 0$$

$\hat{\Phi}$ possesses at least one negative eigenvalue

$$\hat{\Phi} = \hat{\Phi}_+ - \hat{\Phi}_-$$

$$\hat{\Phi}_+ > 0 \quad \& \quad \hat{\Phi}_- \geq 0$$

$$\langle \psi \otimes \phi | \hat{\Phi} | \psi \otimes \phi \rangle \geq 0$$

$$\hat{\Phi} \not\geq 0$$

$\hat{\Phi}$ possesses at least one negative eigenvalue

$$\hat{\Phi} = \hat{\Phi}_+ - \hat{\Phi}_-$$

$$\hat{\Phi}_+ > 0 \quad \& \quad \hat{\Phi}_- \geq 0$$

$$\langle \psi \otimes \phi | \hat{\Phi} | \psi \otimes \phi \rangle \geq 0$$

$$\hat{\Phi} \not\geq 0$$

$\hat{\Phi}$ possesses at least one negative eigenvalue

$$\hat{\Phi} = \hat{\Phi}_+ - \hat{\Phi}_-$$

$$\hat{\Phi}_+ > 0 \quad \& \quad \hat{\Phi}_- \geq 0$$

$$\widehat{\Phi} = \widehat{\Phi}_+ - \widehat{\Phi}_-$$

$$\widehat{\Phi}_+ > 0 \quad \& \quad \widehat{\Phi}_- \geq 0$$

$$\widehat{\Phi} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^D \lambda_{\alpha} |\psi_{\alpha}\rangle \langle \psi_{\alpha}|; \quad D := d_1 d_2$$

$$\widehat{\Phi}_- = - \sum_{\alpha=1}^L \lambda_{\alpha} |\psi_{\alpha}\rangle \langle \psi_{\alpha}|; \quad \lambda_{\alpha} \leq 0$$

$$\widehat{\Phi}_+ = \sum_{\alpha=L+1}^D \lambda_{\alpha} |\psi_{\alpha}\rangle \langle \psi_{\alpha}|; \quad \lambda_{\alpha} > 0$$

$$\widehat{\Phi} = \widehat{\Phi}_+ - \widehat{\Phi}_-$$

$$\widehat{\Phi}_+ > 0 \quad \& \quad \widehat{\Phi}_- \geq 0$$

$$\widehat{\Phi} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^D \lambda_{\alpha} |\psi_{\alpha}\rangle \langle \psi_{\alpha}| ; \quad D := d_1 d_2$$

$$\widehat{\Phi}_- = - \sum_{\alpha=1}^L \lambda_{\alpha} |\psi_{\alpha}\rangle \langle \psi_{\alpha}| ; \quad \lambda_{\alpha} \leq 0$$

$$\widehat{\Phi}_+ = \sum_{\alpha=L+1}^D \lambda_{\alpha} |\psi_{\alpha}\rangle \langle \psi_{\alpha}| ; \quad \lambda_{\alpha} > 0$$

Main result: $\Phi = \Phi_+ - \Phi_-$

$$\widehat{\Phi} = \sum_{\alpha=L+1}^D \lambda_\alpha |\psi_\alpha\rangle\langle\psi_\alpha| - \sum_{\alpha=1}^L \lambda_\alpha |\psi_\alpha\rangle\langle\psi_\alpha|$$

$$\psi_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{d_1} e_i \otimes F_\alpha e_i$$

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^L \|F_\alpha\|^2 < 1 \quad \implies \quad \mu := \frac{\sum_{\alpha=1}^L \lambda_\alpha \|F_\alpha\|^2}{1 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^L \|F_\alpha\|^2}$$

Main result: $\Phi = \Phi_+ - \Phi_-$

$$\widehat{\Phi} = \sum_{\alpha=L+1}^D \lambda_\alpha |\psi_\alpha\rangle\langle\psi_\alpha| - \sum_{\alpha=1}^L \lambda_\alpha |\psi_\alpha\rangle\langle\psi_\alpha|$$

$$\psi_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{d_1} e_i \otimes F_\alpha e_i$$

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^L \|F_\alpha\|^2 < 1 \quad \implies \quad \mu := \frac{\sum_{\alpha=1}^L \lambda_\alpha \|F_\alpha\|^2}{1 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^L \|F_\alpha\|^2}$$

Main result: $\Phi = \Phi_+ - \Phi_-$

$$\widehat{\Phi} = \sum_{\alpha=L+1}^D \lambda_\alpha |\psi_\alpha\rangle\langle\psi_\alpha| - \sum_{\alpha=1}^L \lambda_\alpha |\psi_\alpha\rangle\langle\psi_\alpha|$$

$$\psi_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{d_1} e_i \otimes F_\alpha e_i$$

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^L \|F_\alpha\|^2 < 1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mu := \frac{\sum_{\alpha=1}^L \lambda_\alpha \|F_\alpha\|^2}{1 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^L \|F_\alpha\|^2}$$

Main result: $\Phi = \Phi_+ - \Phi_-$

$$\widehat{\Phi} = \sum_{\alpha=L+1}^D \lambda_\alpha |\psi_\alpha\rangle\langle\psi_\alpha| - \sum_{\alpha=1}^L \lambda_\alpha |\psi_\alpha\rangle\langle\psi_\alpha|$$

$$\mu := \frac{\sum_{\alpha=1}^L \lambda_\alpha \|F_\alpha\|^2}{1 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^L \|F_\alpha\|^2}$$

MAIN THEOREM

If $\lambda_\alpha \geq \mu$, $\alpha = L+1, \dots, D$

then Φ is an positive map

Main result: $\Phi = \Phi_+ - \Phi_-$

$$\widehat{\Phi} = \sum_{\alpha=L+1}^D \lambda_{\alpha} |\psi_{\alpha}\rangle\langle\psi_{\alpha}| - \sum_{\alpha=1}^L \lambda_{\alpha} |\psi_{\alpha}\rangle\langle\psi_{\alpha}|$$

$$\mu := \frac{\sum_{\alpha=1}^L \lambda_{\alpha} \|F_{\alpha}\|^2}{1 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^L \|F_{\alpha}\|^2}$$

MAIN THEOREM

If $\lambda_{\alpha} \geq \mu$, $\alpha = L + 1, \dots, D$

then Φ is an positive map

Example 1: transposition

$$\Phi : M_2 \longrightarrow M_2$$

$$\Phi(X) = X^T$$

$$\widehat{\Phi} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot \\ \hline \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \end{array} \right) \in M_2 \otimes M_2$$

$$\lambda_1 = -1, \quad \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 1$$

$$\mu = 1$$

$$\lambda_\alpha \geq \mu, \quad \alpha = 2, 3, 4$$

Example 1: transposition

$$\Phi : M_2 \longrightarrow M_2$$

$$\Phi(X) = X^T$$

$$\widehat{\Phi} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot \\ \hline \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \end{array} \right) \in M_2 \otimes M_2$$

$$\lambda_1 = -1, \quad \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 1$$

$$\mu = 1$$

$$\lambda_\alpha \geq \mu, \quad \alpha = 2, 3, 4$$

Conclusions

- 1 Similar spectral property holds for k -positive maps
- 2 Multipartite systems

$$\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$$

$$d_1, \dots, d_n$$

References

- 1 D.C. and A. Kossakowski, *On the structure of entanglement witnesses and new class of positive indecomposable maps*, Open Systems and Inf. Dynamics (2007)
- 2 D.C. and A. Kossakowski, *How to construct entanglement witnesses*, J. Phys A: Math. Theor. (2008)
- 3 D.C. and A. Kossakowski, *A class of positive atomic maps*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. (2008)
- 4 D.C. and A. Kossakowski, *Spectral properties of positive maps*, Comm. Math. Phys. 2009