

Polyzêtas

Hoang Ngoc Minh,
Université Lille 2-LIPN,
1, Place Déliot, 59024 Lille Cédex, France.

Workshop QUANTUM and COMBINATORICS,
Zakopane (Tatra Mountains, Poland), 12-14 November 2009.
(joint work with G.H.E. Duchamp, Université Paris-Nord-LIPN)

Summary

1. Introduction.
2. Infinitesimal Braid relations and structures of polylogarithms,
 - 2.1 From infinitesimal braid relations to KZ system to structure of polylogarithms,
 - 2.2 Polynomial relations among polyzêtas by computer.
3. Noncommutative generating series technology,
 - 3.1 Double regularization to 0 ,
 - 3.2 Results *à la* Abel and generalized Euler constants,
 - 3.3 Regularization to γ ,
 - 3.4 Action of the differential Galois group of polylogarithms on their asymptotic expansions.
 - 3.5 Structure of polyzêtas.
 - 3.6 Towards the transcendence of γ .
4. Coloured polyzêtas.

INFINITESIMAL BRAID RELATIONS & STRUCTURE OF POLYLOGARITHMS

Infinitesimal braid relation & Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov syst.

In 1986, Drinfel'd introduced the differential system associated to the Lie algebra of pure braid groups \mathcal{T}_n :

$$\Omega_n(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{t_{i,j}}{2i\pi} \omega_{i,j}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{t_{i,j}}{2i\pi} \frac{d(z_i - z_j)}{z_i - z_j}.$$

The flatness condition, $d\Omega_n - \Omega_n \wedge \Omega_n = 0$, is equivalent to the infinitesimal braid relation generated by $\{t_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$:

$$\begin{aligned} t_{i,j} &= 0 & \text{for} & \quad i = j, \\ t_{i,j} &= t_{j,i} & \text{for} & \quad i \neq j, \\ [t_{i,j}, t_{i,k} + t_{j,k}] &= 0 & \text{for distinct} & \quad i, j, k, \\ [t_{i,j}, t_{k,l}] &= 0 & \text{for distinct} & \quad i, j, k, l. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the differential system KZ_n

$$dF(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \Omega_n(z_1, \dots, z_n)F(z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

is completely integrable over $\{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid z_i \neq z_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}$.

Examples

- $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{t_{1,2}\}$.

$$\Omega_2(z) = \frac{t_{1,2}}{2i\pi} \frac{d(z_1 - z_2)}{z_1 - z_2}, \quad F(z_1, z_2) = (z_1 - z_2)^{t_{1,2}/2i\pi}.$$

- $\mathcal{T}_3 = \{t_{1,2}, t_{1,3}, t_{2,3}\}$, $[t_{1,3}, t_{1,2} + t_{2,3}] = [t_{2,3}, t_{1,2} + t_{1,3}] = 0$.

$$\Omega_3(z) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \left[t_{1,2} \frac{d(z_1 - z_2)}{z_1 - z_2} + t_{1,3} \frac{d(z_1 - z_3)}{z_1 - z_3} + t_{2,3} \frac{d(z_2 - z_3)}{z_2 - z_3} \right].$$

$$F(z_1, z_2, z_3) = G\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_1 - z_3}\right) (z_1 - z_3)^{(t_{1,2} + t_{1,3} + t_{2,3})/2i\pi},$$

where G satisfies the following differential equation

$$(DE) \quad dG = (x_0 \omega_0(z) + x_1 \omega_1)G,$$

$$\text{with } x_0 := \frac{t_{1,2}}{2i\pi}, \quad \omega_0(z) := \frac{dz}{z}$$

$$\text{and } x_1 := -\frac{t_{2,3}}{2i\pi}, \quad \omega_1(z) := \frac{dz}{1-z}.$$

Iterated integral along a path and dilogarithms

Let $\omega_0(z) = dz/z$ et $\omega_1(z) = dz/(1-z)$. The **iterated integral** over ω_0, ω_1 associated to $w = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k} \in X^*$ is defined by

$$\alpha_{z_0}^z(\varepsilon) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{z_0}^z(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}) = \int_{z_0}^z \cdots \int_{z_0}^{z_{k-1}} \omega_{i_1}(z_1) \cdots \omega_{i_k}(z_k).$$

Example

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0^z(x_0 x_1) &= \int_0^z \int_0^s \omega_0(s) \omega_1(t) \\ &= \int_0^z \int_0^s \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{1-t} \\ &= \int_0^z \frac{ds}{s} \int_0^s dt \sum_{k \geq 0} t^k \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 1} \int_0^z ds \frac{s^{k-1}}{k} \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{z^k}{k^2} \\ &= \text{Li}_2(z). \end{aligned}$$

Polylogarithms, harmonic sums and polyzêtas

Classic cases ($N \in \mathbb{N}_+, r > 0$ and $|z| < 1$) :

$$\text{Li}_r(z) = \alpha_0^z(x_0^{n-1}x_1) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z^n}{n^r} \quad \text{and} \quad H_r(N) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n^r}.$$

Generalization on multi-indices $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_r)$:

$$\alpha_0^z(x_0^{s_1-1}x_1 \dots x_0^{s_r-1}x_r) = \text{Li}_{\mathbf{s}}(z) = \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_r > 0} \frac{z^{n_1}}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_r^{s_r}},$$

$$P_{\mathbf{s}}(z) = \frac{\text{Li}_{\mathbf{s}}(z)}{1-z} = \sum_{N \geq 0} H_{\mathbf{s}}(N) z^N, \quad \text{where} \quad H_{\mathbf{s}}(N) = \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_r = 1}^N \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_r^{s_r}}.$$

If $s_1 > 1$, by an Abel's theorem, then one has

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 1} \text{Li}_{\mathbf{s}}(z) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} H_{\mathbf{s}}(N) = \zeta(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_r > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_r^{s_r}}$$

else ?

Euler-Maclaurin summation formula

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} = \log N + \gamma - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{B_j}{j} \frac{1}{N^j} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^k}\right),$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n^r} = \zeta(r) - \frac{N^{1-r}}{(r-1)} - \sum_{j=r}^{k-1} \frac{B_{j-r+1}}{j-r+1} \binom{k-1}{j-1} \frac{1}{N^j} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^k}\right).$$

Are γ and $\zeta(r)$ algebraically independent ?

Let \mathcal{Z} be the \mathbb{Q} -algebra generated by convergent polyzêtas.

Let \mathcal{Z}' be the $\mathbb{Q}[\gamma]$ -algebra generated by convergent polyzêtas.

How to determine the asymptotic expansion and the constants associated to the **divergents** harmonic sums of the form

$$H_{\{1\}^r}(N) = \sum_{N \geq n_1 > \dots > n_r > 0} \frac{1}{n_1 \dots n_r} ?$$

$$H_{\{1\}^k, \underbrace{s_{k+1}, \dots, s_r}_{>1}}(N) = \sum_{N \geq n_1 > \dots > n_r > 0} \frac{1}{n_1 \dots n_k n_{k+1}^{s_{k+1}} \dots n_r^{s_r}} ?$$

Do there exists a generalization of γ ?

Encoding the multi-indices by words

$Y = \{y_k | k \in \mathbb{N}_+\}$ ($y_1 < y_2 < \dots$) and $X = \{x_0, x_1\}$ ($x_0 < x_1$).

Y^* (resp. X^*) : monoid generated by Y (resp. X).

$$\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_r) \leftrightarrow w = y_{s_1} \dots y_{s_r} \leftrightarrow w = x_0^{s_1-1} x_1 \dots x_0^{s_r-1} x_1.$$

u and v are **convergent** if $s_1 > 1$. A word **divergent** is of the form

$$(\{1\}^k, s_{k+1}, \dots, s_r) \leftrightarrow y_1^k y_{s_{k+1}} \dots y_{s_r} \leftrightarrow x_1^k x_0^{s_{k+1}-1} x_1 \dots x_0^{s_r-1} x_1, \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.$$

$$\text{Li}_w : w \mapsto \text{Li}_w(z) = \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_r > 0} \frac{z^{n_1}}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_r^{s_r}},$$

$$\zeta_w : w \mapsto \zeta(w) = \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_r > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_r^{s_r}},$$

$$H_w : w \mapsto H_w(N) = \sum_{N \geq n_1 > \dots > n_r > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_r^{s_r}},$$

$$P_w : w \mapsto P_w(z) = \sum_{N \geq 0} H_w(N) z^N = \frac{\text{Li}_w(z)}{1-z}.$$

Let $\Pi_X : \mathbb{C}\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ and $\Pi_Y : \mathbb{C}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle$ denote the “change” of alphabets over $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ and $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle$ respectively.

Structure of polylogarithms

Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}, (1 - z)^{-1}]$

Theorem (HNM, van der Hoeven & Petitot, 1998)

Putting $\text{Li}_{x_0}(z) = \log z$, $\text{Li} : w \mapsto \text{Li}_w$ becomes an isomorphism from $(\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle, \text{III})$ to $(\mathbb{C}\{\text{Li}_w\}_{w \in X^*}, \cdot)$.

- ▶ $\text{Li}_w, w \in X^*$, are \mathcal{C} -linearly independent.
Then $\{\text{Li}_w\}_{w \in X^*}$ is universal Picard-Vessiot extension of fuchsian differential equations with three regular singularities.
- ▶ $\text{Li}_l, l \in \mathcal{Lyn}X$, are \mathcal{C} -algebraically independent.
- ▶ $\zeta(l), l \in \mathcal{Lyn}X \setminus \{x_0, x_1\}$, are generators of the algebra \mathcal{Z} .

More about structure of polylogarithms

Hoffman proved that $\forall u, v \in Y^*, H_u H_v = H_{u \sqcup v}$.

Therefore, $\forall u, v \in Y^* \setminus y_1 Y^*, \zeta(u)\zeta(v) = \zeta(u \sqcup v)$.

$$P_u(z) \odot P_v(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} H_u(n) H_v(n) z^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} H_{u \sqcup v}(n) z^n = P_{u \sqcup v}(z).$$

Theorem (HNM, 2003)

$$(\mathbb{C}\{P_w\}_{w \in Y^*}, \odot) \cong (\mathbb{C}\langle Y \rangle, \sqcup) \cong (\mathbb{C}\{H_w\}_{w \in Y^*}, \cdot).$$

- ▶ $P_w, w \in Y^*$, are \mathcal{C} -linearly independent.
Then $H_w, w \in Y^*$, are linearly independent.
- ▶ $P_l, l \in \mathcal{L}yn Y$, are \mathcal{C} -algebraically independent.
Then $H_l, l \in \mathcal{L}yn Y$, algebraically independent.
- ▶ $\zeta(l), l \in \mathcal{L}yn Y \setminus \{y_1\}$, are generators of the algebra \mathcal{Z} .

Towards the structure of polyzêtas

Corollary

$\forall u, v \in X^*, \text{Li}_u \text{Li}_v = \text{Li}_{u \text{III} v} \Rightarrow \forall u, v \in x_0 X^* x_1, \zeta(u)\zeta(v) = \zeta(u \text{III} v).$

Example

$$x_0 x_1 \text{III} x_0^2 x_1 = x_0 x_1 x_0^2 x_1 + 3x_0^2 x_1 x_0 x_1 + 6x_0^3 x_1^2,$$

$$\text{Li}_2 \text{Li}_3 = \text{Li}_{2,3} + 3 \text{Li}_{3,2} + 6 \text{Li}_{4,1},$$

$$\zeta(2)\zeta(3) = \zeta(2, 3) + 3\zeta(3, 2) + 6\zeta(4, 1).$$

Corollary

$\forall u, v \in Y^*, \text{H}_u \text{H}_v = \text{H}_{u \text{II} v} \Rightarrow \forall u, v \in Y^* \setminus y_1 Y^*, \zeta(u)\zeta(v) = \zeta(u \text{II} v).$

Example

$$y_2 \text{II} y_3 = y_2 y_3 + y_3 y_2 + y_5,$$

$$\text{P}_{y_2} \odot \text{P}_{y_3} = \text{P}_{y_2 y_3} + \text{P}_{y_3 y_2} + \text{P}_{y_5},$$

$$\text{H}_2 \text{H}_3 = \text{H}_{2,3} + \text{H}_{3,2} + \text{H}_5,$$

$$\zeta(2)\zeta(3) = \zeta(2, 3) + \zeta(3, 2) + \zeta(5).$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \zeta(2)\zeta(3) &= \zeta(2, 3) + 3\zeta(3, 2) + 6\zeta(4, 1) \\ \zeta(2)\zeta(3) &= \zeta(2, 3) + \zeta(3, 2) + \zeta(5) \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \zeta(5) = 2\zeta(3, 2) + 6\zeta(4, 1).$$

Polynomial relations among $\{\zeta(I)\}_{I \in \mathcal{L}yn X \setminus \{x_0, x_1\}}$

$$\zeta(2, 1) = \zeta(3)$$

$$\zeta(4) = \frac{2}{5}\zeta(2)^2$$

$$\zeta(3, 1) = \frac{1}{10}\zeta(2)^2$$

$$\zeta(2, 1, 1) = \frac{2}{5}\zeta(2)^2$$

$$\zeta(4, 1) = 2\zeta(5) - \zeta(2)\zeta(3)$$

$$\zeta(3, 2) = -\frac{11}{2}\zeta(5) + 3\zeta(2)\zeta(3)$$

$$\zeta(3, 1, 1) = 2\zeta(5) - \zeta(2)\zeta(3)$$

$$\zeta(2, 2, 1) = -\frac{11}{2}\zeta(5) + 3\zeta(2)\zeta(3)$$

$$\zeta(2, 1, 1, 1) = \zeta(5)$$

$$\zeta(6) = \frac{8}{35}\zeta(2)^3$$

$$\zeta(5, 1) = -\frac{1}{2}\zeta(3)^2 + \frac{6}{35}\zeta(2)^3$$

$$\zeta(4, 2) = \zeta(3)^2 - \frac{32}{105}\zeta(2)^3$$

$$\zeta(4, 1, 1) = -\zeta(3)^2 + \frac{23}{70}\zeta(2)^3$$

$$\zeta(3, 2, 1) = 3\zeta(3)^2 - \frac{29}{30}\zeta(2)^3$$

$$\zeta(3, 1, 2) = -\frac{3}{2}\zeta(3)^2 + \frac{53}{105}\zeta(2)^3$$

$$\zeta(3, 1, 1, 1) = -\frac{1}{2}\zeta(3)^2 + \frac{6}{35}\zeta(2)^3$$

$$\zeta(2, 2, 1, 1) = \zeta(3)^2 - \frac{32}{105}\zeta(2)^3$$

$$\zeta(2, 1, 1, 1, 1) = \frac{8}{35}\zeta(2)^3$$

Irreducible polyzêtas by computer

in Axiom at weight **10** (avec Petitot),

in Maple at weight **12** (with Bigotte, Jacob, Oussous, Petitot),

in C++ at weight **16** (with El Wardi, Jacob, Oussous, Petitot).

r	1	2	3	4	5
n					
2	$\zeta(2)$				
3	$\zeta(3)$				
5	$\zeta(5)$				
7	$\zeta(7)$				
8		$\zeta(6, 2)$			
9	$\zeta(9)$				
10		$\zeta(8, 2)$			
11	$\zeta(11)$		$\zeta(8, 2, 1)$		
12		$\zeta(10, 2)$		$\zeta(8, 2, 1, 1)$	
13	$\zeta(13)$		$\zeta(9, 3, 1)$ $\zeta(10, 2, 1)$		
14		$\zeta(10, 4)$ $\zeta(12, 2)$		$\zeta(10, 2, 1, 1)$	
15	$\zeta(15)$		$\zeta(11, 3, 1)$ $\zeta(12, 2, 1)$		$\zeta(10, 2, 1, 1, 1)$
16		$\zeta(12, 4)$ $\zeta(14, 2)$		$\zeta(10, 4, 1, 1)$ $\zeta(11, 3, 1, 1)$ $\zeta(12, 2, 1, 1)$	

NONCOMMUTATIVE GENERATING SERIES TECHNOLOGY

Noncommutative generating series

Definition

$$L(z) := \sum_{w \in X^*} \text{Li}_w(z) w \quad \text{and} \quad H(N) := \sum_{w \in Y^*} H_w(N) w.$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_{yn}X$ and $l \in \mathcal{L}_{yn}X$ (resp. $\{\hat{l}\}_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{yn}Y}$ and $\{\hat{l}\}_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{yn}Y}$) be the transcendence basis of $(\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle, \text{III})$ (resp. $(\mathbb{C}\langle Y \rangle, \text{IV})$) and its dual basis respectively. Then

Theorem

L and H are group-like and

$$L(z) = e^{x_1 \log \frac{1}{1-z}} L_{\text{reg}}(z) e^{x_0 \log z} \quad \text{and} \quad H(N) = e^{H_1(N) y_1} H_{\text{reg}}(N),$$

$$\text{where } L_{\text{reg}}(z) = \prod_{\substack{l \in \mathcal{L}_{yn}X \\ l \neq x_0, x_1}} e^{\text{Li}_l(z) \hat{l}} \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\text{reg}}(N) = \prod_{\substack{l \in \mathcal{L}_{yn}Y \\ l \neq y_1}} e^{H_l(N) \hat{l}}.$$

Definition

$$Z_{\text{III}} := L_{\text{reg}}(1) \quad \text{and} \quad Z_{\text{IV}} := H_{\text{reg}}(\infty).$$

Double regularization to $\mathbf{0}$

Proposition

Let $\zeta_{\text{III}} : \mathbb{C}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the shuffle algebra morphism defined by

- ▶ $\zeta_{\text{III}}(x_0) = \zeta_{\text{III}}(x_1) = \mathbf{0}$,
- ▶ for any $r_1 > 1$, $\zeta_{\text{III}}(x_0^{r_1-1}x_1 \dots x_0^{r_k-1}x_k) = \zeta(r_1, \dots, r_k)$,
- ▶ for any $u, v \in X^*$, $\zeta_{\text{III}}(u \text{III} v) = \zeta_{\text{III}}(u)\zeta_{\text{III}}(v)$.

Then $\sum_{w \in X^*} \zeta_{\text{III}}(w) w = Z_{\text{III}}$.

Proposition

Let $\zeta_{\text{I}\sqcup} : \mathbb{C}\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the algebra morphism defined by

- ▶ $\zeta_{\text{I}\sqcup}(y_1) = \mathbf{0}$,
- ▶ for any $r_1 > 1$, $\zeta_{\text{I}\sqcup}(y_{r_1} \dots y_{r_k}) = \zeta(r_1, \dots, r_k)$,
- ▶ for any $u, v \in Y^*$, $\zeta_{\text{I}\sqcup}(u \sqcup v) = \zeta_{\text{I}\sqcup}(u)\zeta_{\text{I}\sqcup}(v)$.

Then $\sum_{w \in Y^*} \zeta_{\text{I}\sqcup}(w) w = Z_{\text{I}\sqcup}$.

Results à la Abel

Theorem (HNM, 2005)

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 1} e^{y_1 \log \frac{1}{1-z}} \Pi_Y L(z) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sum_{k \geq 0} H_{y_1^k}(N) (-y_1)^k \right] H(N) = \Pi_Y Z_{\text{III}}.$$
$$\Rightarrow H(N) \underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \exp \left[- \sum_{k \geq 1} H_{y_k}(N) \frac{(-y_1)^k}{k} \right] \Pi_Y Z_{\text{III}}.$$

Theorem (Costermans, Enjalbert & HNM, 2005)

There exists algorithmically computable coefficients $b_i \in \mathcal{Z}'$, the \mathbb{Q} -algebra generated by \mathcal{Z} and by γ , $\kappa_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\eta_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\forall w \in Y^*, H_w(N) \underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} b_i N^{\eta_i} \log^{\kappa_i}(N).$$

Example

$$H_{4,2}(N) = \zeta(4, 2) - \frac{\pi^2}{6} \frac{1}{N^3} + \left(\frac{\pi^2}{12} + \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{1}{N^4} - \left(\frac{\pi^2}{18} + \frac{2}{5} \right) \frac{1}{N^5} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^6}\right),$$

$$H_{1,4}(N) = \frac{\pi^4}{90} \ln(N) + \frac{\pi^4}{90} \gamma - \zeta(4, 1) - \zeta(5)$$

$$+ \frac{\pi^4}{180} \frac{1}{N} - \frac{\pi^4}{1080} \frac{1}{N^2} + \frac{1}{9} \frac{1}{N^3} + \left(\frac{\pi^4}{10800} - \frac{1}{24} \right) \frac{1}{N^4} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^5}\right).$$

Generalized Euler constants

Theorem (HNM, 2005)

For any $k \geq 0$ and for any $w \in Y^* \setminus \{y_1\}$, let $\gamma_{y_1^k w}$ be the constant associated to $H_{y_1^k w}$. Let $G := \sum_{w \in Y^*} \gamma_w w$.

Then G is group-like and $G = \exp \left[\gamma y_1 - \sum_{k \geq 2} \zeta(k) \frac{(-y_1)^k}{k} \right] \Pi_Y Z_{\text{III}}$.

Let $b_{n,k}(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ be the Bell polynomials. By specializing at $t_1 = \gamma$ and for $l \geq 2$, $t_l = (-1)^{l-1} (l-1)! \zeta(l)$ and by using the identity, for any

$u \in X^*$, $x_1^k x_0 u = \sum_{l=0}^k x_1^l \text{III}(x_0 [(-x_1)^{k-l} \text{III} u])$, we get

Corollary

$$\gamma_{y_1^k w} = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{\zeta(x_0 [(-x_1)^{k-i} \text{III} \Pi_X w])}{i!} \left[\sum_{j=1}^i b_{i,j}(\gamma, -\zeta(2), 2\zeta(3), \dots) \right].$$

In particular,

$$\gamma_{y_1^k} = \sum_{\substack{s_1, \dots, s_k > 0 \\ s_1 + \dots + ks_k = k}} \frac{(-1)^k}{s_1! \dots s_k!} (-\gamma)^{s_1} \left(-\frac{\zeta(2)}{2} \right)^{s_2} \dots \left(-\frac{\zeta(k)}{k} \right)^{s_k}.$$

Regularization to γ

$$\gamma_{1,1} = [\gamma^2 - \zeta(2)]/2,$$

$$\gamma_{1,1,1} = [\gamma^3 - 3\zeta(2)\gamma + 2\zeta(3)]/6,$$

$$\gamma_{1,1,1,1} = [80\zeta(3)\gamma - 60\zeta(2)\gamma^2 + 6\zeta(2)^2 + 10\gamma^4]/240,$$

$$\gamma_{1,7} = \zeta(7)\gamma + \zeta(3)\zeta(5) - 54\zeta(2)^4/175,$$

$$\gamma_{1,1,6} = 4\zeta(2)^3\gamma^2/35 + [\zeta(2)\zeta(5) + 2\zeta(3)\zeta(2)^2/5 - 4\zeta(7)]\gamma \\ + \zeta(6,2) + 19\zeta(2)^4/35 + \zeta(2)\zeta(3)^2/2 - 4\zeta(3)\zeta(5),$$

$$\gamma_{1,1,1,5} = \frac{3\zeta(6,2)}{4} - \frac{14\zeta(3)\zeta(5)}{3} + \frac{3\zeta(2)\zeta(3)^2}{4} + \frac{809\zeta(2)^4}{1400} + \frac{\zeta(5)\gamma^3}{6} \\ + \left[\frac{\zeta(3)^2}{4} - \frac{\zeta(2)^3}{5} \right] \gamma^2 - \left[2\zeta(7) - \frac{3\zeta(2)\zeta(5)}{2} + \frac{\zeta(3)\zeta(2)^2}{10} \right] \gamma.$$

Theorem

γ_\bullet realizes the morphism from $(\mathbb{C}\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle, \sqcup)$ to (\mathbb{C}, \cdot) verifying

- ▶ for any word $u, v \in Y^*$, $\gamma_{u \sqcup v} = \gamma_u \gamma_v$,
- ▶ for any convergent word $w \in Y^* - y_1 Y^*$, $\gamma_w = \zeta(w)$,
- ▶ $\gamma_{y_1} = \gamma \cdot$.

Then $G = e^{\gamma_{y_1}} Z_{\sqcup}$.

The meaning of the double regularization to 0

The constant $\gamma_{y_1} = \gamma$ is obtained as the finite part of the asymptotic expansion of $H_1(n)$ in the scale $\{n^a \log^b(n)\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{N}}$.

In the same way, since for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, n and $H_1(n)$ are algebraically independent then $\{n^a H_1^b(n)\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{N}}$ constitutes a new scale for asymptotic expansions.

Let $C_1 = \mathbb{Q} \oplus x_0 \mathbb{Q}\langle X \rangle_{x_1}$ and $C_2 = \mathbb{Q} \oplus (Y \setminus \{y_1\}) \mathbb{Q}\langle Y \rangle$. By the Radford theorem and its generalization over Y (due to Malvenuto & Reutenauer), one has respectively

$$(\mathbb{Q}\langle X \rangle, \boxplus) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{L}ynX] = C_1[x_0, x_1],$$

$$(\mathbb{Q}\langle Y \rangle, \boxplus) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{L}ynY] = C_2[y_1].$$

Thus, $\zeta_{\boxplus}(x_1) = 0$ and $\zeta_{\boxplus}(y_1) = 0$ can be interpreted as the finite part of the asymptotic expansions of Li_1 and H_1 in the scales $\{(1-z)^a \log(1-z)^b\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{n^a H_1^b(n)\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{N}}$ respectively.

Differential Galois group of polylogarithms

$LI_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the smallest algebra containing \mathcal{C} closed by derivation, by integration w.r.t. ω_0 and ω_1 . It is the \mathcal{C} -modulus generated by $\{Li_w\}_{w \in X^*}$.

Let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(LI_{\mathcal{C}})$. Then $\sum_{w \in X^*} \sigma Li_w w = \prod_{l \in \mathcal{L}yn} e^{\sigma Li_{\check{S}_l} S_l}$.

Since $d\sigma Li_{x_i} = \sigma d Li_{x_i} = \omega_i$ then $\sigma Li_{x_i} = Li_{x_i} + c_{x_i}$.

More generally, $\sigma Li_{\check{S}_l} = \int \omega_{x_{i_1}} \frac{\sigma Li_{\check{S}_{l_1}}^{i_1}}{i_1!} \cdots \frac{\sigma Li_{\check{S}_{l_k}}^{i_k}}{i_k!} + c_{\check{S}_l}$.

Consequently, $\sum_{w \in X^*} \sigma Li_w w = L \prod_{l \in \mathcal{L}yn} e^{c_{\check{S}_l} S_l} = Le^{C_{\sigma}}$.

The action of $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(LI_{\mathcal{C}})$ over $\{Li_w\}_{w \in X^*}$ is equivalent to the action of $e^{C_{\sigma}} \in \text{Gal}(DE)$ over the exponential solution L . So,

Theorem (HNM, 2003)

$\text{Gal}(LI_{\mathcal{C}}) \cong \text{Gal}(DE) = \{e^C \mid C \in \mathcal{L}ie_{\mathcal{C}} \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle\}$.

Action of $\text{Gal}(DE)$ on the asymptotic expansions

Theorem (Group of associators theorem)

For any commutative \mathbb{Q} -algebra A , let $\Phi \in A\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ and $\Psi \in A\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle$ be group-like elements such that $\Psi = B(y_1)\Pi_Y\Phi$. There exists a unique $C \in \text{Lie}_A\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ such that $\Phi = Z_{\text{III}}e^C$ and $\Psi = G\Pi_Ye^C$.

If $C \in \text{Lie}_A\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ then $L' = Le^C$ is group-like and $e^C \in \text{Gal}(DE)$. Let $H'(N)$ be the n.c.g.s. of the Taylor coefficients, belonging to the harmonic algebra, of $\{(1-z)^{-1}L'_w(z)\}_{w \in Y^*}$. Then $H'(N)$ is group-like.

$$\frac{L'(1-\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \underset{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+}{\sim} e^{-(1+x_1)\log \varepsilon} \Phi_{KZ} e^C \Rightarrow H'(N) \underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} H(N) \Pi_Y e^C.$$

Let κ_w be the constant part of $H'_w(N)$. Then,

$$\sum_{w \in Y^*} \kappa_w w = \Psi_{KZ} \Pi_Y e^C, \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad \Pi_X \sum_{w \in Y^*} \kappa_w w = B^{-1}(x_1) \Phi_{KZ} e^C.$$

We put then $\Psi := Z_{\text{III}}\Pi_Y e^C$ and $\Phi := G\Pi_Y e^C$.

Examples (action of the monodromy group)

For $t \in]0, 1[$, the monodromies around 0, 1 of L are given respectively by ($p = 2i\pi$)

$$\mathcal{M}_0 L(t) = L(t) e^{p m_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}_1 L(t) = L(t) \Phi_{KZ}^{-1} e^{-p x_1} \Phi_{KZ} \\ = L(t) e^{p m_1},$$

$$\text{where } m_0 = x_0 \quad \text{and} \quad m_1 = \prod_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{yn}, l \neq x_0, x_1} e^{-\zeta(\check{S}_l) \text{ad}_{S_l}(-x_1)}.$$

► If $C = p m_0$ then $\Phi = Z_{\text{III}} e^{p x_0}$ and

$$\Psi = \exp \left[\gamma y_1 - \sum_{k \geq 2} \zeta(k) \frac{(-y_1)^k}{k} \right] \Pi_Y Z_{\text{III}} = G.$$

► If $C = p m_1$ then $\Phi = e^{-p x_1} Z_{\text{III}}$ and

$$\Psi = \exp \left[\underbrace{(\gamma - p)}_{=T} y_1 - \sum_{k \geq 2} \zeta(k) \frac{(-y_1)^k}{k} \right] \Pi_Y Z_{\text{III}} = e^{-p y_1} G.$$

Hence, the monodromies could not allow neither to introduce the factor $e^{\gamma x_1}$ on the left of Z_{III} , neither to eliminate the left factor $e^{\gamma y_1}$ in G (by putting $T = 0$, for example).

Polynomial relations among generators of polyzêtas

$\{\zeta_{\text{III}}(I)\}_{I \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}}X}$ and $\{\zeta_{\text{I+II}}(I)\}_{I \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}}Y}$ are also generators of the algebras \mathcal{Z} and \mathcal{Z}' . One also gets

Theorem

$$\prod_{I \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}}X, I \neq x_0, x_1} e^{\zeta(I) \hat{I}} = e^{\sum_{k \geq 2} \zeta(k) \frac{(-x_1)^k}{k}} \Pi_X \prod_{I \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}}Y, I \neq y_1} e^{\zeta(I) \hat{I}}.$$

Since $\forall I \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}}Y \iff \Pi_X I \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}}X \setminus \{x_0\}$ then identifying the local coordinates, in the Lyndon-PBW basis, we get polynomial relations among these generators which are algebraically independent on γ .

Corollary

For any $I \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}}Y \setminus \{y_1\}$, let P_I be the decomposition of $\Pi_X \hat{I}$ in the Lyndon-PBW basis, over X , and let \check{P}_I be its dual. Then $\Pi_X I - \check{P}_I \in \ker \zeta$. Moreover, $\Pi_X \lambda - \check{P}_\lambda$ is *homogenous* of degree $|\lambda|$ and if $\Pi_X I = \check{P}_I$ then $\zeta(I)$ is *irreducible*.

Structure of polyzêtas

Theorem (Structure of polyzêtas)

The \mathbb{Q} -algebra generated by convergent polyzêtas, \mathcal{Z} , is isomorphic to the graded algebra $(\mathbb{Q} \oplus (Y - y_1)\mathbb{Q}\langle Y \rangle) / \ker \zeta$.

Proof.

Since $\ker \zeta$ is an ideal generated by the homogenous polynomials then the quotient $\mathbb{Q} \oplus (Y - y_1)\mathbb{Q}\langle Y \rangle / \ker \zeta$ is graded. \square

Corollary

The \mathbb{Q} -algebra of polyzêtas is freely generated by irreducible polyzêtas.

Proof.

For any $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}ynY$, if $\lambda = \check{P}_\lambda$ then one gets the conclusion else $\Pi_X \lambda - \check{P}_\lambda \in \ker \zeta$. Since $\check{P}_\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{L}ynX]$ then \check{P}_λ is polynomial on Lyndon words of degree $\leq |\lambda|$. For each Lyndon word does appear in this decomposition of \check{P}_λ , after applying Π_Y , the same process goes on until having irreducible polyzêtas.

Towards the transcendence of γ over \mathcal{Z}

By considering the commutative indeterminates t_1, t_2, \dots , then let $A = \mathbb{Q}[t_1, t_2, \dots]$.

Lemma

For any $\Phi \in \{\Phi_{KZe}^C \mid C \in \mathcal{L}ie_A \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle\}$, one get

$$\Psi = B(y_1)\Pi_Y\Phi \iff \Psi' = B'(y_1)\Pi_Y\Phi.$$

Theorem

For **all** $\Phi \in \{\Phi_{KZe}^C \mid C \in \mathcal{L}ie_{\mathbb{Q}} \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle\}$, the identities $\Psi = B(y_1)\Pi_Y\Phi$ yield **all** polynomial relations among convergent polyzêtas.

Moreover, these relations are algebraically independent on γ . In other words, under the hypothesis $\gamma \notin \mathbb{Q}$, the constant γ does not verify any polynomial of coefficients in \mathcal{Z} .

Corollary

If $\gamma \notin \mathbb{Q}$ then it is transcendental over \mathcal{Z} .

COLOURED POLYLOGARITHMS, HARMONIC SUMS AND POLYZETAS

Sommes harmoniques et polylogarithmes colorés

Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{s} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1, \dots, b_r \\ s_1, \dots, s_r \end{pmatrix},$$

where $b_1, \dots, b_r \in \{1, q, \dots, q^{n-1}\}$ and $q = e^{2i\pi/n}$.

$$H_{\mathbf{s}}(N) = \sum_{N \geq n_1 > \dots > n_r > 0} \frac{b_1^{n_1} \dots b_r^{n_r}}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_r^{s_r}},$$

$$\text{Li}_{\mathbf{s}}(z) = \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_r > 0} \frac{b_1^{n_1} \dots b_r^{n_r}}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_r^{s_r}} z^{n_1}.$$

If $\begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ s_1 \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} H_{\mathbf{s}}(N) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} \text{Li}_{\mathbf{s}}(z) = \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_r > 0} \frac{b_1^{n_1} \dots b_r^{n_r}}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_r^{s_r}} = \zeta \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{s} \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

$$P_{\mathbf{s}}(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} H_{\mathbf{s}}(n) z^n = \frac{\text{Li}_{\mathbf{s}}(z)}{1-z}.$$

Coloured alphabets

Let us consider the alphabets $X = \{x_0, x_q, \dots, x_{q^n}\}$ and $Y = \{y_{b,k}\}_{\substack{b \in \{1, \dots, q^{n-1}\} \\ k \in \mathbb{N}_+}}$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1, \dots, b_r \\ s_1, \dots, s_r \end{pmatrix} \leftrightarrow y_{s_1}^{b_1} \dots y_{s_r}^{b_r} \leftrightarrow x_0^{s_1-1} x_{\tau_1} \dots x_0^{s_r-1} x_{\tau_r},$$

where $j = 1, \dots, r$, $\tau_j = \prod_{i=1}^j b_i$.

Example

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1, 1, -1 \\ 3, 4, 5 \end{pmatrix} \leftrightarrow x_0^2 x_{-1} x_0^3 x_{-1} x_0^4 x_1.$$

Let \mathcal{Z} be the \mathbb{Q} -algebra generated by convergent coloured polyzêtas.

Let \mathcal{Z}' be the $\mathbb{Q}[\gamma]$ -algebra generated by convergent coloured polyzêtas.

Harmonic algebra

For $u, v \in Y^*$, we have $H_u H_v = H_{u \star v}$, where $u \star v$ is defined by

$$\varepsilon \star u = u \star \varepsilon = u \text{ and}$$

$$(y_b^i u) \star (y_c^j v) = y_b^i (u \star y_c^j v) + y_c^j (y_b^i u \star v) + y_{bc}^{i+j} (u \star v).$$

By consequent, $P_u \odot P_v = P_{u \star v}$.

Example

$$y_2^{-1} \star y_3^{-1} = y_2^{-1} y_3^{-1} + y_3^{-1} y_2^{-1} + y_5^1,$$

$$H_2^{-1} H_3^{-1} = H_{2,3}^{-1,-1} + H_{3,2}^{-1,-1} + H_5^1,$$

$$P_2^{-1} \odot P_3^{-1} = P_{2,3}^{-1,-1} + P_{3,2}^{-1,-1} + P_5^1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_2^{-1} y_5^{-1} \star y_4^1 &= y_2^{-1} (y_5^{-1} \star y_4^1) + y_4^1 (y_2^{-1} y_5^{-1} \star \varepsilon) + y_6^{-1} (y_5^{-1} \star \varepsilon) \\ &= y_2^{-1} (y_5^{-1} y_4^1 + y_4^1 y_5^{-1} + y_9^{-1}) + y_4^1 y_2^{-1} y_5^{-1} + y_6^{-1} y_5^{-1} \\ &= y_2^{-1} y_5^{-1} y_4^1 + y_2^{-1} y_4^1 y_5^{-1} + y_4^1 y_2^{-1} y_5^{-1} + y_2^{-1} y_9^{-1} + y_6^{-1} y_5^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$H_{2,5}^{-1,-1} H_4^1 = H_{2,5,4}^{-1,-1,1} + H_{2,4,5}^{-1,1,-1} + H_{4,2,5}^{1,-1,-1} + H_{2,9}^{-1,-1} + H_{6,5}^{-1,-1},$$

$$P_{2,5}^{-1,-1} \odot P_4^1 = P_{2,5,4}^{-1,-1,1} + P_{2,4,5}^{-1,1,-1} + P_{4,2,5}^{1,-1,-1} + P_{2,9}^{-1,-1} + P_{6,5}^{-1,-1}.$$

Structure theorems

Let $\mathcal{O} = \{0\} \cup \{q^n = 1\}$ and let $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}[z, \{a_i(z)\}_{i \in \mathcal{O}}]$ where $a_0(z) = z^{-1}$ and, for $\rho \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \{0\}$, $a_\rho(z) = \rho(1 - \rho z)^{-1}$.

Theorem (Bigotte, Jacob, Oussous, Petitot, 2000)

$$(\mathcal{C}\{\text{Li}_w\}_{w \in X^*}, \cdot) \simeq (\mathcal{C}[\text{Li}_{\mathcal{L}ynX}], \cdot).$$

Proposition

$$(\mathbb{C}\{P_w\}_{w \in Y^*}, \odot) \simeq (\mathbb{C}\{H_w\}_{w \in Y^*}, \cdot) \simeq (\mathbb{C}\langle Y \rangle, \star).$$

Theorem (HNM, 2003)

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{C}\{P_w\}_{w \in Y^*}, \odot) &\simeq (\mathbb{C}[P_{\mathcal{L}ynY}], \odot), \\ (\mathbb{C}\{H_w\}_{w \in Y^*}, \cdot) &\simeq (\mathbb{C}[H_{\mathcal{L}ynY}], \cdot). \end{aligned}$$

Distribution relation

Proposition

Let q be a m -th root of unity. Let \mathbf{s} and \mathbf{i} be two compositions. Assume that $0 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq m-1$. Then,

$$\zeta \left(\begin{matrix} \mathbf{s} \\ q^{\mathbf{i}} \end{matrix} \right) = \frac{1}{m^r} \sum_{b_1, \dots, b_r=1}^m \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_r=0}^{m-1} \frac{q^{\sum_{l=1}^r (i_l + \dots + i_l) b_l}}{q^{\sum_{l=1}^r (j_1 + \dots + j_l) b_l}} \zeta \left(\begin{matrix} \mathbf{s} \\ q^{\mathbf{j}} \end{matrix} \right),$$

or equivalently

$$\sum_{b_1, \dots, b_r=1}^m \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_r=0 \\ j_1 \neq i_1, \dots, j_r \neq i_r}}^{m-1} \frac{\prod_{l=1}^r q^{\sum_{l=1}^r (i_l + \dots + i_l) b_l}}{q^{\sum_{l=1}^r (j_1 + \dots + j_l) b_l}} \zeta \left(\begin{matrix} \mathbf{s} \\ q^{\mathbf{j}} \end{matrix} \right) = 0.$$

Asymptotic expansions

Theorem (HNM, 2005)

$(\mathcal{C}\{P_w\}_{w \in Y^*}, \odot) \simeq (\mathcal{C}[P_{Lyn(Y)}], \odot)$ and for any $g \in \mathcal{C}\{P_w\}_{w \in Y^*}$, there exists algorithmically computable coefficients $b_i \in \mathbb{C}$, the \mathbb{Q} -algebra generated by \mathcal{Z} and by γ , $\kappa_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\eta_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$g(z) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} c_j (1-z)^{\alpha_j} P_{y_1^{\beta_j}}(z) \text{ for } z \rightarrow 1.$$

By consequence, there exists algorithmically computable coefficients $b_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $\eta_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\kappa_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$[z^n]g(z) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} b_i n^{\eta_i} \log^{\kappa_i}(n) \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Corollary

There exists algorithmically computable coefficients $b_i \in \mathcal{Z}'$, $\eta_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\kappa_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall w \in Y^*, H_w(N) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} b_i N^{\eta_i} \log^{\kappa_i}(N) \text{ for } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION