

Independance of hyperlogarithms over function fields via algebraic combinatorics.

M. DENEUFCHÂTEL, G. H. E. DUCHAMP,
HOANG NGOC MINH *
and ALLAN I. SOLOMON †

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Non commutative differential equations (abstract setting).	2
3	Series with variable coefficients.	4
3.1	Motivations.	4
3.2	General setting	4
3.3	Non commutative differential equations (abstract setting).	6
4	Applications of the main theorem.	8
4.1	Independance of the polylogarithms.	8
5	Réserve à ordonner	8
5.0.1	Proof of theorem (5.1)	9
6	Coordinates of group-like elements.	11
6.1	Through the looking glass: passing from right to left.	11
6.2	Polylogarithms and related functions	15
7	Conclusion	16

Abstract

Group-like series ...

*LIPN - UMR 7030 CNRS - Université Paris 13 F-93430 Villetaneuse, France

†Open University

1 Introduction

.../...

the method of Weshung consists in a recurrence based on the total degree. However this method cannot be used with variable coefficients. Another proof was given in [Joris and al. 1998] based on monodromy. Here, we give a general theorem on differential algebra and show that, at the cost of using variable domains (which is the realm of germ spaces) and replace the recurrence on total degree by a recursion on the words (with the graded lexicographic ordering), one can encompass the previous results mentionned above and get much larger rings of coefficients

2 Non commutative differential equations (abstract setting).

The ground field k is supposed commutative and of characteristic zero. We suppose given a commutative differential k -algebra (\mathcal{A}, d) that is a k -algebra (associative and commutative with unit) \mathcal{A} endowed with an element $d \in \mathfrak{Det}(\mathcal{A})$. We will suppose that the ring of constants $\ker(d)$ is exactly k .

An alphabet X being given, one can at once extend the derivation d to a derivation of the algebra $\mathcal{A}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ by

$$\mathbf{d}(S) = \sum_{w \in X^*} d(\langle S|w \rangle)w . \quad (1)$$

Theorem 2.1 *Let (\mathcal{A}, d) be a k -commutative associative differential algebra with unit ($ch(k) = 0$) and \mathcal{C} be a differential subfield of \mathcal{A} (i.e. $d(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{C}$). We suppose that $S \in \mathcal{A}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ is a solution of the differential equation*

$$\mathbf{d}(S) = MS ; \langle S|1 \rangle = 1 \quad (2)$$

where the multiplier $M = \sum_{x \in X} u_x x \in \mathcal{C}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ is an homogeneous series (a polynomial in case X is finite) of degree 1.

The following condition are equivalent :

- i) The family $(\langle S|w \rangle)_{w \in X^*}$ of coefficients of S is free over \mathcal{C} .
- ii) The family of coefficients $(\langle S|y \rangle)_{y \in X \cup \{1_{X^*}\}}$ is free over \mathcal{C} .
- iii) The family $(u_x)_{x \in X}$ is such that, for $f \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\alpha_x \in k$

$$d(f) = \sum_{x \in X} \alpha_x u_x \implies (\forall x \in X)(\alpha_x = 0) . \quad (3)$$

iv) The family $(u_x)_{x \in X}$ is free over k and

$$d(\mathcal{C}) \cap \text{span}_k \left((u_x)_{x \in X} \right) = \{0\} . \quad (4)$$

Proof — (i) \implies (ii) Obvious.

(ii) \implies (iii)

Suppose that the family $(\langle S|y \rangle)_{y \in X \cup \{1_{X^*}\}}$ (coefficients taken at letters and the empty word) of coefficients of S is free over \mathcal{C} and let us consider a relation as eq. (32)

$$d(f) = \sum_{x \in X} \alpha_x u_x . \quad (5)$$

We form the polynomial $P = -f1_{X^*} + \sum_{x \in X} \alpha_x x$. One has $\mathbf{d}(P) = -d(f)1_{X^*}$ and

$$d(\langle S|P \rangle) = \langle \mathbf{d}(S)|P \rangle + \langle S|\mathbf{d}(P) \rangle = \langle MS|P \rangle - d(f)\langle S|1_{X^*} \rangle = \left(\sum_{x \in X} \alpha_x u_x \right) - d(f) = 0 \quad (6)$$

and, then $\langle S|P \rangle$ must be a constant, say $\lambda \in k$. For $Q = P - \lambda.1_{X^*}$, we have

$$\text{supp}(Q) \subset X \cup \{1_{X^*}\} \text{ and } \langle S|Q \rangle = \langle S|P \rangle - \lambda \langle S|1_{X^*} \rangle = \langle S|P \rangle - \lambda = 0 .$$

This implies that $Q = 0$ and, as $Q = -(f + \lambda)1_{X^*} + \sum_{x \in X} \alpha_x x$, one has, in particular, all the $\alpha_x = 0$.

(iii) \iff (iv)

Obvious, (iv) being a geometric reformulation of (iii).

(iii) \iff (i)

Let \mathcal{K} be the kernel of $P \mapsto \langle S|P \rangle$ (a linear form $\mathcal{C}\langle X \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$) i.e.

$$\mathcal{K} = \{P \in \mathcal{C}\langle X \rangle \mid \langle S|P \rangle = 0\} . \quad (7)$$

If $\mathcal{K} = \{0\}$, we are done. Otherwise, let us adopt the following strategy.

First, we order X by some well-ordering $<$ ([3] III.2.1) and X^* by the graded lexicographic ordering \prec defined by

$$u \prec v \iff |u| < |v| \text{ or } (u = pxs_1, v = pys_2 \text{ and } x < y) \quad (8)$$

it is easy to check that \prec is also a well-ordering relation. For each nonzero polynomial P , we note $\text{lead}(P)$ its leading monomial i.e. the greatest element of its support $\text{supp}(P)$ (for \prec).

Now, as $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{K} - \{0\}$ is not empty, let w_0 be the minimal element of $\text{lead}(\mathcal{R})$ and choose a $P \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\text{lead}(P) = w_0$. We write

$$P = fw_0 + \sum_{u \prec w_0} \langle P|u \rangle u ; f \in \mathcal{C} - \{0\} . \quad (9)$$

the polynomial $Q = \frac{1}{f}P$ is also in \mathcal{R} with the same leading monomial, but the leading coefficient is now 1 and Q reads

$$Q = w_0 + \sum_{u \prec w_0} \langle Q|u \rangle u . \quad (10)$$

Differentiating $\langle S|Q \rangle = 0$, one gets

$$0 = \langle \mathbf{d}(S)|Q \rangle + \langle S|\mathbf{d}(Q) \rangle = \langle MS|Q \rangle + \langle S|\mathbf{d}(Q) \rangle = \langle S|M^\dagger Q \rangle + \langle S|\mathbf{d}(Q) \rangle = \langle S|M^\dagger Q + \mathbf{d}(Q) \rangle \quad (11)$$

with

$$M^\dagger Q + \mathbf{d}(Q) = \sum_{x \in X} u_x (x^\dagger Q) + \sum_{u \prec w_0} d(\langle Q|u \rangle) u \in \mathcal{C}\langle X \rangle . \quad (12)$$

It is impossible that $M^\dagger Q + \mathbf{d}(Q) \in \mathcal{R}$ because it would be of leading monomial strictly less than w_0 , hence $M^\dagger Q + \mathbf{d}(Q) = 0$. This is equivalent to the recursion

$$d(\langle Q|u \rangle) = - \sum_{x \in X} u_x \langle Q|xu \rangle ; \text{ for } x \in X , v \in X^* \quad (13)$$

From this last relation, we derive that $\langle Q|w \rangle \in k$ for every w of length $\deg(Q)$ and, because $\langle S|1 \rangle = 1$, one must have $\deg(Q) > 0$. Then, write $w_0 = x_0 v$ and compute the coefficient at v

$$d(\langle Q|v \rangle) = - \sum_{x \in X} u_x \langle Q|xv \rangle = \sum_{x \in X} \alpha_x u_x \quad (14)$$

with coefficients $\alpha_x = -\langle Q|xv \rangle \in k$ as $|xv| = \deg(Q)$ for all $x \in X$. Condition **PI** implies that all coefficients $\langle Q|xv \rangle$ are zero, in particular, as $\langle Q|x_0 v \rangle = 1$, we get a contradiction. This proves that $\mathcal{K} = \{0\}$.

□

3 Series with variable coefficients.

3.1 Motivations.

In this section, we implement an abstract setting which is intended to apply on function spaces. As a motivation, let us illustrate this by an example.

Let V be a connected and simply connected analytic variety of dimension one (for example, the doubly cut plane $\mathbb{C} - (]-\infty, 0[\cup]1, +\infty[)$, or the universal covering of $\mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\}$), $\mathcal{H} = C^\omega(V; \mathbb{C})$ be the space of all analytic functions on V . This space is a differential algebra with the derivative $\frac{d}{dz}$. One extends at once this derivative (then denoted by \mathbf{d}) to $\mathcal{H}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ by

$$\mathbf{d}(S) = \sum_{w \in X^*} \frac{d}{dz} (\langle S|w \rangle) w \quad (15)$$

it is easy to check (proof in the general case below) that \mathbf{d} is a derivation of the algebra $\mathcal{H}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$. Differential equations of the type

$$\mathbf{d}(S) = MS \quad (16)$$

where $M = \sum_{x \in X} u_x(z)x$ were widely considered in the domains of (**à faire** automatique, Drinfel'd, Weshung, etc...) and provide, through integrators build by iterated integrals, spaces of special functions. An immediate application of theorem (**à faire** ??) below provides the result that, for any solution of (16) (with $\langle S|1 \rangle = 1$), the family of functions $(\langle S|w \rangle)_{w \in X^*}$ is free over the field of rational functions on V .

3.2 General setting

Let \mathcal{M} , be a locally finite monoid [?] and $\mathcal{H}\langle\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle\rangle$, be the large algebra [4] of \mathcal{M} with coefficients in \mathcal{H} . Let \mathcal{M} be a locally finite monoid [?] and V be a set (where the variable z stands) and $\mathcal{H} \subset C^V$, an algebra of fonctions. Every series $S \in \mathcal{H}\langle\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle\rangle$ can be written

$$S := \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \langle S|m \rangle m \quad (17)$$

(as the family $(\langle S|m\rangle m)_{m \in \mathcal{M}}$ is summable). Thus, one can consider the series of $\mathcal{H}\langle\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle\rangle$ as functions on V (with values in $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle\rangle$) and specialize them by

$$S(z_0) := \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \left(\langle S|m \rangle \right) \Big|_{z=z_0} m . \quad (18)$$

Moreover, if d is a derivation in \mathcal{H} , its extension to $\mathcal{H}\langle\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle\rangle$ “coefficient by coefficient” given as

$$\mathbf{d}(S) := \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} d(\langle S|m \rangle) m \quad (19)$$

is a derivation of $\mathcal{H}\langle\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle\rangle$. Let us show, on an example, how the proof of theorem à faire ?? below works on an example.

The data are

1. $X = \{x_0, x_1\}$
2. V is a connected and simply connected subset of \mathbb{C}
3. $\mathcal{H} = C^\omega(V, \mathbb{C})$ which does not contain $\{0, 1\}$, eendowed with the derivation $\frac{d}{dz}$
4. $M = \frac{x_0}{z} + \frac{x_1}{1-z}$
5. **Statement** : If S is any solution of

$$\mathbf{d}(S) = MS ; \langle S|1 \rangle = 1 \quad (20)$$

then the functions $(\langle S|w \rangle)_{w \in X^*}$ are linearly independant over the field of rational functions i.e. if

$$f_i = \frac{p_i}{q_i}, \quad i = 1 \cdots N ; p_i, q_i \in \mathbb{C}[z] \quad (21)$$

and $w_i \in X^*$ are such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N f_i(z) \langle S|w_i \rangle = 0 \quad (22)$$

on some open (non void) set (which does not contain the opes of the f_i) then

$$(\forall i \in [1 \cdots N])(f_i \equiv 0) . \quad (23)$$

6. **An example for the recursion** Order X by $x_0 < x_1$ and use \prec_{glx} , the graded lexicographic ordering on X^* . For each non-trivial relation (REL) (if there are such)

$$\sum_{i=1}^N f_i(z) \langle S|w_i \rangle = 0 \quad (24)$$

we consider the leading monomial $lead(\text{REL}) = \sup\{w_i | f_i \not\equiv 0\}$. If there were nontrivial relatons, we could take one with the least possible leading monomial and the relation itself.

Assumption 1 Suppose that the set of its monomials be $\{x_0, x_1, x_1x_0, x_0^2x_1\}$ with $lead(\text{REL}) = x_0^2x_1$. One has

$$f_{x_0}(z) \langle S|x_0 \rangle + f_{x_1}(z) \langle S|x_1 \rangle + f_{x_1x_0}(z) \langle S|x_1x_0 \rangle + f_{x_0^2x_1}(z) \langle S|x_0^2x_1 \rangle = 0 . \quad (25)$$

which is defined on U intersection of the domains $U_0 = \text{dom}(f_{w_i})$.
At the cost of restricting the relation to $U_1 = U_0 \setminus \mathcal{O}_{f_{x_0^2 x_1}}$ one has also

$$g_{x_0}(z)\langle S|x_0\rangle + g_{x_1}(z)\langle S|x_1\rangle + g_{x_1 x_0}(z)\langle S|x_1 x_0\rangle + \langle S|x_0^2 x_1\rangle = 0 \quad (26)$$

with $g_i = \frac{f_i}{f_{x_0^2 x_1}}$. Differentiating (26), we get

$$g'_{x_0}(z)\langle S|x_0\rangle + g_{x_0}(z)\langle S'|x_0\rangle + g'_{x_1}(z)\langle S|x_1\rangle + g_{x_1}(z)\langle S'|x_1\rangle + g'_{x_1 x_0}(z)\langle S|x_1 x_0\rangle + g_{x_1 x_0}(z)\langle S'|x_1 x_0\rangle + \langle S'|x_0^2 x_1\rangle = 0 . \quad (27)$$

As $S' = MS$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S'|x_0 u\rangle &= \langle MS|x_0 u\rangle = \frac{1}{z}\langle S|u\rangle \text{ and} \\ \langle S'|x_1 u\rangle &= \langle MS|x_1 u\rangle = \frac{1}{1-z}\langle S|u\rangle . \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

With this in hand (29) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} g'_{x_0}(z)\langle S|x_0\rangle + g_{x_0}(z)\frac{1}{z}\langle S|1\rangle + g'_{x_1}(z)\langle S|x_1\rangle + g_{x_1}(z)\frac{1}{1-z}\langle S|1\rangle + \\ g'_{x_1 x_0}(z)\langle S|x_1 x_0\rangle + g_{x_1 x_0}(z)\frac{1}{1-z}\langle S|x_0\rangle + \frac{1}{z}\langle S|x_0 x_1\rangle = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

which is of rank strictly less than (25) and then should be trivial. A contradiction as $\frac{1}{z}$ is zero in no non-void open subset ; “**Assumption 1**” must be false and we are done.

□

3.3 Non commutative differential equations (abstract setting).

The ground field k is supposed commutative and of characteristic zero. We suppose given a commutative differential k -algebra (\mathcal{A}, d) that is a k -algebra (associative and commutative with unit) \mathcal{A} endowed with an element $d \in \mathfrak{Der}(\mathcal{A})$. We will suppose that the ring of constants $\ker(d)$ is exactly k .

An alphabet X being given, one can at once extend the derivation d to the algebra $\mathcal{A}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, as in (15) by

$$\mathbf{d}(S) = \sum_{w \in X^*} d(\langle S|w \rangle)w . \quad (30)$$

Theorem 3.1 *Let (\mathcal{A}, d) be a k -commutative associative differential algebra with unit ($ch(k) = 0$) and \mathcal{C} be a differential subfield of \mathcal{A} (i.e. $d(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{C}$). We suppose that $S \in \mathcal{A}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ is a solution of the differential equation*

$$\mathbf{d}(S) = MS ; \langle S|1 \rangle = 1 \quad (31)$$

where the multiplier $M = \sum_{x \in X} u_x x \in \mathcal{C}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ is an homogeneous series (a polynomial in case X is finite) of degree 1.

The following condition are equivalent :

- i) The family $(\langle S|w \rangle)_{w \in X^*}$ of coefficients of S is free over \mathcal{C} .
- ii) The family of coefficients $(\langle S|y \rangle)_{y \in X \cup \{1_{X^*}\}}$ is free over \mathcal{C} .
- iii) The family $(u_x)_{x \in X}$ is such that, for $f \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\alpha_x \in k$

$$d(f) = \sum_{x \in X} \alpha_x u_x \implies (\forall x \in X)(\alpha_x = 0) . \quad (32)$$

iv) The family $(u_x)_{x \in X}$ is free over k and

$$d(\mathcal{C}) \cap \text{span}_k \left((u_x)_{x \in X} \right) = \{0\} . \quad (33)$$

Proof — (i) \implies (ii) Obvious.

(ii) \implies (iii)

Suppose that the family $(\langle S|y \rangle)_{y \in X \cup \{1_{X^*}\}}$ (coefficients taken at letters and the empty word) of coefficients of S is free over \mathcal{C} and let us consider a relation as eq. (32)

$$d(f) = \sum_{x \in X} \alpha_x u_x . \quad (34)$$

We form the polynomial $P = -f1_{X^*} + \sum_{x \in X} \alpha_x x$. One has $\mathbf{d}(P) = -d(f)1_{X^*}$ and

$$d(\langle S|P \rangle) = \langle \mathbf{d}(S)|P \rangle + \langle S|\mathbf{d}(P) \rangle = \langle MS|P \rangle - d(f)\langle S|1_{X^*} \rangle = \left(\sum_{x \in X} \alpha_x u_x \right) - d(f) = 0 \quad (35)$$

and, then $\langle S|P \rangle$ must be a constant, say $\lambda \in k$. For $Q = P - \lambda 1_{X^*}$, we have

$$\text{supp}(Q) \subset X \cup \{1_{X^*}\} \text{ and } \langle S|Q \rangle = \langle S|P \rangle - \lambda \langle S|1_{X^*} \rangle = \langle S|P \rangle - \lambda = 0 .$$

This implies that $Q = 0$ and, as $Q = -(f + \lambda)1_{X^*} + \sum_{x \in X} \alpha_x x$, one has, in particular, all the $\alpha_x = 0$.

(iii) \iff (iv)

Obvious, (iv) being a geometric reformulation of (iii).

(iii) \iff (i)

Let \mathcal{K} be the kernel of $P \mapsto \langle S|P \rangle$ (a linear form $\mathcal{C}\langle X \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$) i.e.

$$\mathcal{K} = \{P \in \mathcal{C}\langle X \rangle \mid \langle S|P \rangle = 0\} . \quad (36)$$

If $\mathcal{K} = \{0\}$, we are done. Otherwise, let us adopt the following strategy.

First, we order X by some well-ordering $<$ ([3] III.2.1) and X^* by the graded lexicographic ordering \prec defined by

$$u \prec v \iff |u| < |v| \text{ or } (u = pxs_1, v = pys_2 \text{ and } x < y) \quad (37)$$

it is easy to check that \prec is also a well-ordering relation. For each nonzero polynomial P , we note $\text{lead}(P)$ its leading monomial i.e. the greatest element of its support $\text{supp}(P)$ (for \prec).

Now, as $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{K} - \{0\}$ is not empty, let w_0 be the minimal element of $\text{lead}(\mathcal{R})$ and choose a $P \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\text{lead}(P) = w_0$. We write

$$P = fw_0 + \sum_{u \prec w_0} \langle P|u \rangle u ; f \in \mathcal{C} - \{0\} . \quad (38)$$

the polynomial $Q = \frac{1}{f}P$ is also in \mathcal{R} with the same leading monomial, but the leading coefficient is now 1 and Q reads

$$Q = w_0 + \sum_{u \prec w_0} \langle Q|u \rangle u . \quad (39)$$

Differentiating $\langle S|Q \rangle = 0$, one gets

$$0 = \langle \mathbf{d}(S)|Q \rangle + \langle S|\mathbf{d}(Q) \rangle = \langle MS|Q \rangle + \langle S|\mathbf{d}(Q) \rangle = \langle S|M^\dagger Q \rangle + \langle S|\mathbf{d}(Q) \rangle = \langle S|M^\dagger Q + \mathbf{d}(Q) \rangle \quad (40)$$

with

$$M^\dagger Q + \mathbf{d}(Q) = \sum_{x \in X} u_x (x^\dagger Q) + \sum_{u \prec w_0} d(\langle Q|u \rangle) u \in \mathcal{C}\langle X \rangle . \quad (41)$$

It is impossible that $M^\dagger Q + \mathbf{d}(Q) \in \mathcal{R}$ because it would be of leading monomial strictly less than w_0 , hence $M^\dagger Q + \mathbf{d}(Q) = 0$. This is equivalent to the recursion

$$d(\langle Q|u \rangle) = - \sum_{x \in X} u_x \langle Q|x u \rangle ; \text{ for } x \in X , v \in X^* \quad (42)$$

From this last relation, we derive that $\langle Q|w \rangle \in k$ for every w of length $\deg(Q)$ and, because $\langle S|1 \rangle = 1$, one must have $\deg(Q) > 0$. Then, write $w_0 = x_0 v$ and compute the coefficient at v

$$d(\langle Q|v \rangle) = - \sum_{x \in X} u_x \langle Q|x v \rangle = \sum_{x \in X} \alpha_x u_x \quad (43)$$

with coefficients $\alpha_x = -\langle Q|x v \rangle \in k$ as $|x v| = \deg(Q)$ for all $x \in X$. Condition **PI** implies that all coefficients $\langle Q|x u \rangle$ are zero, in particular, as $\langle Q|x_0 u \rangle = 1$, we get a contradiction. This proves that $\mathcal{K} = \{0\}$.

□

4 Applications of the main theorem.

4.1 Independance of the polylogarithms.

Let V be a connected and simply connected analytic variety of dimension 1 (for example, the doubly cut plane $\mathbb{C} - (]-\infty, 0] \cup [1, +\infty[)$, or the universal covering of $\mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\}$), $\mathcal{A} = C^\omega(V; \mathbb{C})$ be the space of analytic fonctions on V endowed with the derivative $d = \frac{d}{dz}$. Let $X = \{x_0, x_1\}$ and X^* be the free monoid on X . It is locally finite [?] and we note $\mathcal{A}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ its large algebra [4] (with coefficients in \mathcal{A}).

5 Réserve à ordonner

We will use three types of differential equations.

a) **Left-sided equation**

$$\frac{d}{dz} S(z) = M(z)S(z) \quad (44)$$

b) **Right-sided equation**

$$\frac{d}{dz}S(z) = S(z)M(z) \quad (45)$$

c) **Two-sided equation**

$$\frac{d}{dz}S(z) = M_1(z)S(z) + S(z)M_2(z) \quad (46)$$

with $M, M_i \in \mathcal{H}_{\geq 1}(\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle)$. One first give the resolution of equations of type (46) as their properties specialize, with $M_2 = 0$ (resp. $M_1 = 0$) to the type (44) (resp. (45)).

Theorem 5.1 *With the preceding assumptions.*

i) Equation (46) has solutions all of the form

$$S = (H_{z_0}^z)^* S_0 \quad (47)$$

where $H_{z_0}^z$ is the operator

$$G \mapsto \int_{z_0}^z \left(M_1(s)G(s) + G(s)M_2(s) \right) ds \quad (48)$$

and $S_0 = S(z_0)$ is a constant series.

ii) Two solutions which coincide at a point do coincide everywhere.

iii) Let Δ be a closable comultiplication with constant coefficients and suppose that M_1, M_2 in (46) are primitive elements for Δ i.e.

$$\Delta(M_i) = M_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes M_i ; i = 1, 2 .$$

Then if S , a solution of (46), is group-like at a point of V , it is group-like everywhere in V .

iv) The constant term of S is constant on V (and is that of S_0), in particular, if a solution is invertible at a point, it is so everywhere (these solutions will be called regular).

v) Let S be a regular solution of an equation of type (44) with primitive multiplier. Let \mathcal{F} be a filter on V (neighbourhoods of 0, of 1, of infinity etc.) and one supposes that S is asymptotically equivalent to G (w.r.t. \mathcal{F} i.e. $\lim_{\mathcal{F}}(G^{-1}S) = 1$). Then S is group-like.

5.0.1 Proof of theorem (5.1)

i) The integrator $H = H_{z_0}^z \in \text{End}(\mathcal{H}(\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle))$ satisfies

$$H^n(\mathcal{H}(\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle)) \subset \mathcal{H}_{\geq n}(\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $(H^n)_{n \geq 0}$ is summable for the \mathfrak{M} -adic topology of $\text{End}^{filtr}(\mathcal{H}_{\geq 1}(\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle))$ given by the ideal \mathfrak{M} of operators ϕ such that $\phi(\mathcal{H}(\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle)) \subset \mathcal{H}_{\geq 1}(\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle)$. Let $H^* = \sum_{n \geq 0} H^n$ be its sum. For S_0 a constant series, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz}(H^*[S_0]) &= \frac{d}{dz}(I + HH^*)[S_0] = \\ \frac{d}{dz}(S_0) + \frac{d}{dz}(HH^*)[S_0] &= M_1 H^*[S_0] + H^*[S_0] M_2 \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

Conversely, if S is a solution of (44), then $S_0 = (I - H)[S]$ is a constant series as

$$\frac{d}{dz}(S_0) = \frac{d}{dz}(I - H)[S] = \frac{d}{dz}S - \frac{d}{dz}(H)[S] = M_1S + SM_2 - (M_1S + SM_2) = 0 .$$

Moreover $S = (I - H)^{-1}[S_0] = H^*[S_0]$ and then $S_0 = S(\alpha)$.

ii) If two solutions S_1, S_2 coincide at $z_1 \in V$, one can construct the operator H with formula (48) and $\alpha = z_1$. One has then $S_1 = H^*(S_1(z_1)) = H^*(S_2(z_1)) = S_2$.

iii) A comultiplication with constant coefficients permute with the derivation operator hence, if S is a solution of (46), one has

$$\frac{d}{dz}(\Delta(S)) = \Delta\left(\frac{d}{dz}(S)\right) = \Delta(M_1S + SM_2) = \Delta(M_1)\Delta(S) + \Delta(S)\Delta(M_2) \quad (50)$$

this proves that $\Delta(S)$ satisfies a two sided differential equation. On the other hand, $S \otimes S$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz}(S \otimes S) &= \frac{d}{dz}(S) \otimes S + S \otimes \frac{d}{dz}(S) = \\ &= (M_1S + SM_2) \otimes S + S \otimes (M_1S + SM_2) = \\ &= (M_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes M_1)(S \otimes S) + (S \otimes S)(M_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes M_1) \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

which proves that, if M_1 and M_2 are primitive, $\Delta(S)$ and $S \otimes S$ satisfy the same differential equation. By virtue of (ii), if S is group-like at a point, it is so everywhere. In particular (and this will be used in (v)), if S is an invertible solution of an equation of type (46), with M_1 and M_2 primitive, then, for $z_0 \in V$, $S(z)S(z_0)^{-1}$ is group-like.

iv) Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S|1_{X^*} \rangle &= \langle H^*[S_0]|1_{X^*} \rangle = \langle (I + HH^*)[S_0]|1_{X^*} \rangle = \\ &= \langle S_0|1_{X^*} \rangle + \langle HH^*[S_0]|1_{X^*} \rangle = \langle S_0|1_{X^*} \rangle . \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

v) For $z_0 \in V$, one defines

$$R(z, z_0) = S(z)S(z_0)^{-1}G(z_0)G(z)^{-1} = S(z)\left(G(z_0)^{-1}S(z_0)\right)^{-1}G(z)^{-1} .$$

$R = R(z, z_0)$ is the product of two group-like series $(S(z)S(z_0)^{-1})$ and $(G(z_0)G(z)^{-1})$. Thus, z being fixed, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left(S(z) \otimes S(z)\right)\left(G(z)^{-1} \otimes G(z)^{-1}\right) &= (S(z)G(z)^{-1}) \otimes (S(z)G(z)^{-1}) = \\ &= \lim_{z_0: \mathcal{F}} R(z, z_0) \otimes R(z, z_0) = \lim_{z_0: \mathcal{F}} \Delta(R(z, z_0)) = \\ \Delta(\lim_{z_0: \mathcal{F}} (R(z, z_0))) &= \Delta(S(z)G(z)^{-1}) = \Delta(S(z))\Delta(G(z)^{-1}) = \\ &= \Delta(S(z))\left(G(z)^{-1} \otimes G(z)^{-1}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

and, finally $\Delta(S) = S \otimes S$. □

Remark 5.2 *The proof of the theorem provides an integrator*

$$H(G) = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{M}} \left(\sum_{uv=w} \int_{z_0}^z \left(\langle M_1|u \rangle(s) \langle G|v \rangle(s) + \langle G|u \rangle(s) \langle M_2|v \rangle(s) \right) ds \right) w$$

but any similar operator H such that $\frac{d}{dz}(H(G)) = M_1G + GM_2$ would do. In particular, one can construct operators with varied lower integration bounds. For example, the operator

$$H(G) = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{M}} \left(\sum_{uv=w} \left(\int_{a(u)}^z \langle M_1|u \rangle(s) \langle G|v \rangle(s) + \int_{b(v)}^z \langle G|u \rangle(s) \langle M_2|v \rangle(s) \right) ds \right) w \quad (54)$$

is fairly admissible. We will see in Paragraph (6.2) an application of such a principle.

6 Coordinates of group-like elements.

6.1 Through the looking glass: passing from right to left.

Let $S \in \mathcal{H}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, we call $\mathcal{F}(S)$ the \mathbb{C} -vector space generated by the coefficients of S , one has

$$\mathcal{F}(S) = \{ \langle S|P \rangle \}_{P \in \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle} . \quad (55)$$

We will use the following increasing filtrations

$$\mathcal{F}_{\leq \alpha}(S) = \{ \langle S|P \rangle \}_{P \in \mathbb{C}_{\leq \alpha}\langle X \rangle} . \quad (56)$$

or

$$\mathcal{F}_{\leq n}(S) = \{ \langle S|P \rangle \}_{P \in \mathbb{C}_{\leq n}\langle X \rangle} . \quad (57)$$

Proposition 6.1 *We have the following properties :*

- i) If $T \in \mathbb{C}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ then $\mathcal{F}(ST) \subset \mathcal{F}(S)$ and one has equality if T is invertible.
- ii) If S is group-like, then $\mathcal{F}(S)$ is a unital sub-algebra of \mathcal{H} , which is filtered w.r.t. (56) and (57) i.e.

$$\mathcal{F}_{\leq \alpha}(S) \mathcal{F}_{\leq \beta}(S) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\leq \alpha + \beta}(S) \quad (58)$$

Proof — (i) The space $\mathcal{F}(ST)$ is spanned by the

$$\langle ST|w \rangle = \sum_{uv=w} \langle S|u \rangle \langle T|v \rangle \in \mathcal{F}(S)$$

if T is invertible one has $\mathcal{F}(S) = \mathcal{F}(STT^{-1}) \subset \mathcal{F}(ST)$ which proves the equality.

ii) If S is group-like, one has

$$\langle S|u \rangle \langle S|v \rangle = \langle S \otimes S|u \otimes v \rangle = \langle \Delta(S)|u \otimes v \rangle = \langle S|u \sqcup v \rangle \quad (59)$$

In the case when all functions $\langle S|w \rangle$ are \mathbb{C} -linearly independant, one has a correspondence between the Differential Galois group of a differential equation of type (44) (acting on the right) and the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{F}(S)$ compatible with the preceding filtration (they turn out to be unipotent).

Proposition 6.2 *Let S be a group-like series. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- i) For every $x \in X$, $\ker_{\mathbb{C}}(S) \subset \ker_{\mathbb{C}}(Sx)$.
- ii) For every $x \in X$, there is a derivation $\delta_x \in \mathfrak{Der}(\mathcal{F}(S))$ such that

$$\delta_x(S) = Sx \quad (60)$$

iii) For every $x \in X$, there is a one-paramater group of automorphisms $\phi_x^t \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(S))$; $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\phi_x^t(S) = Se^{tx} \quad (61)$$

iv) For every $C \in \text{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, there is $\delta \in \mathfrak{Der}(\mathcal{F}(S))$ such that

$$\delta(S) = SC \quad (62)$$

v) For every $C \in \text{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, there is $\phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(S))$ such that

$$\phi(S) = Se^C \quad (63)$$

vi) The functions $(\langle S|w \rangle)_{w \in X^*}$ are \mathbb{C} -linearly independant.

Proof — i) \implies ii) From the inclusion, we derive that, for all $x \in X$ there exists a \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $\phi \in \text{End}(\mathcal{F}(S))$ such that for all $w \in \mathcal{M}$, $\phi(\langle S|w \rangle) = \langle Sx|w \rangle$. It must be a derivation of $\mathcal{F}(S)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\langle S|u \rangle \langle S|v \rangle) &= \phi(\langle S|u \sqcup v \rangle) = \langle Sx|u \sqcup v \rangle = \langle S|(u \sqcup v)x^{-1} \rangle = \\ &= \langle S|(ux^{-1} \sqcup v) + (u \sqcup vx^{-1}) \rangle = \langle S|(ux^{-1} \sqcup v) \rangle \langle S|(u \sqcup vx^{-1}) \rangle = \\ &= \langle Sx|u \rangle \langle S|v \rangle + \langle S|u \rangle \langle Sx|v \rangle = \phi(\langle S|u \rangle) \langle S|v \rangle + \langle S|u \rangle \phi(\langle S|v \rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

from the fact that $(\langle S|w \rangle)_{w \in X^*}$ spans $\mathcal{F}(S)$.

ii) \implies iv) As $(\langle S|w \rangle)_{w \in X^*}$ spans $\mathcal{F}(S)$, the derivation ϕ is uniquely defined. Let us note it δ_x and notice that, doing so, we have constructed a mapping $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{Der}(\mathcal{F}(S))$ (which is Lie algebra. Therefore, there is a unique extension of this mapping as a morphism $\text{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle X \rangle \rightarrow \mathfrak{Der}(\mathcal{F}(S))$. This correspondence, which we will note $P \rightarrow \delta(P)$ is (uniquely) recursively defined by

$$\delta(x) = \delta_x ; \delta([P, Q]) = [\delta(P), \delta(Q)] . \quad (65)$$

For $C = \sum_{n \geq 0} C_n \in \text{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ with $C_n \in \text{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle X \rangle_n$, we remark that the sequence $\langle S \sum_{0 \leq n \leq N} C_n | w \rangle$ is stable (for large N). Set $\delta_{\leq N} := \delta(\sum_{0 \leq n \leq N} C_n)$. We see that $\delta_{\leq N}$ is stable (for large N) on every \mathcal{F}_α and we note $\delta(C)$ its limit. It is clear that this limit is a derivation and that it corresponds to C .

iv) \implies v) For every $C = \sum_{n \geq 0} C_n \in \text{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, the exponential e^C defines a mapping $\phi \in \text{End}(\mathcal{F}(S))$ as indeed $e^{\delta_{\leq N}}$ is stationnary. It is easily checked that this mapping is an automorphism of algebra of $\mathcal{F}(S)$.

v) \implies iii) For $C_i \in \text{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$; $i = 1, 2$ which commute we have

$$Se^{C_1}e^{C_2} = \phi_{C_1}(S)e^{C_2} = \phi_{C_1}(Se^{C_2}) = \phi_{C_1}\phi_{C_2}(S) \quad (66)$$

this proves the existence, for a $C \in \text{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ of a one-parameter (rational) group ϕ_C^t in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(S))$ such that $Se^{tC} = \phi_C^t(S)$. This one-parameter (rational) group can be extended to \mathbb{R} as continuity is easily checked by taking the scalar products $\langle \phi_C^t(S) | w \rangle = \langle Se^{tC} | w \rangle$ and it suffices to specialize the result to $C = x$.

iii) \implies ii) By stationary limits one has

$$\langle Sx|w \rangle = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (\langle Se^{tx} | w \rangle - \langle S|w \rangle) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (\langle \phi_x^t(S) | w \rangle - \langle S|w \rangle) \quad (67)$$

$v) \implies i)$ Let $x \in X, t \in \mathbb{R}$, we take $C = tx$ and $\phi_t \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(S))$ s.t. $\phi_t(S) = Se^{tx}$. It there is $P \in \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ such that $\langle S|P \rangle = 0$ one has

$$0 = \langle S|P \rangle = \phi_t(\langle S|P \rangle) = \langle \phi_t(S)|P \rangle = \langle Se^{tx}|P \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\deg(P)} \frac{t^n}{n!} \langle Sx^n|P \rangle \quad (68)$$

and then, for all $z \in V$, the polynomial

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\deg(P)} \frac{t^n}{n!} \langle S(z)x^n|P \rangle \quad (69)$$

is identically zero over \mathbb{R} hence so are all of its coefficients in particular $\langle S(z)x|P \rangle$ for all $z \in V$. This proves the claim.

$i) \implies vi)$ Let $P \in \ker_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$ if $P \neq 0$ take it of minimal degree with this property. For all $x \in X$, one has $P \in \ker_{\mathbb{C}}(Sx)$ which means $\langle Sx|P \rangle = 0$ and then $Px^\dagger = 0$ as $\deg(Px^\dagger) = \deg(P) - 1$. The reconstruction lemma implies that

$$P = \langle P|1 \rangle + \sum_{x \in X} (Px^\dagger)x = \langle P|1 \rangle \quad (70)$$

Then, one has $0 = \langle S|P \rangle = \langle S|1 \rangle \langle P|1 \rangle = \langle P|1 \rangle$ which shows that $\ker_{\mathbb{C}}(S) = \{0\}$. This is equivalent to the statement (vi).

$vi) \implies i)$ Is obvious as $\ker_{\mathbb{C}}(S) = \{0\}$.

□

It is possible to enlarge somehow the range of proposition (6.1) to coefficients that are analytic functions $f : \text{dom}(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 6.3 We call here differential field of germs w.r.t. a filter basis \mathbb{B} of open connected subsets of V , a map \mathcal{C} defined on \mathbb{B} such that for every $U \in \mathbb{B}$, $\mathcal{C}[U]$ is a subring of $C^\omega(U, \mathbb{C})$ and

1. \mathcal{C} is compatible with restrictions i.e. if $U, V \in \mathbb{B}$ and $V \subset U$, one has

$$\text{res}_{VU}(\mathcal{C}[U]) \subset \mathcal{C}[V]$$

2. if $f \in \mathcal{C}[U] \setminus \{0\}$ then there exists $V \in \mathbb{B}$ s.t. $V \subset U - \mathcal{O}_f$ and f^{-1} (defined on V) is in $\mathcal{C}[V]$.

For any $U \in \mathbb{B}$, we note $\mathcal{C}[U]$ the ring of functions in \mathcal{C} defined on U and restricted to this set.

There are important cases when the conditions (6.1) are satisfied as shows the following theorem.

Theorem 6.4 Let V be a simply connected non-void open subset of $\mathbb{C} - \{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$ ($\{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$ are distinct points), $M = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\lambda_i x_i}{z - a_i}$ be a multiplier on $X = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ with all $\lambda_i \neq 0$ and S be any regular solution of

$$\frac{d}{dz} S = MS \quad (71)$$

Then, let \mathcal{C} be a differential field of functions defined on V which do not contain linear combinations of logarithms on any domain but which contains z and the constants (as, for example the rational functions).

If U is a non-void domain of \mathcal{C} and $P \in \mathcal{C}[U]\langle X \rangle$, one has

$$\langle S|P \rangle = 0 \implies P = 0 \quad (72)$$

Proof — Let $U \in \mathbb{B}$. For every non-zero $Q \in \mathcal{C}[U]\langle X \rangle$, we note $lead(Q)$ the greatest word in the support of Q for the graded lexicographic ordering \prec (we have endowed X with any linear ordering) and call Q monic if the leading coefficient $\langle Q|lead(Q) \rangle$ is 1. A monic polynomial then reads

$$Q = w + \sum_{u \prec w} \langle Q|u \rangle u . \quad (73)$$

Suppose now that it is possible to find U and $P \in \mathcal{C}[U]\langle X \rangle$ (not necessarily monic) such that $\langle S|P \rangle = 0$, we choose P with $lead(P)$ minimal for \prec .

Then

$$P = f(z)w + \sum_{u \prec w} \langle P|u \rangle u \quad (74)$$

with $f \neq 0$. Thus $U_1 = U \setminus \mathcal{O}_f \in \mathbb{B}$ and $Q = \frac{1}{f(z)}P \in \mathcal{C}[U_1]\langle X \rangle$ is monic and satisfies

$$\langle S|Q \rangle = 0 . \quad (75)$$

Differentiating eq. (75), we get

$$0 = \langle S'|Q \rangle + \langle S|Q' \rangle = \langle MS|Q \rangle + \langle S|Q' \rangle = \langle S|Q' + M^\dagger Q \rangle . \quad (76)$$

Remark that one has

$$Q' + M^\dagger Q \in \mathcal{C}[U_1]\langle X \rangle \quad (77)$$

If $Q' + M^\dagger Q \neq 0$, one has $lead(Q' + M^\dagger Q) \prec lead(Q)$ and this is not possible because of the minimality hypothesis of $lead(Q) = lead(P)$. Hence, one must have $R = Q' + M^\dagger Q = 0$. With $|w| = n$, write now

$$Q = Q_n + \sum_{|u| < n} \langle Q|u \rangle u . \quad (78)$$

where $Q_n = \sum_{|u|=n} \langle Q|u \rangle u$ is the dominant homogeneous component of Q . For every $|u| = n$ we have

$$(\langle Q|u \rangle)' = -\langle M^\dagger Q|u \rangle = -\langle Q|M u \rangle = 0 \quad (79)$$

thus all the coefficients of Q_n are constant.

If $n = 0$, $Q \neq 0$ is constant which is impossible by eq. (75) and because S is regular. If $n > 0$, for any word $|v| = n - 1$, we have

$$(\langle Q|v \rangle)' = -\langle M^\dagger Q|v \rangle = -\langle Q|M v \rangle = -\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{z - a_i} \langle Q|x_i v \rangle = -\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{z - a_i} \langle Q_n|x_i v \rangle \quad (80)$$

Because all $x_i v$ are of length n .

Then

$$\langle Q|v \rangle = -\sum_{i=0}^n \langle Q_n|x_i v \rangle \int_{\alpha}^z \frac{\lambda_i}{s - a_i} ds + const \quad (81)$$

But all the functions $\int_{\alpha}^z \frac{\lambda_i}{s-a_i} ds$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{C} and not all the scalars $\langle Q_n | x_i v \rangle$ are zero (write $w = x_k v$ and choose v such). This contradicts the fact that $Q \in \mathcal{C}[U_1] \langle X \rangle$ as \mathcal{C} does contain no linear combination of logarithms. \square

Remark 6.5 *i) If a series satisfies the equivalent conditions of the theorem (6.2), then every $Se^{\mathcal{C}}$ so does.*

ii) Series as the one of polylogarithms and all the exponential solutions of equation

$$\frac{d}{dz}(S) = \left(\frac{x_0}{z} + \frac{x_1}{1-z}\right)S \quad (82)$$

satisfy conditions of the theorem (6.2) as shows theorem (6.4).

iii) One could ask oneself what happens when these conditions are not satisfied. In fact the set of Lie series $C \in \text{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}} \langle \langle \mathbb{X} \rangle \rangle$ such that it exists a $\phi \in \text{End}(\mathcal{F}(S))$ (then a derivation) s.t. $SC = \phi(S)$ is a closed Lie subalgebra of $\text{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}} \langle \langle \mathbb{X} \rangle \rangle$ which we will note Lie_S . For example

- *for $X = \{x_0, x_1\}$ and $S = e^{zx_0}$ one has $x_0 \in \text{Lie}_S$; $x_1 \notin \text{Lie}_S$*
- *for $X = \{x_0, x_1\}$ and $S = e^{z(x_0+x_1)}$, one has $x_0, x_1 \notin \text{Lie}_S$ but $(x_0 + x_1) \in \text{Lie}_S$.*

6.2 Polylogarithms and related functions

Here X is still the finite alphabet $\{x_0, x_1\}$ equipped with the order $x_0 < x_1$ and let \mathcal{C} be the ring $\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}, (1-z)^{-1}]$.

The iterated integral over ω_0, ω_1 associated to $w = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}$ over X and along the integration path $z_0 \rightsquigarrow z$ is the following multiple integral defined by

$$\int_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} \omega_{i_1} \cdots \omega_{i_k} = \int_{z_0}^z \omega_{i_1}(t_1) \int_{z_0}^{t_1} \omega_{i_2}(t_2) \cdots \int_{z_0}^{t_{r-2}} \omega_{i_r}(t_{r-1}) \int_{z_0}^{t_{r-1}} \omega_{i_r}(t_r), \quad (83)$$

where $t_1 \cdots t_{r-1}$ is a subdivision of the path $z_0 \rightsquigarrow z$. In a shortened notation, we denote this integral by $\alpha_{z_0}^z(w)$ and¹ $\alpha_{z_0}^z(1_{X^*}) = 1$. One can check that the polylogarithm $\text{Li}_{s_1, \dots, s_r}$ is also the value of the iterated integral over ω_0, ω_1 and along the integration path $0 \rightsquigarrow z$ [?, ?] :

$$\text{Li}_w(z) = \alpha_0^z(x_0^{s_1-1} x_1 \dots x_0^{s_r-1} x_1). \quad (84)$$

The definition of polylogarithms is extended over the words $w \in X^*$ by putting $\text{Li}_{x_0}(z) := \log z$. The $\{\text{Li}_w\}_{w \in X^*}$ are \mathcal{C} -linearly independent [?, ?]. In order to, define $L = \sum_{w \in X^*} \text{Li}_w w$, one also can use an integrator with variable lower integration bounds as one described by (54) with $M_2 = 0$, $a(u) = 1$ for $u \in x_0^*$ and $a(u) = 0$ for $u \in X^* x_1 X^*$.

Indeed, L is group-like but, to show this one cannot use Thm 5.1 (iii) because the lower bounds of the integrals are different. So one first shows that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \exp(-x_0 \log z) L(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} L(z) \exp(-x_0 \log z) = 1 \quad (85)$$

¹Here, 1_{X^*} stands for the empty word over X .

which can be done as follows. One first remarks that, in case w contains at least one x_1 (i.e. $|w|_{x_1} \geq 1$) and for every k

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \log(z)^k \langle L(z)|w \rangle = 0 \quad (86)$$

then, setting $L^+(z) = \sum_{|w|_{x_1} \geq 1} \langle L(z)|w \rangle w$, one has

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \exp(-x_0 \log z) L^+(z) = L^+(z) \exp(-x_0 \log z) = 0 \quad (87)$$

and as

$$L(z) = L^+(z) + \sum_{w \in (x_0)^*} \langle L(z)|w \rangle w = L^+(z) + \exp(x_0 \log z) \quad (88)$$

the result follows.

The following functions

$$\forall w \in X^*, \quad P_w(z) = (1 - z)^{-1} \text{Li}_w(z), \quad (89)$$

are also \mathcal{C} -linearly independent, as \mathcal{C} is an integral domain, by the following lemma easy to check

Lemma 6.6 *Let \mathcal{A} be an integral domain and M an \mathcal{A} -module. If $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ is a linearly independent family and $b \neq 0$ in \mathcal{A} , then $(bx_i)_{i \in I}$ is linearly independent.*

Since, for any $w \in Y^*$, P_w is the ordinary generating function of the sequence $\{\mathcal{H}_w(N)\}_{N \geq 0}$:

$$P_w(z) = \sum_{N \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_w(N) z^N \quad (90)$$

then, as a consequence of the classical isomorphism between convergent Taylor series and their associated sums, the harmonic sums $\{\mathcal{H}_w\}_{w \in Y^*}$ are \mathbb{C} -linearly independent. Firstly, $\ker P = \{0\}$ and $\ker \mathcal{H} = \{0\}$, and secondly, P is a morphism transporting the shuffle to the Hadamard product :

$$P_u(z) \odot P_v(z) = \sum_{N \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_u(N) \mathcal{H}_v(N) z^N = \sum_{N \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_{u \sqcup v}(N) z^N = P_{u \sqcup v}(z). \quad (91)$$

7 Conclusion

To sum up what has been done in this paper? we can state that the deformed algebra **LDIAG**(q_c, q_s), which originates from a special quantum field theory [?], is free and its law can be constructed from very general procedures: it is a shifted twisted law. Before shifting, one can observe that the law is, in fact, dual to a comultiplication on a free algebra. This comultiplication is a perturbation, with q_s (the superposition parameter) of the shuffle comultiplication on this free algebra. The parameter q_s is obtained by addition of a perturbing factor which is just dual to a (diagonally) deformed law of a semigroup whereas the crossing parameter q_c is obtained by extending to the tensor structure (i.e. to words) a colour factor of an algebra.

References

- [1] E. Abe, *Hopf algebras*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1980.
- [2] J. Berstel, C. Reutenauer, *Rational series and their languages*. EATCS Monographs on Theoretical Computer Science, Springer, 1988.
- [3] N. Bourbaki, *Theory of sets*, Springer (2004).
- [4] N. Bourbaki, *Algebra, chapter III*, Springer (1970)
- [5] N. Bourbaki, *Lie algebras and Lie groups, chapter II and III*, Springer (1970)