

On a Theorem of R. Jungen

Author(s): M. P. Schützenberger

Source: *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 13, No. 6 (Dec., 1962), pp. 885-890

Published by: [American Mathematical Society](#)

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2034080>

Accessed: 29-01-2016 03:20 UTC

REFERENCES

Linked references are available on JSTOR for this article:

http://www.jstor.org/stable/2034080?seq=1&cid=pdf-reference#references_tab_contents

You may need to log in to JSTOR to access the linked references.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



American Mathematical Society is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

ON A THEOREM OF R. JUNGEN

M. P. SCHÜTZENBERGER

Let us recall the following elementary result in the theory of analytic functions in one variable.

THEOREM (R. JUNGEN [7]). *If a is rational and b algebraic their Hadamard product c is algebraic; if, further, b is rational, c also is rational.*

For several variables, Jungen's proof shows that the theorem is still true for the Bochner-Martin [2] Hadamard product. It does not hold for the Cameron-Martin [3] and for the Haslam-Jones [6] Hadamard products. In this note we give a version of Jungen's theorem which is valid for a restricted interpretation of the notions involved when a and b are formal power series in a finite number of noncommuting variables.

1. Notations. Let R be a fixed not necessarily commutative ring with unit 1. For any finite set Z , $F(Z)$ is the free monoid generated by Z and $R_{\text{pol}}(Z)$ is the free module on $F(Z)$ over R . An element a of $R_{\text{pol}}(Z)$ will usually be written in the form $a = \sum \{ (a, f) \cdot f : f \in F(Z) \}$ where the coefficients (a, f) are in R ; $R_{\text{pol}}(Z)$ is graded in the usual manner and $\pi_n a = \sum \{ (a, f) \cdot f : f \in F(Z), \deg f \leq n \}$. We identify R with $\pi_0 R_{\text{pol}}(Z)$. $R_{\text{pol}}(Z)$ is also a ring with product $aa' = \sum \{ (a, f')(a', f'') \cdot f : f, f', f'' \in F(Z), f = f'f'' \}$.

It is well known (cf., e.g., [4; 3]) that these notions extend to the ring $R(Z)$ of the formal power series (with coefficients in R) in the noncommuting variables $z \in Z$; $R(Z)$ is topologized in the same manner as a ring of commutative formal power-series and $aa' = \lim_{n, n' \rightarrow \infty} (\pi_n a)(\pi_{n'} a')$. Any $b \in R^*(Z) = \{ a \in R(Z) : \pi_0 a = 0 \}$ has a quasi-inverse $(-b)^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n' < n} (-b)^{n'}$. If a is invertible, $a^{-1} = (1 + b^*)(\pi_0 a^{-1})$ where $b = -(\pi_0 a^{-1})(a - \pi_0 a) \in R^*(Z)$. We shall say that $S^* \subset R^*(Z)$ is *rationally closed* if $r, r' \in R, b, b' \in S^*$ imply $rb + b'r', bb', b^* \in S^*$. If this is so, the set of those elements a of $R(Z)$ such that $a - \pi_0 a \in S^*$ is a ring containing the inverses of its invertible elements.

DEFINITION 1. $R_{\text{rat}}^*(X)$ is the least rationally closed subset (of $R(X)$) containing X .

Now let $Y = \{y_i\}$ be a set of a finite number M of new variables and $R^M(X \cup Y)$ (resp. $R_{\text{pol}}^M(X \cup Y)$) the cartesian product of M copies

Received by the editors December 6, 1961.

of the R -module $R(X \cup Y)$ (resp. $R_{\text{pol}}^M(X \cup Y)$). For each $q = (q_1, \dots, q_m) \in R^M(X \cup Y)$, $\pi_n q = (\pi_n q_1, \dots, \pi_n q_m)$. If $q \in R^{*M}(X \cup Y)$ (i.e., if $\pi_0 q = 0$) let λ_q be the homomorphism of the monoid $F(X \cup Y)$ into the multiplicative monoid structure of $R(X \cup Y)$ that is induced by $\lambda_q x = x$ if $x \in X$ and $\lambda_q y_j = q_j$ if $y_j \in Y$. Since $\pi_0 q = 0$, λ_q can be extended to an endomorphism of the R -module $R(X \cup Y)$ by $\lambda_q a = \sum \{ (a, f) \lambda_q f : f \in F(X \cup Y) \}$; also, $\lambda_q p = (\lambda_q p_1, \dots, \lambda_q p_M)$ for any $p \in R^M(X \cup Y)$.

We shall say that $p \in R^{*M}(X \cup Y)$ is a *proper system* if $(p_j, y_j) = 0$ for all $j, j' \leq M$. Then, if $q \in R^{*M}(X)$, $\lambda_q p \in R^{*M}(X)$ and $\pi_{n+1} \lambda_q p = \pi_{n+1} \lambda_{\pi_n q} p$ for all n . Consider now the infinite sequence $p(0) = 0, p(1) = \lambda_{p(0)} p, \dots, p(m+1) = \lambda_{p(m)} p, \dots$. Trivially, $\pi_{m'} p(m') = \pi_{m'} p(m' + m'') \in R^{*M}(X)$ for $m' = 0$ and all m'' . If these relations hold for $m' \leq m$, they still hold for $m+1$ because

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{m+1} p(m+1) &= \pi_{m+1} \lambda_{p(m)} p = \pi_{m+1} \lambda_{\pi_m p(m)} p = \pi_{m+1} \lambda_{\pi_m p(m+m'')} p \\ &= \pi_{m+1} \lambda_{p(m+m'')} p = \pi_{m+1} p(m+1+m''). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $p(\infty) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p(m)$ exists and it satisfies $p(\infty) \in R^{*M}(X)$, $\pi_0 p(\infty) = 0, p(\infty) = \lambda_{p(\infty)} p$. In fact, $p(\infty)$ is the only element to satisfy these equations because if $\pi_0 p' = 0$ and $p' = \lambda_{p'} p$, any relation $\pi_m p(\infty) = \pi_m p'$ implies $\pi_{m+1} p' = \pi_{m+1} \lambda_{\pi_m p'} p = \pi_{m+1} \lambda_{\pi_m p(\infty)} p = \pi_{m+1} p(\infty)$. For this reason we call $p(\infty)$ the *solution* of p .

DEFINITION 2. $R_{\text{alg}}^*(X)$ is the least subset (of $R^*(X)$) that contains every coordinate of the solution of any proper system having its coordinates in $R_{\text{pol}}^*(X \cup Y)$.

(REMARK. It can easily be shown that $R_{\text{alg}}^*(X)$ is rationally closed and that it contains every coordinate of the solution of any proper system having its coordinates in $R_{\text{alg}}^*(X \cup Y)$.)

DEFINITION 3. For any

$$a, b \in R(X), \quad a \odot b = \sum \{ (a, f)(b, f) \cdot f : f \in F(X) \}.$$

2. Main result.

Property 2.1. The element a of $R^*(X)$ belongs to $R_{\text{rat}}^*(X)$ if and only if there exists a finite integer $N \geq 2$ and a homomorphism μ of $F(X)$ into the multiplicative monoid of $R^{N \times N}$ (the ring of the $N \times N$ matrices with entries in R) such that $a = \sum \{ \mu f_{1,N} \cdot f : f \in F(X) \}$ (abbreviated as $\sum \mu f_{1,N} \cdot f$).

PROOF. (1) *The condition is necessary.* This is trivial if $a = \pi_1 a$. Hence it suffices to show that for any $r, r' \in R, a = \sum \mu f_{1,N} \cdot f$ and $a' = \sum \mu' f_{1,N} \cdot f$ one can construct suitable homomorphisms giving $ra + a'r', aa'$ and a^* . This is done below, defining the homomorphisms by their restriction to X .

Addition. Let $N'' = N + N' + 2$ and $\mu''x \in R^{N'' \times N''}$ defined for each $x \in X$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu''x_{i,1} &= \mu''x_{N'',i} = 0 && \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N''; \\ \mu''x_{1,i+1} &= r\mu x_{1,i} && \text{and } \mu''x_{i+1,N''} = \mu x_{i,N} && \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N; \\ \mu''x_{1,i+N+1} &= \mu'x_{1,i} && \text{and } \mu''x_{i+N+1,N''} = \mu'x_{i,N'} \cdot r' && \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N'; \\ \mu''x_{i,i'} &= \text{the direct sum of } \mu x && \text{and } \mu'x && \text{for } 2 \leq i, i' \leq N'' - 1; \\ \mu''x_{1,N''} &= r\mu x_{1,N} + \mu'x_{1,N'} \cdot r'. \end{aligned}$$

The verification is trivial.

Product. Let $N'' = N + N'$ and define $\nu f \in R^{N'' \times N''}$ for each $f \in F(X)$ by $\nu f_{i,i'} = \mu f_{i,N}$ if $f \neq 1, 1 \leq i \leq N, i' = N + 1; \nu f_{i,i'} = 0$, otherwise. Then, if $\mu''x = \bar{\mu}x + \nu x$ where $\bar{\mu}x$ is the direct sum of μx and $\mu'x$, one has for each $f = x^{(1)}x^{(2)} \cdots x^{(n)}, \mu''f = \bar{\mu}f + \sum \{ \bar{\mu}f^{(i)} \nu x^{(i)} \bar{\mu}f'' : f'x^{(i)}f'' = f \}$. Since $\nu f x^{(i)} = \bar{\mu}f \nu x^{(i)}$ and $(\nu f'' \bar{\mu}f'')_{1,N''} = 0$ when $f'' = 1$, one has $\mu''f_{1,N''} = \sum \{ (\mu f'_{1,N}) (\mu' f''_{1,N'}) : f'f'' = f \}$. Hence, $\sum \mu''f_{1,N''} \cdot f = a a'$.

Quasi-inverse. Let $N'' = N$ and define $\nu f \in R^{N \times N}$ for each $f \in F(X)$ by $\nu f_{i,i'} = \mu f_{i,N}$ if $f \neq 1, 1 \leq i \leq N, i' = 1; \nu f_{i,i'} = 0$, otherwise. Then $\mu''x = \mu x + \nu x$ and since $\mu f \nu x = \nu f x$ identically one has $\mu''f = \sum \nu f^{(1)} \nu f^{(2)} \cdots \nu f^{(k)} \mu f^{(k+1)}$ where the summation is over all the factorisations $f = f^{(1)}f^{(2)} \cdots f^{(k+1)}$ of f in an arbitrary number of factors. The $(1, N)$ entry of any of these products is zero unless all its factors are different from 1 and under this condition, it is equal to $\mu f^{(1)}_{1,N} \mu f^{(2)}_{1,N} \cdots \mu f^{(k+1)}_{1,N}$. Hence, $\sum \mu''f_{1,N} \cdot f = \sum_{n>0} a^n = a^*$ and the first part of the proof is completed.

(2) *The condition is sufficient.* We say that the proper system p is linear if for each $j \leq M, p_j = q_{j,0} + \sum_{j'} q_{j,j'} y_{j'}$ where all the q 's belong to $R_{\text{rat}}^*(X)$ and we verify that all coordinates of the solution of such a system belong to $R_{\text{rat}}^*(X)$.

This is trivial if $M = 1$ because $p(\infty) = (1 - q_{1,1})^{-1} q_{1,0} = (1 + q_{1,1}^*) q_{1,0}$. If it is true for $M' < M$ it is still true for M . Indeed, because $p(\infty)_M = (1 - q_{M,M})^{-1} (q_{M,0} + \sum_{j < M} q_{M,j'} p(\infty)_{j'})$, the proper linear system p' defined by $p'_j = p_j - q_{j,M} y_M + q_{j,M} p_M$ for $j < M$ and $p'_M = (1 - q_{M,M})^{-1} (p_M - q_{M,M} y_M)$ is such that $p(\infty) = p'(\infty)$. Since its first $M - 1$ coordinates do not involve y_M the result follows from the induction hypothesis.

Now, given a homomorphism μ of $F(X)$ into $R^{M \times M}$, the M elements $a_j = \sum \{ \mu f_{j,M} \cdot f : f \in F(X), f \neq 1 \}$ are such that $(a_j, x f) = \sum_{j'} \mu x_{j,j'} (a_{j'}, f)$. Hence (a_1, \dots, a_M) is the solution of the linear proper system such that $q_{j,0} = \sum \{ \mu x_{j,M} \cdot x : x \in X \}, q_{j,j'} = \sum \{ \mu x_{j',j} \cdot x : x \in X \}$ for each j, j' and 2.1 is proved.

We now consider two subrings R' and R'' of R that commute element-wise.

Property 2.2. If $a = \sum \mu' f_{1,N} \cdot f \in R'_{\text{rat}}{}^*(X)$ where μ' is a homomorphism into $R'^{N \times N}$ and if $b = p(\infty)_1 \in R'_{\text{alg}}{}^*(X)$ where the proper system p has its coordinates in $R'_{\text{pol}}{}^*(X \cup Y)$, then $a \circ b \in R'_{\text{alg}}{}^*(X)$. If, further, $b \in R'_{\text{rat}}{}^*(X)$ then $a \circ b \in R'_{\text{rat}}{}^*(X)$.

PROOF. We verify first the case of $b \in R'_{\text{rat}}{}^*(X)$, i.e., of $b = \sum \mu'' f_{1,N''} \cdot f$ for some N'' and μ'' . Then $a \circ b = \sum (\mu' \otimes \mu'') f_{1,NN''} \cdot f$ where the kroneckerian product $\mu' \otimes \mu''$ is a homomorphism of $F(X)$ into $R^{NN'' \times NN''}$ because R' and R'' commute and the result is proved.

For the general case we denote by $K(Z)$ for any set Z the ring of the $N \times N$ matrices with entries in $R(Z)$. We shall have to consider several homomorphisms of module $\sigma: R^M(Z') \rightarrow K^M(Z'')$ where Z' and Z'' are two finite sets. In each case σ is defined by a mapping $Z' \rightarrow K(Z'')$ which is extended in a natural fashion to a homomorphism of the monoid $F(Z')$ into the multiplicative structure of $K(Z'')$. Then for each

$$a = (a_1, \dots, a_M) \in R^M(Z'), \quad \sigma a_j = \sum \{ (a_j, g) \cdot \sigma g : g \in F(Z') \}$$

and $\sigma a = (\sigma a_1, \dots, \sigma a_M)$.

More specifically, $\mu: R^M(X) \rightarrow K^M(X)$ is induced by a mapping $\mu: X \rightarrow K(X)$ such that the entries of each μx belong to $R'^*(X)$.

For each $q \in R''^*{}^M(X)$, $\lambda_{\mu q}: R(X \cup Y) \rightarrow K^M(X)$ is induced by $\lambda_{\mu q} f = \mu f$ if $f \in F(X)$ and $\lambda_{\mu q} y_j = \mu q_j$ if $y_j \in Y$. Hence, since R' and R'' commute element-wise, $\mu \lambda_{qg} = \lambda_{\mu q} g$ for each $g \in F(X \cup Y)$ (with λ_q as previously defined). Consequently, $\mu \lambda_q p = \lambda_{\mu q} p$ for any $p \in R''^*{}^M(X \cup Y)$.

Let now $Z = \{ z_{j,i,i'} \} (1 \leq j \leq M; 1 \leq i, i' \leq N)$, a set of $M \times N \times N$ new variables and $\nu: R^M(X \cup Y) \rightarrow K^M(X \cup Z)$ induced by $\nu f = \mu f$ if $f \in F(X)$, $\nu y_j =$ the $N \times N$ matrix with entries $z_{j,i,i'}$ if $y_j \in Y$. Also $\lambda_{\nu q}: R(X \cup Z) \rightarrow R(X)$ is induced by $\lambda_{\nu q} f = f$ if $f \in F(X)$ and $\lambda_{\nu q} z_{j,i,i'} = (\nu q_j)_{i,i'}$ if $z_{j,i,i'} \in Z$. We extend $\lambda_{\nu q}$ to a homomorphism $K^M(X \cup Z) \rightarrow K^M(X)$ by defining $\lambda_{\nu q} m$ for any $m \in K(X \cup Z)$ as the $N \times N$ matrix with entries $\lambda_{\nu q} (m_{i,i'})$.

Because R' and R'' commute, $\lambda_{\mu q} g = \lambda_{\nu q} \nu g$ for each $g \in F(X \cup Y)$ and, consequently, $\lambda_{\mu q} p = \lambda_{\nu q} \nu p$ for each $p \in R''^*{}^M(X \cup Y)$. Hence, if p is a proper M -dimensional system with coordinates in $R''^*{}^M(X \cup Y)$ we have $\mu p(\infty) = \mu \lambda_{p(\infty)} p = \lambda_{\mu p(\infty)} p$. Since μ and ν coincide on $R''^*{}^M(X)$, we have also $\mu p(\infty) = \nu p(\infty) = \lambda_{\nu p(\infty)} p = \lambda_{\nu p(\infty)} \nu p$.

However, the $M \times N \times N$ elements $p'_{j,i,i'} = (\nu p_j)_{i,i'}$ all belong to $R^*(X \cup Z)$ and they constitute a proper system p' of dimension MN^2 . Thus, by construction, $(\mu p(\infty))_{j,i,i'} = p'(\infty)_{j,i,i'}$ identically. If, fur-

ther, $p \in R''_{\text{pol}}^* M(X \cup Y)$ all the entries appearing in νp belong to $R_{\text{pol}}^*(X \cup Z)$ and then finally $(\mu p(\infty))_{i,i'} \in R_{\text{alg}}^*(X)$.

This completes the proof because

$$\begin{aligned} a \odot b &= \sum \{ (b, f) \mu' f_{1,N} \cdot f : f \in F(X) \} \\ &= \sum \{ (b, f) \mu f_{1,N} : f \in F(X) \} = \mu b_{1,N} \end{aligned}$$

where for each $x \in X$, μ is defined by $\mu x_{i,i'} = \mu' x_{i,i'} \cdot x$.

REMARK 1. Definitions 1, 2, and 3 and the computations of this section used only the structure of monoid of the additive groups considered. Hence, the results are still valid when an arbitrary *semi-ring* S is taken in place of R . For S consisting of two Boolean elements, Jungen's theorem and its special case for b rational have been obtained in a different form by Y. Bar-Hillel, M. Perles and E. Shamir [1] (also by S. Ginsburg and G. F. Rose [5]) and by S. Kleene [8] respectively as by-products of more sophisticated theories.

REMARK 2. Let $R = C$, the field of complex numbers; and p a proper system of dimension M . Introducing $4M$ new symbols z_j and replacing each y_j by $z_{4j} + iz_{4j+1} - z_{4j+2} - iz_{4j+3}$ in the p_j s we can deduce from p a new system of dimension $4M$ in which all the coefficients are non-negative real numbers and whose solution is simply related to $p(\infty)$.

Assume now that $p \in C_{\text{pol}}^{*M}(X \cup Y)$ has only real non-negative coefficients and denote by α a homomorphism of $C_{\text{pol}}(X \cup Y)$ into C . Because of the assumption that $(p_j, y_{j'}) = (p_j, 1) = 0$, identically, we can find an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $|\alpha p_j| < \epsilon$ for all j when $|\alpha x| \leq \epsilon$ and $|\alpha y| \leq 2\epsilon$ for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Since the sequence $\alpha p(0), \alpha p(1), \dots, \alpha p(n), \dots$ is monotonically increasing it converges to a finite solution (cf., e.g., [10]).

Hence, the canonical epimorphism of $C_{\text{pol}}(X \cup Y)$ onto the ring of the ordinary (commutative) polynomials can be extended to an epimorphism of $C_{\text{alg}}(X)$ onto the ring of the Taylor series of the algebraic functions.

Acknowledgment. Acknowledgment is made to the Commonwealth Fund for the grant in support of the visiting professorship of biomathematics in the Department of Preventive Medicine at Harvard Medical School.

REFERENCES

1. Y. Bar-Hillel, M. Perles and E. Shamir, *On formal properties of simple phrase structure grammars*, Technical Report No. 4. Information System Branch, Office of Naval Research, 1960.

2. S. Bochner and W. T. Martin, *Singularities of composite functions in several variables*, Ann. of Math. **38** (1938), 293–302.
3. R. H. Cameron and W. T. Martin, *Analytic continuation of diagonals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **44** (1938), 1–7.
4. K. T. Chen, R. H. Fox and R. C. Lyndon, *Free differential calculus. IV*, Ann. of Math. (2) **68** (1958), 81–95.
5. S. Ginsburg and G. F. Rose, *Operations which preserve definability*, System Development Corporation, Santa Monica, Calif., SP-511, October, 1961.
6. U. S. Haslam-Jones, *An extension of Hadamard multiplication theorem*, Proc. London Math. Soc. II. Ser. **27** (1928), 223–232.
7. R. Jungen, *Sur les series de Taylor n'ayant que des singularités algébriques-logarithmiques sur leur cercle de convergence*, Comment. Math. Helv. **3** (1931), 226–306.
8. S. Kleene, *Representation of events in nerve nets and finite automata*, Automata Studies, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1956.
9. M. Lazard, *Lois de groupes et analyseurs*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) **72** (1955), 299–400.
10. A. M. Ostrowski, *Solutions of equations and systems of equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1960.

HARVARD MEDICAL SCHOOL