

# Independance of hyperlogarithms over function fields through algebraic combinatorics on words.

M. DENEUFCHÂTEL, G. H. E. DUCHAMP,  
Hoang Ngoc Minh and  
ALLAN I. SOLOMON \*

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Series with variable coefficients.</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1	Motivations. . . . .	1
2.2	General setting . . . . .	2
2.3	Non commutative differential equations (abstract setting). . . . .	4
<b>3</b>	<b>Applications of the main theorem.</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1	Independance of the polylogarithms. . . . .	6
<b>4</b>	<b>Réserve à ordonner</b>	<b>6</b>
4.0.1	Proof of theorem (4.1) . . . . .	7
<b>5</b>	<b>Coordinates of group-like elements.</b>	<b>8</b>
5.1	Through the looking glass: passing from right to left. . . . .	8
5.2	Polylogarithms and related functions . . . . .	13
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>14</b>

## Abstract

Group-like series ...

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Series with variable coefficients.

### 2.1 Motivations.

In this section, we implement an abstract setting which is intended to apply on function spaces. As a motivation, let us illustrate this by an example.

---

\*LIPN - UMR 7030 CNRS - Université Paris 13 F-93430 Villetaneuse, France

Let  $V$  be a connected and simply connected analytic variety of dimension one (for example, the doubly cut plane  $\mathbb{C} - (]-\infty, 0[ \cup ]1, +\infty[)$ , or the universal covering of  $\mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\}$ ),  $\mathcal{H} = C^\omega(V; \mathbb{C})$  be the space of all analytic functions on  $V$ . This space is a differential algebra with the derivative  $\frac{d}{dz}$ . One extends at once this derivative (then denoted by  $\mathbf{d}$ ) to  $\mathcal{H}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$  by

$$\mathbf{d}(S) = \sum_{w \in X^*} \frac{d}{dz} (\langle S|w \rangle) w \quad (1)$$

it is easy to check (proof in the general case below) that  $\mathbf{d}$  is a derivation of the algebra  $\mathcal{H}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ . Differential equations of the type

$$\mathbf{d}(S) = MS \quad (2)$$

where  $M = \sum_{x \in X} u_x(z)x$  were widely considered in the domains of (*à faire* automatique, Drinfel'd, Weshung, etc...) and provide, through integrators build by iterated integrals, spaces of special functions. An immediate application of theorem (*à faire* ??) below provides the result that, for any solution of (2) (with  $\langle S|1 \rangle = 1$ ), the family of functions  $(\langle S|w \rangle)_{w \in X^*}$  is free over the field of rational functions on  $V$ .

## 2.2 General setting

Let  $\mathcal{M}$ , be a locally finite monoid [21] and  $\mathcal{H}\langle\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle\rangle$ , be the large algebra [4] of  $\mathcal{M}$  with coefficients in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a locally finite monoid [21] and  $V$  be a set (where the variable  $z$  stands) and  $\mathcal{H} \subset C^V$ , an algebra of functions. Every series  $S \in \mathcal{H}\langle\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle\rangle$  can be written

$$S := \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \langle S|m \rangle m \quad (3)$$

(as the family  $(\langle S|m \rangle)_{m \in \mathcal{M}}$  is summable). Thus, one can consider the series of  $\mathcal{H}\langle\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle\rangle$  as functions on  $V$  (with values in  $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle\rangle$ ) and specialize them by

$$S(z_0) := \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \left( \langle S|m \rangle \right) \Big|_{z=z_0} m . \quad (4)$$

Moreover, if  $d$  is a derivation in  $\mathcal{H}$ , its extension to  $\mathcal{H}\langle\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle\rangle$  “coefficient by coefficient” given as

$$\mathbf{d}(S) := \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} d(\langle S|m \rangle) m \quad (5)$$

is a derivation of  $\mathcal{H}\langle\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle\rangle$ . Let us show, on an example, how the proof of theorem *à faire* ?? below works on an example.

The data are

1.  $X = \{x_0, x_1\}$
2.  $V$  is a connected and simply connected subset of  $\mathbb{C}$
3.  $\mathcal{H} = C^\omega(V, \mathbb{C})$  which does not contain  $\{0, 1\}$ , endowed with the derivation  $\frac{d}{dz}$
4.  $M = \frac{x_0}{z} + \frac{x_1}{1-z}$

5. **Statement** : If  $S$  is any solution of

$$\mathbf{d}(S) = MS ; \langle S|1 \rangle = 1 \quad (6)$$

then the functions  $(\langle S|w \rangle)_{w \in X^*}$  are linearly independent over the field of rational functions i.e. if

$$f_i = \frac{p_i}{q_i}, \quad i = 1 \cdots N ; \quad p_i, q_i \in \mathbb{C}[z] \quad (7)$$

and  $w_i \in X^*$  are such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N f_i(z) \langle S|w_i \rangle = 0 \quad (8)$$

on some open (non void) set (which does not contain the poles of the  $f_i$ ) then

$$(\forall i \in [1 \cdots N])(f_i \equiv 0) . \quad (9)$$

6. **An example for the recursion** Order  $X$  by  $x_0 < x_1$  and use  $\prec_{glex}$ , the graded lexicographic ordering on  $X^*$ . For each non-trivial relation (REL) (if there are such)

$$\sum_{i=1}^N f_i(z) \langle S|w_i \rangle = 0 \quad (10)$$

we consider the leading monomial  $lead(\text{REL}) = \sup\{w_i | f_i \not\equiv 0\}$ . If there were nontrivial relations, we could take one with the least possible leading monomial and the relation itself.

**Assumption 1** Suppose that the set of its monomials be  $\{x_0, x_1, x_1x_0, x_0^2x_1\}$  with  $lead(\text{REL}) = x_0^2x_1$ . One has

$$f_{x_0}(z) \langle S|x_0 \rangle + f_{x_1}(z) \langle S|x_1 \rangle + f_{x_1x_0}(z) \langle S|x_1x_0 \rangle + f_{x_0^2x_1}(z) \langle S|x_0^2x_1 \rangle = 0 . \quad (11)$$

which is defined on  $U$  intersection of the domains  $U_0 = \text{dom}(f_{w_i})$ .

At the cost of restricting the relation to  $U_1 = U_0 \setminus \mathcal{O}_{f_{x_0^2x_1}}$  one has also

$$g_{x_0}(z) \langle S|x_0 \rangle + g_{x_1}(z) \langle S|x_1 \rangle + g_{x_1x_0}(z) \langle S|x_1x_0 \rangle + \langle S|x_0^2x_1 \rangle = 0 \quad (12)$$

with  $g_i = \frac{f_i}{f_{x_0^2x_1}}$ . Differentiating (12), we get

$$g'_{x_0}(z) \langle S|x_0 \rangle + g_{x_0}(z) \langle S'|x_0 \rangle + g'_{x_1}(z) \langle S|x_1 \rangle + g_{x_1}(z) \langle S'|x_1 \rangle + g'_{x_1x_0}(z) \langle S|x_1x_0 \rangle + g_{x_1x_0}(z) \langle S'|x_1x_0 \rangle + \langle S'|x_0^2x_1 \rangle = 0 . \quad (13)$$

As  $S' = MS$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S'|x_0u \rangle &= \langle MS|x_0u \rangle = \frac{1}{z} \langle S|u \rangle \text{ and} \\ \langle S'|x_1u \rangle &= \langle MS|x_1u \rangle = \frac{1}{1-z} \langle S|u \rangle . \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

With this in hand (13) becomes

$$g'_{x_0}(z) \langle S|x_0 \rangle + g_{x_0}(z) \frac{1}{z} \langle S|1 \rangle + g'_{x_1}(z) \langle S|x_1 \rangle + g_{x_1}(z) \frac{1}{1-z} \langle S|1 \rangle +$$

$$g'_{x_1x_0}(z)\langle S|x_1x_0\rangle + g_{x_1x_0}(z)\frac{1}{1-z}\langle S|x_0\rangle + \frac{1}{z}\langle S|x_0x_1\rangle = 0 . \quad (15)$$

which is of rank strictly less than (11) and then should be trivial. A contradiction as  $\frac{1}{z}$  is zero in no non-void open subset ; “**Assumption 1**” must be false and we are done.

□

### 2.3 Non commutative differential equations (abstract setting).

The ground field  $k$  is supposed commutative and of characteristic zero. We suppose given a commutative differential  $k$ -algebra  $(\mathcal{A}, d)$  that is a  $k$ -algebra (associative and commutative with unit)  $\mathcal{A}$  endowed with an element  $d \in \mathfrak{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ . We will suppose that the ring of constants  $\ker(d)$  is exactly  $k$ .

An alphabet  $X$  being given, one can at once extend the derivation  $d$  to the algebra  $\mathcal{A}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ , as in (1) by

$$\mathbf{d}(S) = \sum_{w \in X^*} d(\langle S|w \rangle)w . \quad (16)$$

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $(\mathcal{A}, d)$  be a  $k$ -commutative associative differential algebra with unit ( $ch(k) = 0$ ) and  $\mathcal{C}$  be a differential subfield of  $\mathcal{A}$  (i.e.  $d(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{C}$ ). We suppose that  $S \in \mathcal{A}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$  is a solution of the differential equation*

$$\mathbf{d}(S) = MS ; \langle S|1 \rangle = 1 \quad (17)$$

where the multiplier  $M = \sum_{x \in X} u_x x \in \mathcal{C}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$  is an homogeneous series (a polynomial in case  $X$  is finite) of degree 1.

The following condition are equivalent :

- i) The family  $(\langle S|w \rangle)_{w \in X^*}$  of coefficients of  $S$  is free over  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- ii) The family of coefficients  $(\langle S|y \rangle)_{y \in X \cup \{1_{X^*}\}}$  is free over  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- iii) The family  $(u_x)_{x \in X}$  is such that, for  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\alpha_x \in k$

$$d(f) = \sum_{x \in X} \alpha_x u_x \implies (\forall x \in X)(\alpha_x = 0) . \quad (18)$$

iv) The family  $(u_x)_{x \in X}$  is free over  $k$  and

$$d(\mathcal{C}) \cap \text{span}_k\left(\left(u_x\right)_{x \in X}\right) = \{0\} . \quad (19)$$

*Proof* — (i) $\implies$ (ii) Obvious.

(ii) $\implies$ (iii)

Suppose that the family  $(\langle S|y \rangle)_{y \in X \cup \{1_{X^*}\}}$  (coefficients taken at letters and the empty word) of coefficients of  $S$  is free over  $\mathcal{C}$  and let us consider a relation as eq. (18)

$$d(f) = \sum_{x \in X} \alpha_x u_x . \quad (20)$$

We form the polynomial  $P = -f1_{X^*} + \sum_{x \in X} \alpha_x x$ . One has  $\mathbf{d}(P) = -d(f)1_{X^*}$  and

$$d(\langle S|P \rangle) = \langle \mathbf{d}(S)|P \rangle + \langle S|\mathbf{d}(P) \rangle = \langle MS|P \rangle - d(f)\langle S|1_{X^*} \rangle = \left( \sum_{x \in X} \alpha_x u_x \right) - d(f) = 0 \quad (21)$$

and, then  $\langle S|P \rangle$  must be a constant, say  $\lambda \in k$ . For  $Q = P - \lambda 1_{X^*}$ , we have

$$\text{supp}(Q) \subset X \cup \{1_{X^*}\} \text{ and } \langle S|Q \rangle = \langle S|P \rangle - \lambda \langle S|1_{X^*} \rangle = \langle S|P \rangle - \lambda = 0 .$$

This implies that  $Q = 0$  and, as  $Q = -(f + \lambda)1_{X^*} + \sum_{x \in X} \alpha_x x$ , one has, in particular, all the  $\alpha_x = 0$ .

(iii)  $\iff$  (iv)

Obvious, (iv) being a geometric reformulation of (iii).

(iii)  $\iff$  (i)

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the kernel of  $P \mapsto \langle S|P \rangle$  (a linear form  $\mathcal{C}\langle X \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ ) i.e.

$$\mathcal{K} = \{P \in \mathcal{C}\langle X \rangle \mid \langle S|P \rangle = 0\} . \quad (22)$$

If  $\mathcal{K} = \{0\}$ , we are done. Otherwise, let us adopt the following strategy.

First, we order  $X$  by some well-ordering  $<$  ([3] III.2.1) and  $X^*$  by the graded lexicographic ordering  $\prec$  defined by

$$u \prec v \iff |u| < |v| \text{ or } (u = p x s_1, v = p y s_2 \text{ and } x < y) \quad (23)$$

it is easy to check that  $\prec$  is also a well-ordering relation. For each nonzero polynomial  $P$ , we note  $\text{lead}(P)$  its leading monomial i.e. the greatest element of its support  $\text{supp}(P)$  (for  $\prec$ ).

Now, as  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{K} - \{0\}$  is not empty, let  $w_0$  be the minimal element of  $\text{lead}(\mathcal{R})$  and choose a  $P \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $\text{lead}(P) = w_0$ . We write

$$P = f w_0 + \sum_{u \prec w_0} \langle P|u \rangle u ; f \in \mathcal{C} - \{0\} . \quad (24)$$

the polynomial  $Q = \frac{1}{f}P$  is also in  $\mathcal{R}$  with the same leading monomial, but the leading coefficient is now 1 and  $Q$  reads

$$Q = w_0 + \sum_{u \prec w_0} \langle Q|u \rangle u . \quad (25)$$

Differentiating  $\langle S|Q \rangle = 0$ , one gets

$$0 = \langle \mathbf{d}(S)|Q \rangle + \langle S|\mathbf{d}(Q) \rangle = \langle MS|Q \rangle + \langle S|\mathbf{d}(Q) \rangle = \langle S|M^\dagger Q \rangle + \langle S|\mathbf{d}(Q) \rangle = \langle S|M^\dagger Q + \mathbf{d}(Q) \rangle \quad (26)$$

with

$$M^\dagger Q + \mathbf{d}(Q) = \sum_{x \in X} u_x (x^\dagger Q) + \sum_{u \prec w_0} d(\langle Q|u \rangle) u \in \mathcal{C}\langle X \rangle . \quad (27)$$

It is impossible that  $M^\dagger Q + \mathbf{d}(Q) \in \mathcal{R}$  because it would be of leading monomial strictly less than  $w_0$ , hence  $M^\dagger Q + \mathbf{d}(Q) = 0$ . This is equivalent to the recursion

$$d(\langle Q|u \rangle) = - \sum_{x \in X} u_x \langle Q|x u \rangle ; \text{ for } x \in X, v \in X^* \quad (28)$$

From this last relation, we derive that  $\langle Q|w\rangle \in k$  for every  $w$  of length  $\deg(Q)$  and, because  $\langle S|1\rangle = 1$ , one must have  $\deg(Q) > 0$ . Then, write  $w_0 = x_0v$  and compute the coefficient at  $v$

$$d(\langle Q|v\rangle) = - \sum_{x \in X} u_x \langle Q|xv\rangle = \sum_{x \in X} \alpha_x u_x \quad (29)$$

with coefficients  $\alpha_x = -\langle Q|xv\rangle \in k$  as  $|xv| = \deg(Q)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Condition **PI** implies that all coefficients  $\langle Q|xv\rangle$  are zero, in particular, as  $\langle Q|x_0u\rangle = 1$ , we get a contradiction. This proves that  $\mathcal{K} = \{0\}$ .

□

### 3 Applications of the main theorem.

#### 3.1 Independance of the polylogarithms.

Let  $V$  be a connected and simply connected analytic variety of dimension 1 (for example, the doubly cut plane  $\mathbb{C} - (]-\infty, 0] \cup [1, +\infty[)$ , or the universal covering of  $\mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\}$ ),  $\mathcal{A} = C^\omega(V; \mathbb{C})$  be the space of analytic fonctions on  $V$  endowed with the derivative  $d = \frac{d}{dz}$ . Let  $X = \{x_0, x_1\}$  and  $X^*$  be the free monoid on  $X$ . It is locally finite [21] and we note  $\mathcal{A}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$  its large algebra [4] (with coefficients in  $\mathcal{A}$ ).

### 4 Réserve à ordonner

We will use three types of differential equations.

a) **Left-sided equation**

$$\frac{d}{dz}S(z) = M(z)S(z) \quad (30)$$

b) **Right-sided equation**

$$\frac{d}{dz}S(z) = S(z)M(z) \quad (31)$$

c) **Two-sided equation**

$$\frac{d}{dz}S(z) = M_1(z)S(z) + S(z)M_2(z) \quad (32)$$

with  $M, M_i \in \mathcal{H}_{\geq 1}\langle\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle\rangle$ . One first give the resolution of equations of type (32) as their properties specialize, with  $M_2 = 0$  (resp.  $M_1 = 0$ ) to the type (30) (resp. (31)).

**Theorem 4.1** *With the preceding assumptions.*

*i) Equation (32) has solutions all of the form*

$$S = (H_{z_0}^z)^* S_0 \quad (33)$$

where  $H_{z_0}^z$  is the operator

$$G \mapsto \int_{z_0}^z \left( M_1(s)G(s) + G(s)M_2(s) \right) ds \quad (34)$$

and  $S_0 = S(z_0)$  is a constant series.

ii) Two solutions which coincide at a point do coincide everywhere.

iii) Let  $\Delta$  be a closable comultiplication with constant coefficients and suppose that  $M_1, M_2$  in (32) are primitive elements for  $\Delta$  i.e.

$$\Delta(M_i) = M_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes M_i ; i = 1, 2 .$$

Then if  $S$ , a solution of (32), is group-like at a point of  $V$ , it is group-like everywhere in  $V$ .

iv) The constant term of  $S$  is constant on  $V$  (and is that of  $S_0$ ), in particular, if a solution is invertible at a point, it is so everywhere (these solutions will be called regular).

v) Let  $S$  be a regular solution of an equation of type (30) with primitive multiplier. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on  $V$  (neighbourhoods of 0, of 1, of infinity etc.) and one supposes that  $S$  is asymptotically equivalent to  $G$  (w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}$  i.e.  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}}(G^{-1}S) = 1$ ). Then  $S$  is group-like.

#### 4.0.1 Proof of theorem (4.1)

i) The integrator  $H = H_{z_0}^z \in \text{End}(\mathcal{H}\langle\langle\mathcal{M}\rangle\rangle)$  satisfies

$$H^n(\mathcal{H}\langle\langle\mathcal{M}\rangle\rangle) \subset \mathcal{H}_{\geq n}\langle\langle\mathcal{M}\rangle\rangle$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that  $(H^n)_{n \geq 0}$  is summable for the  $\mathfrak{M}$ -adic topology of  $\text{End}^{filtr}(\mathcal{H}_{\geq 1}\langle\langle\mathcal{M}\rangle\rangle)$  given by the ideal  $\mathfrak{M}$  of operators  $\phi$  such that  $\phi(\mathcal{H}\langle\langle\mathcal{M}\rangle\rangle) \subset \mathcal{H}_{\geq 1}\langle\langle\mathcal{M}\rangle\rangle$ . Let  $H^* = \sum_{n \geq 0} H^n$  be its sum. For  $S_0$  a constant series, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz}(H^*[S_0]) &= \frac{d}{dz}(I + HH^*)[S_0] = \\ \frac{d}{dz}(S_0) + \frac{d}{dz}(HH^*)[S_0] &= M_1H^*[S_0] + H^*[S_0]M_2 \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Conversely, if  $S$  is a solution of (30), then  $S_0 = (I - H)[S]$  is a constant series as

$$\frac{d}{dz}(S_0) = \frac{d}{dz}(I - H)[S] = \frac{d}{dz}S - \frac{d}{dz}(H)[S] = M_1S + SM_2 - (M_1S + SM_2) = 0 .$$

Moreover  $S = (I - H)^{-1}[S_0] = H^*[S_0]$  and then  $S_0 = S(\alpha)$ .

ii) If two solutions  $S_1, S_2$  coincide at  $z_1 \in V$ , one can construct the operator  $H$  with formula (34) and  $\alpha = z_1$ . One has then  $S_1 = H^*(S_1(z_1)) = H^*(S_2(z_1)) = S_2$ .

iii) A comultiplication with constant coefficients permute with the derivation operator hence, if  $S$  is a solution of (32), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz}(\Delta(S)) &= \Delta\left(\frac{d}{dz}(S)\right) = \Delta(M_1S + SM_2) = \\ &\Delta(M_1)\Delta(S) + \Delta(S)\Delta(M_2) \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

this proves that  $\Delta(S)$  satisfies a two sided differential equation. On the other hand,  $S \otimes S$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz}(S \otimes S) &= \frac{d}{dz}(S) \otimes S + S \otimes \frac{d}{dz}(S) = \\ (M_1S + SM_2) \otimes S + S \otimes (M_1S + SM_2) &= \end{aligned}$$

$$(M_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes M_1)(S \otimes S) + (S \otimes S)(M_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes M_1) \quad (37)$$

which proves that, if  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are primitive,  $\Delta(S)$  and  $S \otimes S$  satisfy the same differential equation. By virtue of (ii), if  $S$  is group-like at a point, it is so everywhere. In particular (and this will be used in (v)), if  $S$  is an invertible solution of an equation of type (32), with  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  primitive, then, for  $z_0 \in V$ ,  $S(z)S(z_0)^{-1}$  is group-like.

iv) Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S|1_{X^*} \rangle &= \langle H^*[S_0]|1_{X^*} \rangle = \langle (I + HH^*)[S_0]|1_{X^*} \rangle = \\ &= \langle S_0|1_{X^*} \rangle + \langle HH^*[S_0]|1_{X^*} \rangle = \langle S_0|1_{X^*} \rangle . \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

v) For  $z_0 \in V$ , one defines

$$R(z, z_0) = S(z)S(z_0)^{-1}G(z_0)G(z)^{-1} = S(z)\left(G(z_0)^{-1}S(z_0)\right)^{-1}G(z)^{-1} .$$

$R = R(z, z_0)$  is the product of two group-like series  $(S(z)S(z_0)^{-1})$  and  $(G(z_0)G(z)^{-1})$ . Thus,  $z$  being fixed, one has

$$\begin{aligned} (S(z) \otimes S(z))\left(G(z)^{-1} \otimes G(z)^{-1}\right) &= (S(z)G(z)^{-1}) \otimes (S(z)G(z)^{-1}) = \\ &= \lim_{z_0: \mathcal{F}} R(z, z_0) \otimes R(z, z_0) = \lim_{z_0: \mathcal{F}} \Delta(R(z, z_0)) = \\ \Delta(\lim_{z_0: \mathcal{F}} R(z, z_0)) &= \Delta(S(z)G(z)^{-1}) = \Delta(S(z))\Delta(G(z)^{-1}) = \\ &= \Delta(S(z))\left(G(z)^{-1} \otimes G(z)^{-1}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

and, finally  $\Delta(S) = S \otimes S$ . □

**Remark 4.2** *The proof of the theorem provides an integrator*

$$H(G) = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{M}} \left( \sum_{uv=w} \int_{z_0}^z \left( \langle M_1|u \rangle(s) \langle G|v \rangle(s) + \langle G|u \rangle(s) \langle M_2|v \rangle(s) \right) ds \right) w$$

but any similar operator  $H$  such that  $\frac{d}{dz}(H(G)) = M_1G + GM_2$  would do. In particular, one can construct operators with varied lower integration bounds. For example, the operator

$$H(G) = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{M}} \left( \sum_{uv=w} \left( \int_{a(u)}^z \langle M_1|u \rangle(s) \langle G|v \rangle(s) + \int_{b(v)}^z \langle G|u \rangle(s) \langle M_2|v \rangle(s) \right) ds \right) w \quad (40)$$

is fairly admissible. We will see in Paragraph (5.2) an application of such a principle.

## 5 Coordinates of group-like elements.

### 5.1 Through the looking glass: passing from right to left.

Let  $S \in \mathcal{H}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ , we call  $\mathcal{F}(S)$  the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space generated by the coefficients of  $S$ , one has

$$\mathcal{F}(S) = \{ \langle S|P \rangle \}_{P \in \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle} . \quad (41)$$

We will use the following increasing filtrations

$$\mathcal{F}_{\leq \alpha}(S) = \{ \langle S|P \rangle \}_{P \in \mathbb{C}_{\leq \alpha}\langle X \rangle} . \quad (42)$$

or

$$\mathcal{F}_{\leq n}(S) = \{ \langle S|P \rangle \}_{P \in \mathbb{C}_{\leq n}\langle X \rangle} . \quad (43)$$

**Proposition 5.1** *We have the following properties :*

i) If  $T \in \mathbb{C}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$  then  $\mathcal{F}(ST) \subset \mathcal{F}(S)$  and one has equality if  $T$  is invertible.

ii) If  $S$  is group-like, then  $\mathcal{F}(S)$  is a unital sub-algebra of  $\mathcal{H}$ , which is filtered w.r.t. (42) and (43) i.e.

$$\mathcal{F}_{\leq\alpha}(S)\mathcal{F}_{\leq\beta}(S) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\leq\alpha+\beta}(S) \quad (44)$$

*Proof* — (i) The space  $\mathcal{F}(ST)$  is spanned by the

$$\langle ST|w \rangle = \sum_{uv=w} \langle S|u \rangle \langle T|v \rangle \in \mathcal{F}(S)$$

if  $T$  is invertible one has  $\mathcal{F}(S) = \mathcal{F}(STT^{-1}) \subset \mathcal{F}(ST)$  which proves the equality.

ii) If  $S$  is group-like, one has

$$\langle S|u \rangle \langle S|v \rangle = \langle S \otimes S|u \otimes v \rangle = \langle \Delta(S)|u \otimes v \rangle = \langle S|u \sqcup v \rangle \quad (45)$$

In the case when all functions  $\langle S|w \rangle$  are  $\mathbb{C}$ -linearly independant, one has a correspondence between the Differential Galois group of a differential equation of type (30) (acting on the right) and the group of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{F}(S)$  compatible with the preceding filtration (they turn out to be unipotent).

**Proposition 5.2** *Let  $S$  be a group-like series. The following conditions are equivalent:*

i) For every  $x \in X$ ,  $\ker_{\mathbb{C}}(S) \subset \ker_{\mathbb{C}}(Sx)$ .

ii) For every  $x \in X$ , there is a derivation  $\delta_x \in \mathfrak{Der}(\mathcal{F}(S))$  such that

$$\delta_x(S) = Sx \quad (46)$$

iii) For every  $x \in X$ , there is a one-paramater group of automorphisms  $\phi_x^t \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(S))$ ;  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\phi_x^t(S) = Se^{tx} \quad (47)$$

iv) For every  $C \in \text{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ , there is  $\delta \in \mathfrak{Der}(\mathcal{F}(S))$  such that

$$\delta(S) = SC \quad (48)$$

v) For every  $C \in \text{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ , there is  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(S))$  such that

$$\phi(S) = Se^C \quad (49)$$

vi) The functions  $(\langle S|w \rangle)_{w \in X^*}$  are  $\mathbb{C}$ -linearly independant.

*Proof* — i)  $\implies$  ii) From the inclusion, we derive that, for all  $x \in X$  there exists a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mapping  $\phi \in \text{End}(\mathcal{F}(S))$  such that for all  $w \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\phi(\langle S|w \rangle) = \langle Sx|w \rangle$ . It must be a derivation of  $\mathcal{F}(S)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\langle S|u \rangle \langle S|v \rangle) &= \phi(\langle S|u \sqcup v \rangle) = \langle Sx|u \sqcup v \rangle = \langle S|(u \sqcup v)x^{-1} \rangle = \\ &= \langle S|(ux^{-1} \sqcup v) + (u \sqcup vx^{-1}) \rangle = \langle S|(ux^{-1} \sqcup v) \rangle \langle S|(u \sqcup vx^{-1}) \rangle = \\ &= \langle Sx|u \rangle \langle S|v \rangle + \langle S|u \rangle \langle Sx|v \rangle = \phi(\langle S|u \rangle) \langle S|v \rangle + \langle S|u \rangle \phi(\langle S|v \rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

from the fact that  $(\langle S|w \rangle)_{w \in X^*}$  spans  $\mathcal{F}(S)$ .

ii)  $\implies$  iv) As  $(\langle S|w \rangle)_{w \in X^*}$  spans  $\mathcal{F}(S)$ , the derivation  $\phi$  is uniquely defined. Let us note it

$\delta_x$  and notice that, doing so, we have constructed a mapping  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{Der}(\mathcal{F}(S))$  (which is Lie algebra. Therefore, there is a unique extension of this mapping as a morphism  $\text{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle X \rangle \rightarrow \mathfrak{Der}(\mathcal{F}(S))$ . This correspondence, which we will note  $P \rightarrow \delta(P)$  is (uniquely) recursively defined by

$$\delta(x) = \delta_x ; \delta([P, Q]) = [\delta(P), \delta(Q)] . \quad (51)$$

For  $C = \sum_{n \geq 0} C_n \in \text{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$  with  $C_n \in \text{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle X \rangle_n$ , we remark that the sequence  $\langle S \sum_{0 \leq n \leq N} C_n | w \rangle$  is stable (for large  $N$ ). Set  $\delta_{\leq N} := \delta(\sum_{0 \leq n \leq N} C_n)$ . We see that  $\delta_{\leq N}$  is stable (for large  $N$ ) on every  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$  and we note  $\delta(C)$  its limit. It is clear that this limit is a derivation and that it corresponds to  $C$ .

$iv) \implies v)$  For every  $C = \sum_{n \geq 0} C_n \in \text{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ , the exponential  $e^C$  defines a mapping  $\phi \in \text{End}(\mathcal{F}(S))$  as indeed  $e^{\delta_{\leq N}}$  is stationary. It is easily checked that this mapping is an automorphism of algebra of  $\mathcal{F}(S)$ .

$v) \implies iii)$  For  $C_i \in \text{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle; i = 1, 2$  which commute we have

$$Se^{C_1}e^{C_2} = \phi_{C_1}(S)e^{C_2} = \phi_{C_1}(Se^{C_2}) = \phi_{C_1}\phi_{C_2}(S) \quad (52)$$

this proves the existence, for a  $C \in \text{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$  of a one-parameter (rational) group  $\phi_C^t$  in  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(S))$  such that  $Se^{tC} = \phi_C^t(S)$ . This one-parameter (rational) group can be extended to  $\mathbb{R}$  as continuity is easily checked by taking the scalar products  $\langle \phi_C^t(S) | w \rangle = \langle Se^{tC} | w \rangle$  and it suffices to specialize the result to  $C = x$ .

$iii) \implies ii)$  By stationary limits one has

$$\langle Sx | w \rangle = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (\langle Se^{tx} | w \rangle - \langle S | w \rangle) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (\langle \phi_x^t(S) | w \rangle - \langle S | w \rangle) \quad (53)$$

$v) \implies i)$  Let  $x \in X, t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we take  $C = tx$  and  $\phi_t \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(S))$  s.t.  $\phi_t(S) = Se^{tx}$ . It there is  $P \in \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$  such that  $\langle S | P \rangle = 0$  one has

$$0 = \langle S | P \rangle = \phi_t(\langle S | P \rangle) = \langle \phi_t(S) | P \rangle = \langle Se^{tx} | P \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\deg(P)} \frac{t^n}{n!} \langle Sx^n | P \rangle \quad (54)$$

and then, for all  $z \in V$ , the polynomial

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\deg(P)} \frac{t^n}{n!} \langle S(z)x^n | P \rangle \quad (55)$$

is identically zero over  $\mathbb{R}$  hence so are all of its coefficients in particular  $\langle S(z)x | P \rangle$  for all  $z \in V$ . This proves the claim.

$i) \implies vi)$  Let  $P \in \ker_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$  if  $P \neq 0$  take it of minimal degree with this property. For all  $x \in X$ , one has  $P \in \ker_{\mathbb{C}}(Sx)$  which means  $\langle Sx | P \rangle = 0$  and then  $Px^\dagger = 0$  as  $\deg(Px^\dagger) = \deg(P) - 1$ . The reconstruction lemma implies that

$$P = \langle P | 1 \rangle + \sum_{x \in X} (Px^\dagger)x = \langle P | 1 \rangle \quad (56)$$

Then, one has  $0 = \langle S | P \rangle = \langle S | 1 \rangle \langle P | 1 \rangle = \langle P | 1 \rangle$  which shows that  $\ker_{\mathbb{C}}(S) = \{0\}$ . This is equivalent to the statement (vi).

$vi) \implies i)$  Is obvious as  $\ker_{\mathbb{C}}(S) = \{0\}$ .

□

It is possible to enlarge somehow the range of proposition (5.1) to coefficients that are analytic functions  $f : \text{dom}(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition 5.3** We call here differential field of germs w.r.t. a filter basis  $\mathbb{B}$  of open connected subsets of  $V$ , a map  $\mathcal{C}$  defined on  $\mathbb{B}$  such that for every  $U \in \mathbb{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}[U]$  is a subring of  $C^\omega(U, \mathbb{C})$  and

1.  $\mathcal{C}$  is compatible with restrictions i.e. if  $U, V \in \mathbb{B}$  and  $V \subset U$ , one has

$$\text{res}_{VU}(\mathcal{C}[U]) \subset \mathcal{C}[V]$$

2. if  $f \in \mathcal{C}[U] \setminus \{0\}$  then there exists  $V \in \mathbb{B}$  s.t.  $V \subset U - \mathcal{O}_f$  and  $f^{-1}$  (defined on  $V$ ) is in  $\mathcal{C}[V]$ .

For any  $U \in \mathbb{B}$ , we note  $\mathcal{C}[U]$  the ring of functions in  $\mathcal{C}$  defined on  $U$  and restricted to this set.

There are important cases when the conditions (5.1) are satisfied as shows the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.4** Let  $V$  be a simply connected non-void open subset of  $\mathbb{C} - \{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$  ( $\{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$  are distinct points),  $M = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\lambda_i x_i}{z - a_i}$  be a multiplier on  $X = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$  with all  $\lambda_i \neq 0$  and  $S$  be any regular solution of

$$\frac{d}{dz} S = MS . \quad (57)$$

Then, let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a differential field of functions defined on  $V$  which do not contain linear combinations of logarithms on any domain but which contains  $z$  and the constants (as, for example the rational functions).

If  $U$  is a non-void domain of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $P \in \mathcal{C}[U]\langle X \rangle$ , one has

$$\langle S|P \rangle = 0 \implies P = 0 \quad (58)$$

*Proof* — Let  $U \in \mathbb{B}$ . For every non-zero  $Q \in \mathcal{C}[U]\langle X \rangle$ , we note  $\text{lead}(Q)$  the greatest word in the support of  $Q$  for the graded lexicographic ordering  $\prec$  (we have endowed  $X$  with any linear ordering) and call  $Q$  monic if the leading coefficient  $\langle Q|\text{lead}(Q) \rangle$  is 1. A monic polynomial then reads

$$Q = w + \sum_{u \prec w} \langle Q|u \rangle u . \quad (59)$$

Suppose now that it is possible to find  $U$  and  $P \in \mathcal{C}[U]\langle X \rangle$  (not necessarily monic) such that  $\langle S|P \rangle = 0$ , we choose  $P$  with  $\text{lead}(P)$  minimal for  $\prec$ .

Then

$$P = f(z)w + \sum_{u \prec w} \langle P|u \rangle u \quad (60)$$

with  $f \neq 0$ . Thus  $U_1 = U \setminus \mathcal{O}_f \in \mathbb{B}$  and  $Q = \frac{1}{f(z)}P \in \mathcal{C}[U_1]\langle X \rangle$  is monic and satisfies

$$\langle S|Q \rangle = 0. \quad (61)$$

Differentiating eq. (61), we get

$$0 = \langle S'|Q \rangle + \langle S|Q' \rangle = \langle MS|Q \rangle + \langle S|Q' \rangle = \langle S|Q' + M^\dagger Q \rangle. \quad (62)$$

Remark that one has

$$Q' + M^\dagger Q \in \mathcal{C}[U_1]\langle X \rangle \quad (63)$$

If  $Q' + M^\dagger Q \neq 0$ , one has  $\text{lead}(Q' + M^\dagger Q) \prec \text{lead}(Q)$  and this is not possible because of the minimality hypothesis of  $\text{lead}(Q) = \text{lead}(P)$ . Hence, one must have  $R = Q' + M^\dagger Q = 0$ . With  $|w| = n$ , write now

$$Q = Q_n + \sum_{|u| < n} \langle Q|u \rangle u. \quad (64)$$

where  $Q_n = \sum_{|u|=n} \langle Q|u \rangle u$  is the dominant homogeneous component of  $Q$ . For every  $|u| = n$  we have

$$(\langle Q|u \rangle)' = -\langle M^\dagger Q|u \rangle = -\langle Q|Mu \rangle = 0 \quad (65)$$

thus all the coefficients of  $Q_n$  are constant.

If  $n = 0$ ,  $Q \neq 0$  is constant which is impossible by eq. (61) and because  $S$  is regular. If  $n > 0$ , for any word  $|v| = n - 1$ , we have

$$(\langle Q|v \rangle)' = -\langle M^\dagger Q|v \rangle = -\langle Q|Mv \rangle = -\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{z - a_i} \langle Q|x_i v \rangle = -\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{z - a_i} \langle Q_n|x_i v \rangle \quad (66)$$

Because all  $x_i v$  are of length  $n$ .

Then

$$\langle Q|v \rangle = -\sum_{i=0}^n \langle Q_n|x_i v \rangle \int_\alpha^z \frac{\lambda_i}{s - a_i} ds + \text{const} \quad (67)$$

But all the functions  $\int_\alpha^z \frac{\lambda_i}{s - a_i} ds$  are linearly independant over  $\mathbb{C}$  and not all the scalars  $\langle Q_n|x_i v \rangle$  are zero (write  $w = x_k v$  and choose  $v$  such). This contradicts the fact that  $Q \in \mathcal{C}[U_1]\langle X \rangle$  as  $\mathcal{C}$  does contain no linear combination of logarithms.  $\square$

**Remark 5.5** *i) If a series satisfies the equivalent conditions of the theorem (5.2), then every  $Se^C$  so does.*

*ii) Series as the one of polylogarithms and all the exponential solutions of equation*

$$\frac{d}{dz}(S) = \left(\frac{x_0}{z} + \frac{x_1}{1-z}\right)S \quad (68)$$

*satisfy conditions of the theorem (5.2) as shows theorem (5.4).*

*iii) One could ask oneself what happens when these conditions are not satisfied. In fact the set of Lie series  $C \in \text{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}(\langle\langle \mathbb{X} \rangle\rangle)$  such that it exists a  $\phi \in \text{End}(\mathcal{F}(S))$  (then a derivation) s.t.  $SC = \phi(S)$  is a closed Lie subalgebra of  $\text{Lie}_{\mathbb{C}}(\langle\langle \mathbb{X} \rangle\rangle)$  which we will note  $\text{Lies}_S$ . For example*

- for  $X = \{x_0, x_1\}$  and  $S = e^{zx_0}$  one has  $x_0 \in \text{Lies}_S$ ;  $x_1 \notin \text{Lies}_S$
- for  $X = \{x_0, x_1\}$  and  $S = e^{z(x_0+x_1)}$ , one has  $x_0, x_1 \notin \text{Lies}_S$  but  $(x_0 + x_1) \in \text{Lies}_S$ .

## 5.2 Polylogarithms and related functions

Here  $X$  is still the finite alphabet  $\{x_0, x_1\}$  equipped with the order  $x_0 < x_1$  and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the ring  $\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}, (1-z)^{-1}]$ .

The iterated integral over  $\omega_0, \omega_1$  associated to  $w = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}$  over  $X$  and along the integration path  $z_0 \rightsquigarrow z$  is the following multiple integral defined by

$$\int_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} \omega_{i_1} \cdots \omega_{i_k} = \int_{z_0}^z \omega_{i_1}(t_1) \int_{z_0}^{t_1} \omega_{i_2}(t_2) \cdots \int_{z_0}^{t_{r-2}} \omega_{i_r}(t_{r-1}) \int_{z_0}^{t_{r-1}} \omega_{i_r}(t_r), \quad (69)$$

where  $t_1 \cdots t_{r-1}$  is a subdivision of the path  $z_0 \rightsquigarrow z$ . In a shortened notation, we denote this integral by  $\alpha_{z_0}^z(w)$  and<sup>1</sup>  $\alpha_{z_0}^z(1_{X^*}) = 1$ . One can check that the polylogarithm  $\text{Li}_{s_1, \dots, s_r}$  is also the value of the iterated integral over  $\omega_0, \omega_1$  and along the integration path  $0 \rightsquigarrow z$  [32, 33] :

$$\text{Li}_w(z) = \alpha_0^z(x_0^{s_1-1} x_1 \cdots x_0^{s_r-1} x_1). \quad (70)$$

The definition of polylogarithms is extended over the words  $w \in X^*$  by putting  $\text{Li}_{x_0}(z) := \log z$ . The  $\{\text{Li}_w\}_{w \in X^*}$  are  $\mathcal{C}$ -linearly independent [34, 35]. In order to, define  $L = \sum_{w \in X^*} \text{Li}_w w$ , one also can use an integrator with variable lower integration bounds as one described by (40) with  $M_2 = 0$ ,  $a(u) = 1$  for  $u \in x_0^*$  and  $a(u) = 0$  for  $u \in X^* x_1 X^*$ . Indeed,  $L$  is group-like but, to show this one cannot use Thm 4.1 (iii) because the lower bounds of the integrals are different. So one first shows that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \exp(-x_0 \log z) L(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} L(z) \exp(-x_0 \log z) = 1 \quad (71)$$

which can be done as follows. One first remarks that, in case  $w$  contains at least one  $x_1$  (i.e.  $|w|_{x_1} \geq 1$ ) and for every  $k$

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \log(z)^k \langle L(z) | w \rangle = 0 \quad (72)$$

then, setting  $L^+(z) = \sum_{|w|_{x_1} \geq 1} \langle L(z) | w \rangle w$ , one has

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \exp(-x_0 \log z) L^+(z) = L^+(z) \exp(-x_0 \log z) = 0 \quad (73)$$

and as

$$L(z) = L^+(z) + \sum_{w \in (x_0)^*} \langle L(z) | w \rangle w = L^+(z) + \exp(x_0 \log z) \quad (74)$$

the result follows.

The following functions

$$\forall w \in X^*, \quad P_w(z) = (1-z)^{-1} \text{Li}_w(z), \quad (75)$$

are also  $\mathcal{C}$ -linearly independent, as  $\mathcal{C}$  is an integral domain, by the following lemma easy to check

**Lemma 5.6** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an integral domain and  $M$  an  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. If  $(x_i)_{i \in I}$  is a linearly independent family and  $b \neq 0$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $(bx_i)_{i \in I}$  is linearly independent.*

<sup>1</sup>Here,  $1_{X^*}$  stands for the empty word over  $X$ .

Since, for any  $w \in Y^*$ ,  $P_w$  is the ordinary generating function of the sequence  $\{\mathcal{H}_w(N)\}_{N \geq 0}$  :

$$P_w(z) = \sum_{N \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_w(N) z^N \quad (76)$$

then, as a consequence of the classical isomorphism between convergent Taylor series and their associated sums, the harmonic sums  $\{\mathcal{H}_w\}_{w \in Y^*}$  are  $\mathbb{C}$ -linearly independent. Firstly,  $\ker P = \{0\}$  and  $\ker \mathcal{H} = \{0\}$ , and secondly,  $P$  is a morphism transporting the stuffle to the Hadamard product :

$$P_u(z) \odot P_v(z) = \sum_{N \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_u(N) \mathcal{H}_v(N) z^N = \sum_{N \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_{u \sqcup v}(N) z^N = P_{u \sqcup v}(z). \quad (77)$$

## 6 Conclusion

To sum up what has been done in this paper? we can state that the deformed algebra **LDIAG**( $q_c, q_s$ ), which originates from a special quantum field theory [55], is free and its law can be constructed from very general procedures: it is a shifted twisted law. Before shifting, one can observe that the law is, in fact, dual to a comultiplication on a free algebra. This comultiplication is a perturbation, with  $q_s$  (the superposition parameter) of the shuffle comultiplication on this free algebra. The parameter  $q_s$  is obtained by addition of a perturbing factor which is just dual to a (diagonally) deformed law of a semigroup whereas the crossing parameter  $q_c$  is obtained by extending to the tensor structure (i.e. to words) a colour factor of an algebra.

## References

- [1] E. Abe, *Hopf algebras*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1980.
- [2] J. Berstel, C. Reutenauer, *Rational series and their languages*. EATCS Monographs on Theoretical Computer Science, Springer, 1988.
- [3] N. Bourbaki, *Theory of sets*, Springer (2004).
- [4] N. Bourbaki, *Algebra, chapter III*, Springer (1970)
- [5] N. Bourbaki, *Lie algebras and Lie groups, chapter II and III*, Springer (1970)
- [6] N. Bourbaki, *Théories spectrales*, Hermann (1967)
- [7] G. Cauchon, *Séries de Malcev-Neumann sur le groupe libre et questions de rationalité*, Theoret. Comp. Sci. **98** (1992) 79-97.
- [8] A. Cayley, *On certain results related to quaternions*, Phil. Mag. **26** (1845) 141-145.
- [9] , P. Cartier and D. Foata, *Commutation and Rearrangements, An electronic reedition of the monograph : "Problmes combinatoires de commutation et rarrangements"*, by Pierre Cartier and Dominique Foata, <http://www.emis.de/journals/SLC/books/cartfoa.html>
- [10] J.-M. Champarnaud, G. Duchamp, *Derivatives of rational expressions and related theorems*, Theoret. Comp. Sci. **313** (2004) 31.
- [11] V. Chari, A. Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1994.
- [12] A. Connes, *Noncommutative geometry*. Acad. Press, 1994.
- [13] G. Duchamp, C. Reutenauer, *Un critère de rationalité provenant de la géométrie noncommutative*, Inventiones Mathematicae, **128** (1997) 613-622.
- [14] G. Duchamp, H. H. Kacem, É. Laugerotte, *Algebraic elimination of  $\epsilon$ -transitions*, DMTCS, **7** (2005) 51-70.
- [15] G. Duchamp, M. Flouret, É. Laugerotte, J.-G. Luque, *Direct and dual laws for automata with multiplicities*, Theoret. Comp. Sci. **267** (2001) 105-120.
- [16] G. H. E. Duchamp, P. Blasiak, A. Horzela, K. A. Penson, A. I. Solomon, *Hopf Algebras in General and in Combinatorial Physics: a practical introduction*, arXiv : 0802.0249
- [17] Duchamp G., Krob D., *The Free Partially commutative Lie Algebra : Bases and Ranks*, Advances in Math **95** (1992), 92-126.
- [18] G. Duchamp, D. Krob, *Factorisations dans le monoïde partiellement commutatif libre*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. **312**, série I (1991), 189-192.
- [19] Duchamp G., Krob D., *Free partially commutative structures*, Journal of Algebra **156**, (1993) 318-359.

- [20] G. H. E. Duchamp, H. N. Minh, A. I. Solomon, S. Goodenough, *An interface between physics and number theory*, Group 28, Newcastle, July 2010.
- [21] S. Eilenberg, *Automata, languages and machines*. Acad. Press, New-York, 1974.
- [22] M. Fliess, Sur le plongement de l'algèbre des séries rationnelles non commutatives dans un corps gauche. *CRAS Ser. A* **271** (1970) 926-927.
- [23] M. Fliess, Matrices de Hankel. *Jour. of Pure and Appl. Math.* **53** (1994) 197-222.
- [24] Golan J. S., *Power Algebras over Semirings with Applications in Mathematics and Computer science*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1999.
- [25] Golan J. S., *Semirings and Affine Equations over Them: Theory and Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2003.
- [26] A. Heyting, Die Theorie der linearen Gleichungen in einer Zahlenspezies mit nichtkommutativer Multiplikation. *Math. Ann.* **98** (1927) 465-490.
- [27] G. P. Hochschild, *Basic theory of algebraic groups and Lie algebras*, Springer 1981,
- [28] G. Jacob, *Représentations et substitutions matricielles dans la théorie matricielle des semigroupes*, Thèse, Univ. de Paris (1975).
- [29] J. Katriel, G. Duchamp, *Ordering relations for  $q$ -boson operators, continued fractions techniques, and the  $q$ -CBH enigma*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **28** (1995) 7209-7225.
- [30] Duchamp G., Krob D., *Factorisations dans le monoïde partiellement commutatif libre*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. **312**, série I (1991), 189-192.
- [31] Duchamp G., Krob D., Leclerc B., Thibon J.Y.,  
*Déformations de projecteurs de Lie* C.R.A.S. **319**, série I., 909-914, (1994)
- [32] Hoang Ngoc Minh.– Fonctions de Dirichlet d'ordre  $n$  et de paramètre  $t$ , dans *Discrete Mathematics* 180, pp 221-242, 1998.
- [33] Hoang Ngoc Minh, G. Jacob.– Symbolic integration of meromorphic differential systems via Dirichlet functions, *Discrete Mathematics* 210 (2000), pp 87-116.
- [34] Hoang Ngoc Minh, M. Petitot and J. Van der Hoeven.– Polylogarithms and Shuffle Algebra, *Proceedings of FPSAC'98*, 1998.
- [35] Hoang Ngoc Minh, Jacob G., N.E. Oussous, M. Petitot.– Aspects combinatoires des polylogarithmes et des sommes d'Euler-Zagier, *journal électronique du Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire*, B43e, (2000).
- [36] S. K. Lando, *Lectures on generating functions*, A. M. S. (2003).
- [37] J. Lewin, *Fields of fractions for group algebras of free groups*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **192** (1974) 339-346.

- [38] John W. Milnor and John C. Moore, *On the structure of Hopf algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2), 81, 211-264, 1965.
- [39] H. N. Minh, *On a conjecture by Pierre Cartier*, preprint  
<http://arxiv.org/abs/0910.1932>
- [40] D.S. Passman, *The algebraic structure of group rings*, John Wiley - Interscience, (1977).
- [41] Daniel Quillen, *Rational homotopy theory*, Ann. of Math. (2), 90, 205- 295, 1969.
- [42] R. Ree, *Lie elements and an algebra associated with shuffles*, Ann. of Math, 68 (1958), 210–220.
- [43] C. Reutenauer, *Free Lie algebras*, Oxford University Press, 1993.
- [44] A.R. Richardson, *Simultaneous linear equations over a division ring*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **28** (1928) 395-420.
- [45] M.P. Schützenberger, *On the definition of a family of automata*, Information and Control, **4** (1961) 275-270.
- [46] M.P. Schützenberger, *Sur une propri t combinatoire des algbres de Lie Libres pouvant servir un problme de mathmatiques appliques*, Sminaire Dubreil-Malliavin (1958).
- [47] M.P. Schützenberger, *On a theorem of R. Jungen*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962) 885-889.
- [48] M.E. Sweedler, *Hopf algebras*, W.A. Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [49] X.G. Viennot, *Une th orie combinatoire des polyn mes orthogonaux*, Lect. Notes LACIM UQAM, Montreal (1984).  
[http://web.mac.com/xgviennot/iWeb/Xavier\\_Viennot](http://web.mac.com/xgviennot/iWeb/Xavier_Viennot)
- [50] M.P. Schützenberger, *On a theorem of R. Jungen*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962) 885-889.
- [51] M.E. Sweedler, *Hopf algebras*, W.A. Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [52] X.G. Viennot, *Une th orie combinatoire des polyn mes orthogonaux*, Lect. Notes LACIM UQAM, Montreal (1984).  
[http://web.mac.com/xgviennot/iWeb/Xavier\\_Viennot](http://web.mac.com/xgviennot/iWeb/Xavier_Viennot)
- [53] M.P. Schützenberger, *On a theorem of R. Jungen*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962) 885-889.
- [54] M.E. Sweedler, *Hopf algebras*, W.A. Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [55] C. M. BENDER, D. C. BRODY, AND B. K. MEISTER, Quantum field theory of partitions, J. Math. Phys. Vol 40 (1999)
- [56] N. BOURBAKI, *Algebra, chapters 1-3*, Springer (2002)

- [57] N. BOURBAKI, *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras, chapters 1-3*, Springer (2004)
- [58] N. BOURBAKI, *Algèbre Commutative, chapitres 1-4*, Springer (2006)
- [59] DÉARMÉNIEN J., DUCHAMP G., KROB D., MELANÇON G, *Quelques remarques sur les superalgèbres de Lie libres* C.R.A.S. n<sup>o</sup>5 (1994).
- [60] G. H. E. DUCHAMP, K. A. PENSON, P. BLASIAK, A. HORZELA, A. I SOLOMON, *A Three Parameter Hopf Deformation of the Algebra of Feynman-like Diagrams*, Journal of Russian Laser Research, 31 (2010) 162–181, DOI 10.1007/s10946-010-9135-5, Subject Collection Physics and Astronomy, Springer. arXiv:0704.2522.
- [61] G H E DUCHAMP, A KLYACHKO, D KROB, J Y THIBON, *Noncommutative symmetric functions III: Deformations of Cauchy and convolution algebras*. Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science Vol. **2** (1998).
- [62] G. DUCHAMP, F. HIVERT, J. Y. THIBON, *Non commutative symmetric functions VI: Free quasi-symmetric functions and related algebras*, International Journal of Algebra and Computation Vol 12, No 5 (2002).
- [63] G. DUCHAMP, J.-G. LUQUE, J.-C. NOVELLI, C. TOLLU, F. TOUMAZET, *Hopf algebras of diagrams*, Proceedings FPSAC'07, Tianjin, China 2007.
- [64] G. DUCHAMP, M. FLOURET, É LAUGEROTTE, J.-G. LUQUE, *Direct and dual laws for automata with multiplicities* T.C.S. **267**, 105-120 (2001).
- [65] M. LOTHAIRE, *Combinatorics on Words*, Cambridge Mathematical Library (1997).
- [66] A. A. MIKHALEV AND A. A. ZOLOTYKH, *Combinatorial Aspects of Lie Superalgebras*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, New York, 1995.
- [67] P. OCHSENSCHLÄGER, *Binomialkoeffizienten und Shuffle-Zahlen*, Technischer Bericht, Fachbereich Informatik, T. H. Darmstadt, 1981.
- [68] R. REE, *Generalized Lie elements*, Canad. J. Math. **12** (1960), 493–502.
- [69] M. ROSSO, *Groupes quantiques et algèbres de battage quantiques*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I **320** (1995), 145–148.
- [70] J. ZHOU, *Combinatoire des derivations*, Thèse de l'Université de Marne-la-Vallée (1996).